

THE OBSERVER

VOL. IV, No. IV

Serving the Notre Dame and Saint Mary's College Community

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 1969

ND profs propose draft amendment

by Dave Lammers

Professors William Lewers, C.S.C., and Thomas Schaeffer of the Law School, and Professor Charles McCarthy of the Program for the Study and Practice of Non-violent Resolution of Human Conflict, are in the process of drafting an amendment to the present draft law. The amendment would allow selective conscientious objectors—those people who oppose a particular war on a non-religious basis—to be included in the conscientious objector classification of the present draft law. Presently, the conscientious objector deferment is limited to those who oppose all war on a religious basis.

The amendment would be a simple one-line addition to the present draft law. However, the lawyers have been doing extensive work on the legal precedents involved in the draft law change. The history of selective conscientious objection in the United States and Europe has also been investigated for the bill which should be finished by Thursday.

Students on campus are presently engaged in starting write-in campaigns in favor of

the draft change to the student body presidents and campus newspaper editors around the country. Last minute attempts to start a petition drive this weekend in Washington are being made by Notre Dame students travelling there. It is hoped by the students involved in the proposal that student support for a selective C.O. law can be organized here at Notre Dame and around the nation.

Presently on the agenda of the Supreme Court is a case appealed by the federal government from the First Circuit Court of Appeals. In that court Judge Wyzanski declared that an atheist could receive the C.O. classification. Wyzanski decided that it was in violation of the First Amendment to the Constitution to base the C.O. status on religion only.

The National Students Association, whose local representative is Mike Shaugnessy, has decided to reschedule its national steering committee meeting, originally planned for Thanksgiving, for next Sunday, so that the proposed draft change can be discussed.

The selective C.O. amendment would be effective

even if the proposed lottery is passed by the Congress, the proponents of the draft change said. Professor Charles McCarthy said, "Mr. Kingman Brewster is mistaken. Today's youth see the lottery alone as no improvement. They know it is at best a superficial smoke screen. The root issue, being forced to kill against conscience—being forced to destroy human beings when this is seen as neither right nor good nor just—this issue is 'accidentally' being ignored. A lottery without selective conscientious objector provision is just a callous and gross disrespect of the conscience of the American youth."

Wide support for a selective C.O. law has arisen among church circles in the past year. The United States Council of Bishops in November of 1968 said: "We urge the modification of the draft law, making it possible, although not easy, for persons to be selective conscientious objectors, without fear of imprisonment or loss of citizenship." Right-wing theologians John Courtney Murray and Paul Ramsey have endorsed the selective C.O.

classification. The World Council of Churches, The National Conference of Christians and Jews, and various Protestant church organizations have also indicated the need for a selective C.O. law in the U.S.

Professor McCarthy emphasized the necessity of the selective C.O. proposal. He said,

"How can the moral fibre of the country be anything but ripped asunder when the government itself, by a simple exercise of brute power, forces a large segment of its youth—by penalty or threat or penalty—to reject a primary tenet of conscience, 'Thou shalt not murder,' that is, kill unjustly."



Prof. McCarthy proposes draft amendment

First SAS session uneventful

by Jim Graif and Rich Smith

The Student Affairs Subcommittee of the Board of Trustees met yesterday in what was termed an organizational meeting. The committee determined its members and the basic course of action it will follow for the year.

The subcommittee will consist of fourteen members. These include eight trustees: Dr. Thomas Carney, chairman, Mr. Paul Helmuth, Mr. J.W. Van Gorkom, Mr. Newton Minnow, Fr. Charles McCarragher, c.s.c., Mr. John Schneider, Mr. John Ryan, and Mr. Leonard Skogland.

The four undergraduate students on the subcommittee are: Student Body President Phil McKenna, Student Body Vice-President Fred Dedrick, Afro-American Society President Arthur MacFarland, and Research and Development Commissioner John Zimmerman. The two graduate

students on the subcommittee are Dave Foltz and John Quenneville.

Dr. Carney outlined the three major objectives of the subcommittee. First, the committee will try to identify problems in the student affairs area. They plan to discuss issues which are relevant to student affairs. Second, the committee will collect information which deals with the subject being discussed. Finally, the committee will make recommendations to the legislative bodies of the university.

Student members of the committee presented various areas of student affairs which they felt should be discussed by the committee including curriculum reform which was discussed by the committee yesterday. The bulk of the discussion was carried on by the student members of the committee who presented the

capsule conclusions of the Curriculum Reform Committee.

The general consensus of the group was that most students are dissatisfied with the present setup. However, the subcommittee made no recommendations since it was merely intended as a get acquainted meeting.

At the next meeting, which will be held in January before finals, the committee will discuss co-education at Notre Dame. Hall life and black-white relations will be the two topics discussed at the March and May meetings respectively.

Dr. Carney explained that the subcommittee will discuss one subject per each day-long session which will last from eight to nine hours.

The future meetings will follow the same procedure of having a closed session followed by an open session and a question and answer session. The

(continued on page 2)



Stay Senator Tom Thrasher



SBP Phil McKenna

Senate deliberates on Afro-American budget

by Steve Hoffman

The Student Senate convened last evening for the primary purposes of resolving the controversial Afro-American budget, and of finalizing a decision on the proposed University Forum.

Due to the great amount of dissent touched off by disagreements concerning the Afro-American budgetary allowance, the issue was referred to the Human Affairs Committee for in-depth study. At the last meeting, Tom Thrasher, as Chairman of the Committee, addressed the Senate last night and admitted that an acceptable solution for the Society had not been reached.

Thrasher stated that the twelve-man Committee had emerged from consideration of the budget with twelve differing opinions. Therefore, he maintained that the Senate should not provoke any more

dissent than already exists, and suggested that it should strike a middle ground on the budget.

SBVP Fred Dedrick then moved that a sum of \$2500 be allotted to the Afro-American Society, claiming that the blacks deserve the funds in order to conduct minority enrollment, provide for black cultural functions open to all students, and generally effect a better understanding between the races.

The motion, as proposed by Dedrick was carried by a vote of 24-17.

A resolution for the approval of the University Forum as introduced at a prior meeting by Fr. Hesburgh was then brought to the floor. The resolution contained the provisions that the Senate should accept the Forum as proposed by Hesburgh, and that the Senate should review the Forum's operation at the end of this academic year for the

(continued on page 8)



Sub-committee for Student Affairs of the Board of Trustees in open session yesterday

Sophomore merger okayed

by Ann Conway

Last night at a meeting in Carroll Hall, the newly formed combined assembly of the Notre Dame, St. Mary's Sophomore Classes voted to ratify a new constitution. This move completed the merger of the two classes begun in September.

The vote to ratify the document, 36-3 with 7 abstentions, came after discussion was completed on a rationale for the merger presented by Greg Stepic, an assembly member.

Stepic stated that "the new Constitution says nothing. Class government has done nothing in past years for the class or student government as a whole. We owe a reason why we exist to our class and to the university."

According to Stepic's rationale, the merger can only be justified by a two-fold argument: "one, that the members of the Assembly feel there is no organization on campus capable of reaching the individual student, and two, that every member should have an equal opportunity to express his opinions in regard to issues which affect his daily life."

Discussion on the proposed rationale centered on the actual need to merge the two classes and the benefit each class would attain through merging. The motion to pass the rationale was defeated 24-8 after it was brought out that the Constitution itself contained the goals of the merger and thus it was not necessary to present the

goals in another form.

After ratification of the Constitution, the question of the necessity of a rationale for a merger was brought up again and was again defeated due to a lack of 2/3 majority. Susan Way, SMC sophomore President, abstained stating that "This merger is an experiment. If we hit people with principles, ideals, and rationales, it won't work. They need something concrete or something which proves of service to them to show them the success of the experiment."

