

---

## notre dame report

An official publication published fortnightly by the University of Notre Dame

Vol. 1, No. 8

December 30, 1971



\$2.00 subscription rate per academic year.  
Individual issues on sale at University Bookstore.

---

'71-'72

# notre dame report

## contents

### the university

- 131 Blue Cross enrollment
- 131 Indiana Higher Education  
Telecommunication System
- 131 Graduate theology program
- 131 University statistics
- 132 J.P. Murphy Foundation award
- 132 In the news
- 132 Academic performance of  
ND athletes
- 132 Conference telephone

### office of advanced studies

#### Notes For Principal Investigators


- 133 Changes in Procedure for  
Submission of Proposals

### Information Circulars

- 134 U.S. Office of Education  
Fellows Program (No. FY72-36)
- 135 National Science Foundation:  
New Guidelines for Advanced  
Science Education Program  
(No. FY72-37)

### documentation

- 136 Faculty Senate Journal of  
December 6, 1971
- 138 Bylaws of the Faculty Senate  
of the University of Notre Dame



# the university

## Blue Cross enrollment

Employees who are not presently covered under the group hospitalization policy with Blue Cross-Blue Shield may enroll during January, 1972. Applications may be completed in the Personnel Department.

At this time the premium costs are \$13.86 per month for the Single Plan and \$36.68 per month for the Family Plan.

The University has been advised by Blue Cross-Blue Shield to expect an increase in premium some time during the spring of 1972. The amount of increase will be determined by Federal Guidelines to be issued under Phase II of the economic stabilization program.

The University has also been advised of the addition of a new benefit effective January 1, 1972. Blue Cross-Blue Shield will enlarge the scope of coverage by adding benefits for services of a Podiatrist. This benefit increase will be made without any premium increase.

## Indiana Higher Education Telecommunication System

Notre Dame and Saint Mary's College have recently acquired, through a new cable television link, what is an electronic window into the state universities in all parts of Indiana. Both institutions have joined the Indiana Higher Education Telecommunication System (IHETS), receiving courses in such areas as communications, engineering, astronomy, agriculture, nursing and home economics.

IHETS was established by state law in 1967 under the control of the boards of trustees of the state universities. They, in turn, have vested the supervision of IHETS in the State Universities Coordinating Council. All state universities are represented in the council by three or four persons.

The Advisory Committee of Users, established by the coordinating council, recently extended an invitation to Notre Dame for representation in the Council. Rev. Theodore M. Hesburgh, C.S.C., President, has appointed Dr. John W. Meaney, professor of communication arts, as the University's representative.

Tie-in with IHETS has been worked out through an agreement with Valley Cablevision. TV converters are required to view the IHETS sub-channels on campus viewing sets. These converters are presently available at cable terminus points in the following buildings:

Continuing Education Center, A-V Office, basement  
Engineering Building, basement  
Hayes-Healy Building, basement TV control room  
Nieuwland Science Hall, basement  
O'Shaughnessy Hall, Room 361  
Madeleva Hall, St. Mary's, faculty lounge

## Graduate theology program

In a recent rating of graduate programs in religion contained in a study prepared for the American Council of Learned Societies, Notre Dame's young graduate theology program was listed in the "Newer Programs--Promising" category. The 21 institutions listed as "Older Established" or "Newer Established" contained only one at a Catholic institution--Catholic University's S.T.D. program. Only Marquette University was listed with Notre Dame as having a "promising" graduate program, while four Catholic schools or institutes had programs among the 24 described in the report as "marginal" or "inadequate." Graduate theology began at Notre Dame in 1965.

## University statistics

**ADMISSIONS DATA:** With 810 responses received from women who had applied previously under assumed ND-SMC unification, the breakdown is as follows: 448 have asked to be considered by both institutions, 184 by Notre Dame alone and 178 by Saint Mary's alone.

**LAW SCHOOL DATA:** Applications for the class entering in August, 1972: 607 (as compared to 428 at this time in 1970); inquiries stand at 5,026 (as compared with 2,807 at this time in 1970).

Recent reports from the American Bar Association indicate that our law school's salary schedule ranks in the top fifth of American law schools.

## J.P. Murphy Foundation award

The John P. Murphy Foundation of Cleveland, Ohio, has awarded \$200,000 to the University of Notre Dame as part of a \$1 million commitment to the Notre Dame Law School. The foundation was established by the late John P. Murphy, a Notre Dame alumnus and trustee and chairman of the board of the Higbee Company. Murphy died in 1969.

Rev. Theodore M. Hesburgh, C.S.C., President, said the gift came at a crucial time in the 102-year history of the University's Law School, the oldest under Catholic auspices in the United States. "As our Law School moves into its second century, it needs resources adequate to the challenges facing legal education in contemporary American society," Father Hesburgh commented. "The gift of the Murphy Foundation is as timely as it is generous."

Frank E. Joseph, president of the Murphy Foundation, said the gift was an appropriate way to honor the memory of Mr. Murphy, who was associated with Notre Dame for more than a half-century. He was manager of the Notre Dame football team when Knute Rockne was a player and graduated in 1912. He served as national president of the Notre Dame Alumni Association in 1928 and became a trustee five years later, a post in which he served until his death. In 1952, he received an honorary doctor of law degree from Notre Dame.