Joe Stankus, ND Sophomore President, disagreed with Susan, and voted for the rationale stating, "I feel we need to show the class that we are going in some direction. We need a goal, and that's what the rationale was — a statement of our goals."

Another question brought up after the ratification was the question of the finances of the merged class. Discussion



Pres. Joe Stankus



Pres. Sue Way

centered on the understanding of the St. Mary's representatives as to the disposal of the \$900 presently in the treasury. One St. Mary's representative asked to be allowed to change her vote for the Constitution stating that the girls she represented would never have agreed to the Constitution if they had known that the \$900 would go into a combined treasury for the use of both Notre Dame and St. Mary's. A vote was taken on the misrepresentation of the Treasury issue at which time two members changed their votes for

the constitution changing the original vote from 38-3 with 5 abstentions to 37-3 with 7 abstentions.

Stankus, who voted against the ratification of the Constitution as representative of Holy Cross Hall, stated that "Personally I am completely for the merger. This experiment will work. The reason why it is lagging at present is that it is caught on technicalities which were alleviated at tonight's meeting and should run more smoothly with the ratification of the Constitution."

Big Label



Read the label

and you'll get the message:

Budweiser

is the best reason in the world to drink beer

Veteran's Day marked by parade

(UPI)—Americans observed Veterans Day yesterday with traditional ceremonies honoring the nation's war dead and coast to coast shows of support for President Nixon's policies to end the war in Vietnam.

Members of what the President has called "the great silent majority" worked to turn an often ignored national holiday into a massive public demonstration of faith in how the government is handling peace efforts.

Thousands of Americans turned out for parades and ceremonies, drove cars with headlights turned on and flew the flag in front of their homes and businesses.

The accent on support of the nation's 1969 fighting men and the government's policies in Vietnam was heightened by anti-war demonstrators' plans for a new round of "Moratorium Day" activities in Washington and across the country later this week.

Nixon and his wife, Pat, spent an hour chatting and joking with patients at the local Veterans Administration hospital, and a presidential wreath was laid at the Tomb of the Unknowns at Arlington.

The President visited the veterans hospital because, as he had said earlier, he wanted to "let them know that the nation has not forgotten their service and sacrifices."

Expression of Unity

"The unity that has always been the bedrock of America needs expression today more than at any time in the past

century," said Donald E. Johnson, administrator of veterans affairs, before a full crowd of 3,000 persons waving tiny American flags at the Arlington Cemetery amphitheatre.

Opponents of Nixon's Vietnam peace plan, Johnson said, "would have others think that they alone understand and abhor the suffering and savagery of war. They do an injustice to America's 40 million veterans, living and dead."

Subcommittee's first session marked by quiet discussion

(continued from page 1)

intervals between the meetings will allow the members to consider the next subject to be discussed.

After the report on the meeting, the session was thrown open for questions, which were limited to issues surrounding academic reform, contrary to the previous reports that questions would be totally open in nature.

The first question concerned the possible overlapping of the responsibility of the Student Life Council's jurisdiction with that of the Student Affairs Subcommittee. Dr. Carney replied that the subcommittee's role was not that of decision making but rather of submitting recommendations to the Board of Trustees. Hence, there would be no conflict between the SLC and the subcommittee.

Next, the question concerning the over-emphasis on small issues such as parietal hours was brought up. The questioner asked why the parietal hours issue was never forgotten and more far reaching questions considered. Dr. Carney replied that his subcommittee never considered the parietal hours question.

He said that the subcommittee would consider only those issues which the students consider important. McKenna added, "The worst thing we can

do is to get hung up on an issue like parietal hours."

The power of the subcommittee and its recommendations was questioned by on student. Dr. Carney reiterated that his subcommittee's role would be advisory, though the role would be an influential one.

Speaking privileges was the next order of business. Carney said that the committee's size was deliberately limited to fourteen people, but that anyone who had any information which might contribute to the subcommittee's making a mere rational decision would be demanded to appear before the subcommittee and speak.

The subcommittee will act as the Board of Trustees' screening committee as well as recommending committee, according to Carney. Speakers will have to submit position papers before their speeches so that the members will have more knowledge of the subject to be discussed.

Dr. Carney felt that since all of the members are interested in working on the subcommittee, they could play a significant part in university affairs. He also felt that having four students on the Student Affairs committee is preferable to having four students sit on the Board of Trustees.

The Observer is published daily during the college semester except vacations by the students of the University of Notre Dame and St. Mary's College. Subscriptions may be purchased for \$8 from The Observer, Box 11, Notre Dame, Ind., 46556. Second class postage paid. Notre Dame, Ind., 46556.



One Day Service
NO EXTRA CHARGE
Dry Cleaning
Shirt Laundry
With each \$1.50 of dry cleaning you receive a coupon worth 25 cents at McDonald's!
410 N. Michigan Street
Next to McDonald's

New Jersey Club

THANKSGIVING BUS SALES
— CHRISTMAS PLANE SALES

Wednesday November 12 7-8 PM Coke Bar
Rathskellar

No action planned against orderly GE protest

by Mark Walbran

The demonstration against the General Electric Company's interviews staged yesterday outside the Notre Dame Placement Bureau was a small but orderly protest. The picketers at most once numbered 25, but usually only eight to ten protesters were outside the bureau at one time.

"In this country there have been very few students involved with the workers' cause," said Chuck Leone, acting Chairman of the Coalition for Political Action (C.P.A.) which spearheaded the protest.

Leone hoped that the

picketing would shed light on the university's relationship with its own workers. He said that their attempts to unionize had proved futile.

The picketers distributed literature explaining their alliance with G.E. workers. Another mimeographed leaflet they handed out listed G.E.'s transgressions against laborers.

Leone said that the G.E. representatives and about two-thirds of the students picked up their information.

John McNerney, a senior in Aerospace Engineering who was

interviewed by the G.E. representatives, said that the demonstrators were free to protest but that "the whole thing was built up out of proportion." McNerney added that there was nothing unusual about a company going on strike when contracts were up for renewal. He said that he doubted if the people striking were starving with the wages they now receive.

Fr. James Riehle, Dean of Students, said that the picketing was not registered with him. This is in violation of the University rule which requires that all

demonstrations be registered with the Dean's office. He did not know whether any action would be taken against the demonstrators, however.


Riehle said that the demonstration was "not a big thing and nothing to get excited about." He added that it had not been disruptive.

Mr. Arthur N. Pears, Director of Security, said yesterday that he had no comment on the demonstration. "As far as I can see," he said, they aren't bother-

ing anyone."

Fr. Louis J. Thornton, Director of the Placement Bureau said he had no comment on the picketing either. "We don't know what this thing is going to develop into today," he explained.

The picketers began the demonstration yesterday morning at eight o'clock. Leone said the demonstration would continue until four o'clock in the afternoon.



Students picket GE recruiters in Administration building yesterday.

Moon voyagers ready to go Friday

CAPE KENNEDY (UPI)—Apollo 12's astronauts eased off their rigorous training schedule yesterday and got a go ahead from weathermen for their Friday blastoff to the moon. Mission commander Charles "Pete" Conrad, Richard F. Gordon and Alan L. Bean spent much of the day relaxing in their quarters and reviewing flight plans for their journey. The space fliers were confident they are ready for the risky ten day mission.

"We've been ready to go for a long time," Gordonsaid recently.

"I think we're well enough trained. If the hardware holds together and we don't have too many problems, I think we'll be able to do the job."

spacecraft was poised in its service tower, ground crews had a 16 hour rest period before activating the spacecraft fuel cell batteries early today, a critical step in pre-launch preparations.

Conrad, Bean and Gordon are scheduled to blastoff at 11:22 a.m. EST Friday on America's second moon landing mission, which Conrad calls "the opener of the next generation."

Weathermen forecast satisfactory conditions at launch time despite a cold front expected to move through central Florida Thursday. The prediction is for partly cloudy skies, moderate northerly winds and a tempera-

ture or around 60 degrees.

Mission plans call for the astronauts to orbit the earth once after blastoff, and during their second orbit to fire the Saturn's third stage engine a second time to put them on course to the moon.

Conrad, the 39 year old veteran of two Gemini spaceflights, and Bean—the youngest of the trio at 37—should land on the moon in the middle of the night, at 1:53 a.m. EST Nov. 19, for a 32 hour stay that will include two walks on the lunar surface.

They head home Nov. 21 for a splashdown in the Pacific Ocean Nov. 24.

Mental Health Association Meeting
7:45 PM 123 Nieuland
Thursday, Nov. 13, 1969

All members please come. We will discuss Mardi Gras, Christmas Drive and other future plans.


At the oceanside launch pad where the 36 story combination of the Saturn 5 rocket and the

I WOULD RECOMMEND, MR. ADAMS, COMPLETE REST. TRY TO RELAX BOTH MIND AND BODY FOR A COUPLE OF WEEKS.

DOES THIS MEAN, DOCTOR, NO PIZZA HUT PIZZA?

ABSOLUTELY!! PIZZA HUT PIZZA IS FAR TOO EXCITING FOR A MAN IN YOUR CONDITION.

PIZZA HUT®



Where every pizza's a cheap thrill
1738 Lincoln Highway East
- 2307 East Edison - South Bend
1400 Napanee- Elkhart

Spiros Men's Shop
since 1891 **downtown South Bend**

Clothing by Hart, Shaffner and Marx, Van Heusen,
Pendleton and Jantzen

THE PIZZA HUT
EAT IN . . . OR CARRY-OUT SERVICE

Coupon Good At
Pizza Hut No. 1
South Bend
1738 L.W.E.
Pizza Hut No. 2
South Bend
2307 E. Edison
Elkhart
Pizza Hut
1400 Napanee St.

REDEEMABLE WITH THE PURCHASE OF ANY LARGE-SIZE PIZZA

★ PLEASE PHONE AHEAD FOR FASTER SERVICE ★

ONE PIZZA HUT BUCK

THE OBSERVER

An Independent Student Newspaper

DONALD C. HOLLIDAY, Publisher

GAETANO DE SAPIQ, Editor

FOUNDED NOVEMBER 3, 1966

NOTRE DAME, INDIANA

Draft law

Three Notre Dame lawyers have written a bill that would amend the current draft law so that a person could obtain conscientious objector status on the basis of moral objections to a particular war. Under the present draft law, a person can obtain the C.O. classification only if he is opposed to *all* war, and if his pacifism is based on his religious beliefs.

The present law, we believe, ignores a great many men who are not total pacifists or are not members of a particular religion, but do object to a particular war on the grounds that they believe it to be unjust, and who base their objections on moral arguments not connected to a particular religion.

In short, we believe it to be imperative that the government respect the individual's right *not to kill*, if his conscience considers the killing to be unjust and immoral. The conscience of the atheistic humanist must be protected under the law just as is the conscience of the Mennonite or the Quaker.

Presently, the selective conscientious objector has no legal protection under the draft law. He may voice his moral objection by tearing up his draft card risking prison and social ostracism, he may leave the country, he may perjure himself by claiming a religious foundation to his objection, he may hope to hide his moral objection by joining the ranks of those who hold educational or occupational deferments, or he may violate his conscience and participate in the war that he has judged unjust. None of these alternatives allow for the honest expression of the nature of his objection.

The legal provisions that now protect the individual consciences of some must be extended to include all conscientious objectors, so that those who object to a war will not be forced to circumvent or violate the law in order to follow the dictates of their conscience.

All thinking persons have the obligation to judge the morality of a war that their country participates in. Those called upon to fight the war have a special duty to decide. As Thoreau said, "we cannot turn our consciences over to the legislators." The Church has said in Schema XIII of the Vatican Council that all Catholics must determine that a war is just before participating in it. By "just" the Council members said that the war must be based on the proper authority of the state, the war must have a just cause, and the means of waging the war must be proportionate to the cause. To fight in a war that a Catholic conscientiously considers unjust is in violation of conscience and therefore immoral.

Most of the European nations, including Germany, have had selective conscientious objector laws for some time. In America, many and various religious groups, including the American Catholic Bishops, have called for a selective C.O. law.

The *Observer* supports the action on the part of Professors William Lewers, Thomas Schaeffer, and Charles McCarthy, to give protection to the right of the individual to exert and express his conscience by amending the present draft law to include selective conscientious objectors.

Conspiracy Seven

The prosecution is planning to end its arguments against the Conspiracy Eight (Minus One) late this week or early next week. The defense, despite the fact that Mayor Daley will be one of the first witnesses called, may be anti-climactic.

Bobby Seale has had a mistrial declared after being convicted of 16 charges of contempt and has been sentenced to four years in prison. Judge Julius Hoffman apparently tired being called a "racist" and a "pig" by Seale.

The force of Seale's comments could have been softened however if Hoffman had allowed Seale's request to defend himself in the absence of his lawyer, who is recuperating from an operation. Seale repeatedly declared that William Kunstler, the chief defense lawyer for the other seven defendants, did not represent him. Kunstler agreed. Hoffman blindly refused to recognize the fact.

When Seale tried to cross-examine witnesses in his own defense, Hoffman had Seale restrained. At one point in the trial Hoffman had Seale bound and gagged.

The net result is that Seale will now be represented by his own lawyer at a new trial in April, which is exactly what Seale asked for in the first place.

It might be said that Judge Hoffman is guilty only of bad judgement. But this is exactly the thing that the defendants are seeking. The tactics they are employing in the courtroom are essentially the same as those that sparked their arrests. They seek to engender sympathy for their cause by making the government and those who administer its policies look foolish.

The sad thing is that the government has not yet learned to appreciate this fact. The Walker Report criticized Mayor Daley for being inflexible in his handling of the convention demonstrations. It also criticized the police for overreacting to provocations, such as those of which the defendants are accused, and being part of a police riot.

This week, the Nixon Administration has been dicker over the details of the Moratorium demonstration just as Mayor Daley did in 1968. And incredibly, Deputy Attorney General Richard Kleindienst one of the chief negotiators, admits that he hasn't even read the Walker report.

We are amazed that supposedly intelligent people could miss the significance of these tactics and over react to the point where their policies become inconsistent with the tenets of the justice and fair play that their positions should symbolize.

We are also amazed that the Judicial process, which is supposed to be a model of integrity and neutrality, could be bullied into mistakes. Some people content that the results of the trial will most likely be overturned and an appeal granted. All because of the lack of foresight and intransigence of a 74-year old judge.

We hope the Justice Department and all government officials will strive to be flexible when dealing with those members of society that are alienated from our current structures. If not they will continue to look foolish in the eyes of the world and will only serve to further alienate those people who oppose current governmental and judicial procedures.

Perhaps there is more at stake—for if those procedures and structures continue to prove unsympathetic to the feelings of the people that they are supposed to be serving they may soon fall.



"Y'know, you were right. War IS big business!"

Letter

Editor:

It has been a little over two months since I entered these hallowed and sacred halls. I can still remember my first glimpse of the Golden Dome, the ivy covered walls, and the University itself. I was excited beyond words.

From the moment I sent in my application I lived for Notre Dame. I had heard that this school was a gateway for quality education, for tremendous football games, but, first and foremost, for the type of student who came here. They were quality men — alive and dynamic. They were men interested in their own advancement as a person, a human individual; and also that of others. They were friendly, easy to talk to, and always eager to help you. They carried with them an "electrifying spirit" that charged anyone who came in contact with them. And I wanted desperately to become one of them. But, now, after being here for awhile, the curtains of disillusionment are drawing aside. I am told of a Problem — racism.

This problem is being echoed in my hall, in my classes, in the Senate, and in the verbal outbursts of many fellow ND students. At first, I naively asked: What is the problem? How did it start? but more importantly, Why is it here on my campus? The answers are slow in coming, and to the inexperienced Freshmen, are very hard to comprehend, if not totally ambiguous. We come here not to forget Watts, Harlem, and riots; but to show that they do not have to exist here. Maybe we expected too much?

My attention was brought to the Problem initially by my Student Body President. Reading *The Observer*, it quoted him as saying that he felt he was unable to represent the White community and the Black community both. I was then informed of the so-called, "unjust" Black Studies Program which has not, as yet, started.

And that the Administration has repeatedly failed to recognize the Black community. Also I found out that there was a special orientation for the Black Notre Dame Freshmen, and a hall which housed the Black community. I again asked, "Why?" only to receive the stereotyped answer: because of the Problem. It was also rumored that there was to be a Black Arts Festival for only the members of this community who happened to be Black. And finally this situation has been brought to a climax with the recent controversy concerning the Afro-American society. After voting not to allocate a certain sum of money, their president blasted the Senate as being unfair — and turned a monetary issue into one of a racial issue. And lastly a committee was instructed to review their case, not just in the light of money, but the "whole" Problem.

It is here I am forced to take pen in hand and renounce this nauseating and repulsive idea of a Problem. Black communities. White communities. I came to this school to be a part of the Notre Dame community. A community made of people — not segments of colored skin. I did not bring this Problem here with me. I never accepted it, nor do I want to now: I will never be divided among people. I call on my fellow Freshmen to renounce this Problem which is being jammed down our throats. And I also ask the Presidents of this student body and the Afro-American society and all other Problem "makers" to keep their Problem, and leave us unprejudiced and unfamiliar Freshmen to forming the real Notre Dame community. And I earnestly ask, and seek, all others interested in supporting the "Golden Dome" image to reject the Problem. And then we can prove this is one community — the men of Notre Dame.

Steve Jeselnick

209 Breen-Phillips

David Breen, Business Manager
Timothy J. Dineen Ad. Mgr.
News Editor: Glen Corso
Associate Editors: Cliff Wintrobe, Ann Conway, Laura Hafert, Jeanne Sweeney
Layout Editor: Mary Beth Crimmons
Photo Editor: Phil Bosco

Features Editor: Dave Stauffer
SMC News Editor: Prudence Wear
Sports Editor: Mike Pavlin
Night Editor: Cliff Wintrobe
Layout Design: Marty Tieber
Headlines: Rich Smith, Phil Schatz
Layout: Charlie Myers, Marilyn Becker, Mary Ellen Burke

Life, love, and litter at the restaurant

by Ken Walsh

You can get just about anything you want from *Alice's Restaurant* in the way of satire, tragedy, sex with and without love, and some action and music-on the side. But you are almost certain to leave it with the knowledge you've seen and had a good time. Arlo's arrest scene and his draft induction escapade are two of the funniest bits of film I have seen in a long time. Arlo's "tolerative-cool" in his dealings with Barney Fife of Stockbridge was a perfect attitude. He tolerated the entire farcical situation as he shot out one-liners, breaking up the audience, along with his matter-of-fact relation of the whole scene, especially Obie's removal of the seat of his cell's toilet stool to prevent Arlo's suicide (over littering?

Come on, Obie!)

The draft induction scene was painfully funny, especially after yesterday's tough football game. In this episode of our hero's journeys, he exudes a "confused-cool." He doesn't know which line to fall into, but he knows they want him at Whitehall Street and they'll keep him there until they're done with him. Why sweat it? Let them group him where they Want.

There are too many high points in these scenes to single out many of them because they are on such high comic plateaus. These scenes are accompanied by smaller bites, many one-liners, and a few one and two digitals that keep the laugh level high.

But all uppers come with their own downers. The film is honest enough to

show that life in the "Church in the Wood" is not all that heavenly, mainly because it is still inhabited by humans. These humans have the same qualities that have made all utopias impossible, and most "loving communities": passion and possession, and an inability to face life as it is, as portrayed by Shelly.

Communal living may work out, but it doesn't look like communal loving will, even if you're all brothers and sisters living in a church. It's still somebody's church, if you read it that way, and you can read it that way or find your own book.

Everyone's moving, but no one's getting any place, from the country to the city and back again. They take the remains of a feast into the city to be dumped, and bring back the remains of a friend, neatly packaged in his own, perpetual garbage can. They move on motorcycles and horses, in micro-buses and flower cars, and although they run over different roads and courses, they all end in front of a judge's stand.

We meet Alice and Ray at the de-sacrilization ceremony of an old church and when we leave them, it is at the end of their wedding ceremony. This was an incongruous "personal-establishment" scene in which they resacrilize the building they had so eagerly waited to be secularized. What difference is there if it is consecrated by an institution, or a group of people who want to make it something special? It's still a circle.

Arlo chooses not to join the "church-circle", but he and his "chick" are still going on their own journey, trying to find their own "thing." Here there were a few



Arlo's chick, Ray and Alice mug it in front of their ex-church.

superfluous scenes, such as Arlo and girl beside the furnace, musing on fleeting quality and purpose of life. At least the scene was short, and if taken with a little salt, sweet. This point was well made when Arlo visited his father for the last time and said, "Now that I'm not doing what I'm supposed to be doing, I'd better find out what I want, and it's liable to take me a while." Doesn't it for all of us?

Arlo was Arlo, choosing his own journeys and circles, and that made the film, in its coolness, funniness, sadness, and relevance. It was his story, he played it, and told it well. I advise seeing it and comparing your story, not in any sense of a contest, but for what it may be worth to you.

In White America...

by Dan Shaw

Friday November 14, at 9:00 p.m. in Washington Hall, the West Side Players will present *In White America*, a two-act play written by Martin Duberman. The play itself is a documentary reviewing the black historical experience from slavery days to the present. Billed as a socio-drama, the performance will attempt to convey the human emotion which is an integral part of the black evolution/revolution history.

The West Side Players themselves were formed in May 1967 on the west side of Louisville, Kentucky. The key organizers were a pair of Vista Volunteers, Carroll J. Schempp and Richard D. Walbridge. Their efforts were aimed at offering the youth of the area a new mode of activity and a means of creating or stimulating an interest in the arts where opportunities were scarce. It was also hoped that the organization would provide creative minds with a chance to express themselves and to gain leadership experience.

The trend of past plays has been to present existing social problems to the audience in a manner that will be both meaningful and realistic. Producing

realism and spontaneity, however, has hardly posed a problem, as the players have had first hand experience in the conditions and situations they portray. Previous performances have dealt with rat infestation, racial stereotyping, and the difficulties confronting those who attempt to establish organizations aimed at affecting change.

Dave Krashna of the Students Against Racism, who are co-sponsoring the event in conjunction with the Afro-American Society, and the Student Union Academic Commission, sees the drama as a continuation of the effort of black people to show whites their life experience, to have whites "read" them. Although in themselves they cannot solve problems, Krashna sees them as a means of getting whites to get rid of their hang-ups about blacks.

Those who had a chance to see *The Black Experience in Song* at SMC last month can tell you that there is much reading to do. However, judging by the performance of the Believers in *The Black Experience*, the feeling and emotion portrayed cannot be carried second hand. Student admission to this event is \$1.00. *In White America* should provide at least a dollar's worth of reading.

Contemplation of resignation

I feel like I'm walking up a down escalator occupied by every Notre Dame student who has set foot in LaFortune's Student Center. Resignations seem to be the thing this year, and as a result of the latest round of good-byes at the *Observer* office, I find myself moted (there has been considerable discussion as to whether *pro-* or *de-* is the proper prefix here) to a position which everyone but myself realized involved too much work to handle.

As is true with everyone else who starts optimistically into something new, I have great designs in mind and on paper which, if nurtured into reality, will undoubtedly send me following the footsteps of Tom Ehrbar (remember?) to New York and the glories he has described as a winner of the Pulitzer.

Fortunately, the publisher granted me two free days this week before I was forced last night to begin work in earnest. Today's lead is a review of one of the more worthwhile movies to be screened at a South Bend theatre this year. The author, Ken Walsh, never did actually volunteer to write the review. He just seems to know what he's doing in his reviews written for English courses, so it was only natural that the newly named features editor, searching for material to fill a page, would turn to the person sitting next to him in the theatre and say "Write a review."

Also appearing today is a preview of Friday's performance of Louisville's West End Players. The work involved in bringing this troupe to Notre Dame began with a letter sent by Rich Rossie in February of last school year. Although the actors are not professionals and are all of high school age, their previous performances across the Midwest have been greeted with very favorable notices.

If nothing else, the articles upcoming on the features page at least promise variety. Marilyn Becker, erstwhile perceptionist of the Ehrbarian Era, has

promised to continue contributions on life at St. Mary's. Sue Bury, the liberated woman, will officially enter the life of features with a look at Notre Dame's co-ex cheerleading corps.


Pat Clinton has robbed the mail and come up with a lengthy letter written by John Yurko to Frank Zappa, formerly of the Mothers of Invention. This interesting lament over a new album will appear soon. Clinton himself mentioned that several long awaited discs have recently been released and are in need of review by those in the know, although I'm still anxious to hear something on the latest creation of the Led Zeppelin. Also in the field of music, review of Mick Jagger and Co. in Chicago should appear next week.

Mark Walbran, who was quietly stolen from Glen Corso's news staff last week, will provide his impressions of the D.C. moratorium activities, if he doesn't decide to stay there. As a pre-moratorium offering Larry Overlan will re-examine our President's November 3 speech on Vietnam.

Friday will see the re-appearance of Notre Dame's man with a mission, Tom Ehrbar. Because we are able to print Jim Murray of Los Angeles on the sports page, clearance has also been obtained to cable in the profound thoughts of Ehrbar from New York.

In sum, reviews, previews and humor, the mainstays of the features, will remain. I hope also to add serious comment by knowledgeable people in just about every area imaginable. The best thing about a features page is that it excludes only current reported news, editorials, and sports. So if you have a particular area of interest which demands the exploratory talents of yourself or someone else, call, write, or run to the *Observer* office and let someone know about it.

—Dave Stauffer
Features Editor



Letters to the Editor

Wolfe's taste

This is in response to Chris Wolfe's column of November 5. Dear Chris

Recently I read your column with great interest. It's true we've been on different sides in the past, politically speaking, but that did not in itself cause me to think particularly low of you. This latest literary effort changes things however. You speak of objective truth... did you ever hear of taste?

I realize that Notre Dame is a Catholic Institution, where Christian conscience reigns supreme. Nevertheless, there are those few of us who do not agree with the precepts of the Roman Church. We see some things in a different light. We do

not appreciate your lovely little "oh so clever" illusions to "Adolf"; we do not like your goddamn statistics either. The six million are statistics for you... they are my people, so watch your language. Maybe you might feel differently if your relatives were melted down to soap. Maybe you would become rather touchy about the subject. Maybe

Richard Libowitz
P.S. Count one callous Christian columnist per minute and looking through the Observer, there is a BIG ONE.

GE strike

Editor:

In regards to a letter concerning the end to General Electric's recruiting on campus due to the present strike, it seems to me that such noteworthies as Phil McKenna et. al. have tired of Dow Chemical, the CIA, and California grapes. In fighting their "never ending battle for truth, justice, and the American way," they fail to see what happens if GE is replaced by North Vietnam and the strikers by the U.S.A. This replacement, as I see it, gives a fairly good picture of the present Vietnam situation. Now their line of reasoning would tend to make North Vietnam the "bad guys." But, wait a minute, they say the U.S. is waging an unjust war against the people of North Vietnam. There couldn't be a contradiction, could there?

Bill Munro
130 Fisher

Fed-up-to-the-neck

Editor:

Speaking as a single, fed-up-to-the-neck-with-this-nonsense student, I would like to demand the resignations of Student Body President McKenna and Student Body Vice-President Dedrick, effective immediately. I have sat by while they have insulted Senators (student, as well as

STUDENT UNION SOCIAL
COMMISSION

INVITES YOU TO
PEACEFUL NIGHT
OF FOLK MUSIC
Nov. 14 8:30
Friday

U.S.) and profligately spent money that Student Government simply does not have on organizations that do nothing for anyone (i.e. NSA) or are openly racist (i.e. The Afro-American Society of Notre Dame), but this letter calling for a disruption of General Electric recruiting interviews is too much. It is the most trumped-up, unreasonable, misbegotten conglomeration of half-truths, innuendos and non-sequiturs that I have ever seen. And in three years here I've seen quite a few.

If I were to perform a total dissection of this beast, I would be writing to the end of this month. Instead, I'll merely point out a few items.

Item: What makes you so sure, Phil and Fred, that the Union's demands are just? Isn't it possible that GE's management simply can't keep the plants operating at a profit if the demands of the union are met? If a plant isn't profitable, then it must be closed down. (GE doesn't have Fr. Hesburgh and the Administration to pick up their deficit!) If the plant closes down, then where will the employees find a new job?

Item: What do you mean, Phil and Fred, when you say that the workers have no say in their own future? Isn't that defined as being able to make a choice? Aren't they making a choice by demanding higher pay, more fringe benefits and less work and refusing to work unless these demands are met? And shouldn't other people be allowed to make their choice, if they think that the present rates are good?


Item: By refusing to allow graduating engineers, research scientists, accountants, industrial relations students, etc., the right to decide for themselves whether or not they wish to see a recruiter from General Electric, aren't you setting yourself up as a little tin Fuhrer?

The offices of SBP and SBVP are supposed to be filled by students who represent their fellow-students and expedite the services their fellow-students are paying for. They are not supposed to be filled by men who

use their office to satisfy their own little egos and hyper-sensitive conception of black-white-style right and wrong.

Disgustedly,
Stephen Noe
437 Stanford

P.S. Yes, I know that NSA got only fifty dollars; that's still fifty dollars too much.



Bloodless religion

Editor:

In reply to your editorial of November 3, in which I am reported to have spoken of "tearing Nixon down," and of "taking over Washington if Washington continues to oppress the Third World," let me say that I believe I have been misquoted. I do not speak from notes, but it is always my practice to refer to the President as Mr. Nixon.

I agree wholeheartedly with your editorial writer in that we should attack issues and not people. I agree with Christ, too, although I think it was a later commentator who made the distinction about hating the sin and loving the sinner.

Quite seriously, I was heartened by the sensitivity to the values of nonviolence evident at Notre Dame, and by your editorial, (and by Tim MacCarry's obituary of Brother David Darst on the same page). But I feel I must remind you that nonviolence is not merely a weapon of "nice" people, not merely a "witness," an echo of a bloodless religion.

I spoke of the November Mobilization in Washington as a legal, peaceful and nonviolent action, pointing out, by the way, that these terms are not synonymous. This will reach you after the event, but you may be sure that the organizers of the March will do all they can to keep it that way, as they have promised. I did not speak of tearing anyone down. But it is clear that Mr. Nixon is following the same path that led Mr. Johnson back to ranching. I did fantasize a bit about what might happen in

Washington in the spring or summer if Mr. Nixon does not change his war policy drastically. I was thinking back to the Assembly of Unrepresented Peoples in Washington August 9, 1965, when in a completely nonviolent action 15,000 of us marched toward the Capitol with the announced intention of getting as close as possible to it in order to hold a counter "congress" of the unrepresented people of this country and of the Third World, in or near the place where decisions are made which vitally affect their lives, and where they have very little or no representation. If such an action could be mounted next year, with far larger numbers and with the same or greater degree of determination, and most important, if that demonstration could be carried out as a nonviolent demonstration, it would have a very powerful effect. The problem is, are we mature enough to escalate the seriousness of nonviolent protest in such a manner? I do not know.

Those of us who espouse nonviolence, not merely as an expedient tactic, but as a philosophy and a way of life, must summon the imagination and the courage to increase our level of militancy and our willingness to pay the price. Otherwise we will surely leave the developing struggle, which we have helped to generate, to those who do not share our commitment of the integrity of the person, people who do put ideology or "causes before people." If that should happen, who would be to blame but those who failed to show that the better way really is better?

Very best wished.
Fraternally,
Tom Cornell
National Co-secretary
The Catholic Peace
Fellowship

Murray's parody

Editor:

Jim Murray's obvious parody of Lew Alcindor's *Sports Illustrated* story is a disgrace to sports writing. It takes genuine courage for a ballplayer to break the mold of the college "rah-rah." If he must face the wrath of writers who abhor the truth, carry a personal vendetta against the local star or resort to subtle (?) racism, then his burden is doubled. Jim Murray should swallow his indignant pride and act professional.

John Abowd
234 Badin

CHARLIE'S STEREO TAPE PRICES

I wanna give 'em away,
but my wife won't let me

LIST PRICE	CHARLIE'S PRICE
3.98	3.49
4.98	4.39
5.98	5.29
6.98	5.88
7.98	6.89
8.98	7.79
9.98	8.59
10.98	9.49
11.98	10.39
12.98	11.19
13.98	11.99

USE YOUR BANK CARD!

CHARLIE'S

OPEN DAILY 9-6
SAT. 9a.m. to 5p.m.

PH. 287-7218

774 Lincoln Way East

STUDENT UNION ANNOUNCES THANKSGIVING BUS SERVICE TO O'HARE

Leave Notre Dame and St. Mary's

MONDAY NOVEMBER 24 4:30 PM
TUESDAY NOVEMBER 25 3+5 PM
WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 26 1 PM

Return from O'Hare

SUNDAY NOVEMBER 30 8 PM

Tickets now on sale at the Travel Bureau in
the basement of Badin Hall

Cost \$5 one way

\$10 round trip

SORRY, NO REFUNDS

THE ASSOCIATION

Friday November 21 8:30 PM

Athletic and Convocation Center

Reserved seats at \$5, \$4, \$2 on sale now at

Gilbert's, Bookstore, ACC Box Office

PRESENTED BY THE STUDENT UNION SOCIAL
COMMISSION.

NO ONE WILL BE SEATED AFTER THE
PERFORMANCE BEGINS.

Jeanne Sweeney SMC student affairs

I acclaim the decision of the members of the Student Affairs channel to call for a temporary suspension of all meetings. But, my reasons are very different from theirs.

It seems to me that the fault of not being able to effectively operate as representatives of student opinion is due primarily to our incompetent leaders. These girls are incompetent because they do not know how to truly represent their fellow students and because they limit their legislation to only those ideas which will embarrass the administration. It is obvious to me that the Student "Representatives" have done nothing of constructive worth this year, and this lack of any real reason for Student Affairs is their own fault.

The typical representatives of our Student Government at SMC do not know what the student thinks because she does not know how to obtain this knowledge. Our representatives are under the impression that if they pick a night and a particular half hour, they can call one of their halls meetings and, voila, the students will turn on their minds for this half hour and let the representative know exactly what she thinks of student government and what she wants student government to do.

Why can't these representatives learn that as effective representatives of the students, they should learn what they think by listening to them. This listening should be perpetual. The representative should know her constituents well enough and have talked with them enough, to interpret their opinions and know what they want. The representative's contact with her fellow student in class, social situations, in numerous other ways should be an effective guide to what the student is thinking. If our representatives were competent they would strive to know their fellow students seven days a week instead of a half hour on one particular night of the week.

The next fault of our representatives is that they do not know how to propose constructive legislation. The SMC student assembly is a very good example. Led on by our Vice President, the assembly does not know how to do anything but think up legislation which will serve to embarrass the administration. Now that all of the rules of our government have been completely changed, there are obviously no more rules to change. Therefore the legislature, at a loss because their minds cannot function if they are not arguing about changing rules, leave the proposal of legislation up to our Vice President. The problem with this is that our Vice President does not truly represent the students. She does not realize that we might be pleased with the situation at SMC and do not want co-habitation or drugs on this campus. For example, what could be more constructive or earth shaking than her newest piece of legislation to allow, drinking on campus for girls twenty-one years of age. This really is relevant to the needs of our student body and to the changing campus situation around the world. What about constructive thinking along the lines of scholarships to bring in more diversity at SMC, or working for off campus housing, or anything which will promote the welfare of SMC. I cannot blame the administration for delaying most of our legislation, because most of it is worthless anyway.

I also, as a regular at the student assembly meetings, would also like to say that I doubt if you representatives would know how to propose legislation even if you did have an idea. Also when you do try and do something significant, it is never an original idea, but a copy of what our friends across the road have done. For example when you were arguing what kind of statement you would make on the moratorium, the only ideas which came from our legislators were, why not write a statement like Columbia's, or why not one like that of the ND theology majors? The frustrating part of it is that your statement was so completely meaningless it was laughable. If you just tried to sit back and observe your meetings which usually get nothing accomplished except learn about a couple of "of the record" goodies, you would realize that half of the fault of our student government lies mainly in your inept lapses.

I therefore cannot see what bad effects your suspension will have on our school, because as things exist now you are doing nothing worth the students' "support, or interest." If I am wrong in my views, it is because I am misinformed and if this is so, this is again your fault, because it is your job to inform me as to what you are doing.

Law - Business Program scheduled

A new Notre Dame combination Law-Business Program scheduled to begin next September has been jointly announced by Deans William B. Lawless of the School of Law and Thomas T. Murphy of the College of Business Administration.

The combination program, four years in length and post-baccalaureate, leads to two degrees: master of business administration, awarded at the end of the third year, and the doctor of jurisprudence, awarded at the end of the fourth year.

Eligibility to enter the combination is dependent upon ad-

mission by both Notre Dame Schools. The first and fourth years and the sixth semester are devoted to the study of law.

Mini-schools which abandon the conventional space and time boundaries of most institutions can help make education more relevant, a school planner from Philadelphia told the conference on "New Lives for Existing Educational Facilities" at the University of Notre Dame yesterday.

Dr. Glen I. Earthman, a member of the School Planning Department of the School District of Philadelphia, spoke on "Schools Without Walls" to over 150 industrialists, architects and school administrators attending the conference in Notre Dame's Continuing Education Center.

Earthman, describing the experimental program officially called "Community Experience School" called the new project a "marriage between the school and the community." The mini-school has no formal building at all, he explained, and has abandoned the traditional "school year," and "school day." Students learn all year, full time — by exploring resources available in the world about them — the community.

The first mini-school met in February of 1969 when 140 students gathered in an abandoned office in downtown Philadelphia. Their academic curriculum consisted of three parts: institutional offerings, basic skills offerings and electives, Earthman said.

Examples of institutional offerings include a biology class taught by one of the curators in the Museum of Natural Science and an art class in the Philadelphia Art Museum, he explained.

Basic skills of reading, writing and mathematics are taught by certified teachers who provide both remedial and advanced material. Electives may be staff-taught or institutional courses — of which there are over 100 — or may be individual study projects, service with community agencies, or work experience gained with a cooperating business.

The students represent a wide range of abilities and ethnic groups, Earthman said, since they are selected by lottery from applicants. In addition to urbanites, the school has some students from suburban and parochial schools.

While Philadelphia offers a peculiarly rich range of museums, historical monuments, businesses and government agencies to enrich the education of

young lives, Earthman stressed that any community can serve as a laboratory for learning. The important factor, he said, is that the community expands the life-space of the student by removing him from crowded classrooms and enhances his capacity to learn from experience by offering him interaction with other people and with his immediate environment.

Although Earthman noted that it is too early to evaluate the mini-school program, he add-

ed that preliminary samples have shown that most students are enthusiastic about the program, calling it highly relevant. Many said they would be dropouts from any other institution.

Earthman also noted that no teachers have asked for transfers from the school, and no students have dropped out. In fact, two graduates asked to return for "post-graduate" work. Philadelphia now has three operating community experience schools.

Lavin: Patriotism is not dead presses support of country

Love for the natural beauty of the land and respect for the abstract values of liberty and law, freedom and duty combine to form the essential quality of patriotism, Col. John Lavin, professor of military science at the University of Notre Dame yesterday told an audience of veterans' group at the South Bend City Hall.

Lavin, speaking in observance of Veterans' Day, stressed that patriotism is not merely frenzied bursts of emotion, but a steady dedication to one's country in times of peace as well as in times of war.

In honoring the fighting men who have defended America for almost 200 years, the head of Notre Dame's Army ROTC program stressed that "our duty — both to them and to our country — is to honor that performance in kind: by securing the future of our prosperity."

He urged young people to fulfill that duty out of patriotism and in respect for tradition of military service that is a fundamental responsibility of citizenship. "If we lack the courage to stand firm for our beliefs, or if we lack beliefs we are determined to uphold, then we do not deserve the heritage which is ours, and are unworthy of the sacrifices our veterans have made for us," he stressed.

However, Lavin does not believe today's soldier lacks such courage or conviction. "No soldier in history has been given a more comprehensive and exacting mission than the American soldier of today," he said. "By his resourcefulness, versatility and courage he continues to reflect the heritage of 194 years of service — a heritage that grows brighter each year."

Members chosen

PI TAU SIGMA, national engineering honor fraternity, has chosen five seniors and seven juniors for induction into Notre Dame's Sigma Beta chapter. The fraternity, which recognizes superior scholarship and exemplary character among Mechanical Engineers, has selected seniors Dennis F. Ducate, Edward J. Fitzpatrick, John Nelson, John F. Parolin, and Robert A. Posival and juniors Steven W. Couch, Louis R. Markovich, Thomas A. Martin, James A. Matas, John L. McKelvey, William R. Moran, and Joseph A. Utz.

Dean Edward J. Klonka of the Univ. of Illinois College of Law will be conducting interviews for prospective students on THURSDAY, NOV 13TH in room 154 of The Center for Continuing Education. Sign up for an appointment outside room 101 O'Shaughnessy.

WANTED

Student Union Secretary

Monday-Friday

9 AM - 12 noon

for information

call 7757

Mundelein College Presents

Pigskin Follies II Fri.- Sat.- Sun. - Nov. 14-15-16

SAT. (NOV.15)

THE BIG GAME MUNDELEIN vs. NORTHWESTERN

SAT. NITE 8:30 \$1.25

THE BIG MIXER FEATURING "THE ARAGONS"

Mundelein College
6363 N. Sheridan Rd. Chicago

Complete Stereo component systems. Buy yours at —

Lafayette Radio

Electronics
731 South Michigan
South Bend, Indiana

China Night called political activity

Dear Fellow Taiwanese (Formosan) students:

As you may already have seen on the poster on campus, there will be a so-called "China Night" on Nov. 14-16, 1969.

At a glance it looks like a Chinese student affair, but if you would like to spend a little bit of time to think about it and compare it with the experience which you have had under the iron rule of the one party totalitarian Chiang Kai-shek government in Taiwan, you will see that it is a political activity promoted by the pawns of the dictator under the beautiful name of Chinese culture, ethical ties, and even anti-communism.

Past patriotic support

Why does "China Night" have to match its schedule with the activities at St. Mary's College? The History Department of St. Mary's has scheduled a talk "Chinese revolution of 1911" given by a Chinese at "China Night." Will the department invite a Taiwanese scholar from the Ivy League to talk? The lecture given at "China Night" is a subject good to refresh the minds of the overseas Chinese of their past patriotic support of the revolution led by Dr. Sun Yet-shen and ask a new generation of overseas Chinese and even the American public to support Chiang Kai-shek's dream to be an emperor of China in the name of the successor of Dr. Sun.

"Chiang dynasty"

It is not a secret in Taiwan who the "Crown Prince" of the "Chiang dynasty" is. Chiang Ching-Ko, the elder son of Chiang Kai-shek is a four star general and defense minister in control of all intelligence agencies in Taiwan. Recently he was promoted by his father to vice-

premier waiting in the event of his father's death to take over the government.

"Chiang Dynasty" in Taiwan is beneficial for his royal followers and it is also very cooperative and convenient for those who are not going to question Chiang's dictatorship such as missionaries, foreign ministers, and tourists from abroad.

The East Asian Research Institute is not affiliated with any college in the U.S. What type of research are they doing? You can guess from the fact that at the same address there is a small publishing company selling the director's books and the same line of books written by others such as friends of the late president Dien of South Vietnam. It is possible that the East Asian Research Institute has no bona fide researchers at all. It might be the kind of private foundation and institution which the U.S. Congress is trying to stop from abusing the taxpayers money.

Political activity

While "China Night" here at St. Mary's and Notre Dame is financially supported and blessed by both the Chinese Embassy in Washington, D.C. and the Chinese Consulate General in Chicago, every Taiwanese student at Kansas State University received a letter from the Chinese Consulate General prohibiting them from performing a program in the international week as Taiwanese. The consular dares to risk the violation of freedom of expression guaranteed by the first amendment to the U.S. Constitution. This gives you clear evidence why "China Night" is a political activity.

Furthermore, an advisor to the "China Night" program is a "life-time" general assembly woman of the Chiang Kai-shek regime elected in mainland China in 1946. She does not have constituents and has lived here in South Bend for more

than a decade. She was given free trips to Taiwan every "Presidential election" in Taiwan at the expense of the Taiwanese tax money to cast a vote in an election without a choice since Chiang Kai-shek is the only one on the ballot. This is the so-called "free election" and "democracy" in Taiwan today.

Propaganda films

It is not necessary to say that you will be lucky if you are not forced to see some kind of "documentary film" before a movie or cultural show at "China Night." The film is usually offered by the agency of the "Chiang Dynasty" free of charge. It has nothing to do with China. It is a propaganda film to mislead the American public into thinking that Taiwan is "free," "democratic," and "economically sound" contrary to what is happening in Taiwan.

Taiwanese rights

Fellow Taiwanese, some Chinese beyond the Taiwan Strait are chanting that they are determined to liberate us from tyranny. Another group of Chinese on our island are also shouting that they are our legitimate masters. Can't we handle our own affairs? Seeking self-determination toward independence from two such claims is no longer a matter of simple politics. This is a severe struggle for our basic rights as human beings. You can't sit and wait to see some of our fellows taking the whole load. Our silence might be construed as acceptance of the demand of the two sects. Then how can we as individuals aid this struggle? Clearly identify ourselves as Taiwanese and convey the true facts and aspirations to our American classmates and friends. It is extremely necessary that we are careful not to associate with any Chinese organization because our presence might be

taken by the pawns of the Chiang regime for propaganda to their advantage.

With a firm stand of our convictions and a proud honor to be Taiwanese, friendship might be maintained with some Chinese. But there is no excuse for retreat from our convictions when you are challenged in public by the prejudiced Chinese. You can tell your friends that Taiwan is an assigned territory by international law. According to the San Francisco Peace Treaty and the Sino-Japanese Treaty of Aug. 5, 1952, Japan renounced all rights and title as well as claim to Taiwan. However, the final title to Taiwan still remains unsettled officially. But nobody can deny that Taiwan is de facto an independent country which belongs to all Taiwanese. Taiwan is the genuine obstruction to the establishment of diplomatic relations between Washington and Peking.

Cost of freedom

It is true that the cost of freedom is always high. You may be worried about the safety and property of your family back in Taiwan and even your own. However, our small effort which we are already to start will reward the necessary price you have paid. As Richard Nixon said in his policy statement on Nov. 3, 1969, "Everything is negotiable but the right to self-determination by the people of South Vietnam." It is the U.S. policy applied to all the people in the world especially in Taiwan and Southeast Asia. It is untrue to ourself not to fight for the self-determination in Taiwan. Let us do it today! Boycott "China Night" activities and the "Chinese Student Associations."

Sincerely yours,

T.C. & M.W.

P.S. Please withhold my real name but print those four initials for the safety of my family in Taiwan. Thank you.

Widespread activities planned for China Day

The one-hundred member Chinese Students Association of Saint Mary's College and the University of Notre Dame has planned widespread academic, cultural, and musical activities for November 14, 15, and 16, 1969, called China Day. The entire event, an enlarged version of a similar function, China Night, started on a single evening in February, 1967, is dedicated to the observance of Saint Mary's 125th Anniversary and to promote cultural exchange.

The initial event in the three-day celebration, will be a free lecture on Chinese history which will be presented as a part of the Saint Mary's College History Department's "Freedom and Authority Series". This meeting, to be held at 4:30 p.m. on Friday, November 14, in Carroll Hall of Madeleva Memorial Building on the SMC campus, will feature Dr. Stephen C. Y. Pan, director of the East Asian Research Institute. Dr. Pan's topic will be "The Chinese Revolution of 1911." Also on Friday, a showing of the Chinese classical movie, *Firebells*, and a documentary film on China have been scheduled for 7:30 p.m. in the Notre Dame Engineering Auditorium. There will be an admission charge of \$1.00 for this performance.

On Saturday, November 15, from 7:00-8:30 p.m. in O'Laughlin Auditorium on the SMC Campus, the Chinese Students Association has scheduled a cultural performance featuring Chinese folk dances, songs, music, and poetry.

While the traditional Lion Dance which is performed throughout China to celebrate

the New Year will be the finale of the show, the ribbon and Drinking Dances should prove unusual enough to be of interest to the audience.

In the former, Alice Kung of Purdue will keep long colorful silk ribbons twirling while performing a traditional Chinese dance. She will also perform the Drinking Dance in which two small Chinese wine cups are held between the first three fingers of each hand and made to produce a castenet-like effect as she skips lightly across the stage.

Music will be provided mostly by graduate students from Notre Dame. Among the selections will be harmonica solos by Chen Cheng-jean of the Physics Department and a series of folk songs sung by a group of seventeen. These tunes will have themes stressing love and the beauty of nature.

Faculty representation will be provided by Professor Victor Nee of the Engineering Department who will play the violin accompanied on the piano and cello by Ginger and Eugene Yang, children of Professor Yang.

The literary aspect will not be forgotten as Dr. John Kiang of South Bend will demonstrate two kinds of Chinese poetry which, when recited, produce a unique sing-song effect. The words of the poems will be written in huge brushstrokes on paper which will be draped over an easel on the stage.

Two other parts of the program are a fashion show of contemporary and traditional Chinese dresses modeled by seven Chinese girls and an overseas program featuring students

of Chinese ancestry from many different lands.

In conjunction with the various other activities planned for the weekend will be an art exhibition featuring Chinese painting and handicrafts. These items will be on view in the Saint Mary's Student center Coffee House on Saturday, November 15, 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and Sunday November 16, 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Paintings will be the most numerous with 80 selections in-

Senate approves Forum proposal

(continued from page 1)

purpose of determining its implementation for 1970-71.

The financial considerations of the Forum revolved around its general acceptance, the one-year limit for approval, and the procedure for selecting members to sit on the Forum.

Breen-Phillips Senator Tim Feeley proposed an amendment that would delete the year trial basis for approval of the Forum.

Senators speaking against Feeley's amendment observed that the initiative taken by a student in applying for a position on the Forum proved a positive attitude towards its function. Feeley's amendment was then defeated by a vote of 24-14.

Opponents to the main motion of the adoption of the resolution ordered their disapproval on the point that the students will receive no tangible benefits from the Forum. Since Hesburgh affirmed that there would be no legislative power in the Forum, several Senators maintained that the students would gain nothing from

cluding some ancient and authentic originals.

Other pieces of art include opera masks, lanterns, statues and bamboo plates. Publications on exhibit will feature a collection of Chinese Christmas cards, a calendar, and postage stamps.

Perhaps the most unusual division of the Art Exhibit will be a classification of 27 embroidered articles such as pillows, tablecloths, and clothing of various sorts.

All events of China Day will

be open to the public and, with the exception of the Chinese movie, they will be free of charge.

Distinguished guests will be Mayors Allen and Prickett, top-ranking faculty and administrative staff members of both communities, the Boards of Trustees of each institution, and the representatives of the Chinese Embassy in Washington, D.C. and the Consulate-General in Chicago.

studying general, amorphous problems of the University vis-a-vis society.

Proponents of the Forum countered that the primary good of the proposal was the opportunity for direct communication between the students and the administrative organs of the University. In addition, some Senators hinted that the Forum would be the first step towards a University Senate.

After lengthy debate on the motives and benefits for the Forum, the Senate accepted the Student Affairs Committee recommendation for the University Forum by a vote of 27-13.

SBP Phil McKenna then appointed a Screening Committee for the purpose of interviewing and selecting

students to sit on the Forum. Ed McCartin, Chairman of the Committee, said that any undergraduate may apply in writing to the Secretary of the Senate. Nominations will be accepted until Tuesday, Nov. 18th at 5:00 P.M., and the nominees will then be contacted by the Screening Committee.

In minor business, McKenna gave his Executive Report on the recent appointments to the Judicial Board and on the discussion conducted at yesterday's meeting of the Board of Trustees.

McKenna opened the floor to debate on the procedure for conducting the imminent Freshman Class elections. The Senate voted to authorize immediate Freshman elections and appointed a committee to organize election procedure.