## In the news

Two Notre Dame stories were among the top 10 news stories of the year picked January 2 by the South Bend Tribune--suspension of unification with Saint Mary's and the failure of city annexation plans for the campus. . . Father Ernest Bartell, a former head of ND's economics department and now president of Stonehill College, told Catholic bishops and educators at six regional meetings that survival of their schools depended more on internal reform than federal or state aid (The New York Times, Dec. 20). . .

A chair of Catholic studies has been established at Case Western Reserve University of Cleveland in honor of Archbishop Paul J. Hallinan of Atlanta who died in 1968. Archbishop Hallinan received his undergraduate degree from Notre Dame and his doctorate in history from Case Western Reserve (NC News Service, Dec. 21). . . Dr. Joseph Blenkinsopp, director of biblical studies at Notre Dame compared the legends and myths surrounding Christmas with historical fact in the Commonweal of Dec. 24. . .

In a statement called "unique" in the history of the congregation, 128 of 140 C.S.C. priests meeting at Moreau Seminary over the Christmas vacation denounced the renewed bombing of North Vietnam by the U.S. (NC News Service, Dec. 31). . .

Two Notre Dame alumni and former athletes active in Democratic politics in Chicago were featured in profiles recently. Chicago City Treasurer Joseph Bertrand was featured in Robert Markus' column in the Chicago Tribune Dec. 22 and Dan Shannon, board president of the Chicago Park District, in a column by Tom Fitzpatrick in the Chicago Sun-Times Dec. 29.

W. Thomas Hamilton, general manager of WNDU-TV, has been cited by the Catholic Communications Foundation for helping to develop the Church's broadcasting capability in the U.S. (Variety, Dec. 15).

## Academic performance of ND athletes

Notre Dame's All America split-end Tom Gatewood, a "B-plus" student in sociology, was recently awarded a \$1,000 scholarship for postgraduate study from the National College Athletic Association (NCAA) and was among 12 college players honored by the National Football College players (NFF) for distinguished achievement as students and as athletes.

Gatewood's scholarships bring to 23 the number of postgraduate academic awards won by Notre Dame athletes since 1966. In addition to the NFF and the NCAA, awards won have been sponsored by the Danforth Foundation, the Atomic Energy Commission, and the National Science Foundation. Notre Dame stands third behind Yale and the Air Force Academy in the number of postgraduate NCAA awards with a total of 11.

Professor Michael A. DeCicco, assistant professor of aerospace and mechanical engineering and academic advisor to Notre Dame's athletes, also points out that his charges graduate with their classes. Since 1966, a total of 450 varsity athletes in all sports have graduated on time out of a possible 452 or 99.4 per cent.

How do athletes compare with the regular student body in academic performance? Well, the 151 monogram winners last school year had a collective average of 2.663 compared with a 2.869 for 6,072 undergraduates. DeCicco's own fencing team pulled down a collective average of 2.988, the highest of any sport.

## Conference telephone

A portable conference telephone, capable of amplifying the voice of a distant speaker for group listening, has been made available for general university use by Dean Thomas T. Murphy of the College of Business Administration.

The size of a portable typewriter, the unit can be plugged into the regular phone line and comes equipped with two remote microphones that permit the audience to speak to the lecturer. There is a separate microphone in the unit for the moderator who is in control of other microphones at all times.

A scheduled talk by the president of the J.I. Case Company to graduate students in business administration led to the installation of the machine on the Notre Dame campus. Delayed by business overseas, the company official offered to make available to the students the counsel of several vice presidents and trustees at the firm's headquarters in Racine, Wis. Equipment was ordered by the firm and the officials, seated in the company's board room, filled the speaking engagement for their president.

---

# office of advanced studies

---

## Notes For Principal Investigators

### Changes in Procedure for Submission of Proposals

At the last meeting of the University Committee on Research and Sponsored Programs, Dr. Robert E. Gordon, Vice President for Advanced Studies, announced some changes in the procedure for submission of proposals. The changes to be immediately effective are:

1. Heretofore, proposals were to be received by the Office of Advanced Studies two working days prior to a due date. Now, proposals should be received by the Office five working days before a due date. Otherwise, submission on time cannot be assured.
2. The number of copies of a proposal submitted by the principal investigator to the chairman of the department (or director of an institute) will be eight plus the number required by the sponsoring agency. Of this number of copies, one copy is to be retained by the chairman of the department (or director of the institute), one copy is retained by the dean, six copies are required by the Office of Advanced Studies, and the remainder go to the sponsoring agency. For unusual situations, additional copies may be requested by the Office of Advanced Studies.
3. Heretofore, the Office of Advanced Studies has not been insistent on the submission of an internal budget with each proposal. Each proposal should now be accompanied by three copies of an internal budget even if cost-sharing is not involved. This budget is for internal use only and serves as a basis for University fiscal projections as well as for the budget to be submitted to the sponsoring agency. Details, describing procedures for completing the internal budget form, were distributed to the faculty in a policy statement on cost-sharing, dated December 1, 1970, and in another notice, dated March, 1971. Copies of the internal budget form and instructions for completing the form are available in the Office of Advanced Studies.

These changes will be incorporated in the Procedure for the Submission of Proposals for the Support of Sponsored Programs now being revised.

## Information Circulars

### U.S. Office of Education Fellows Program

NO. FY72-36

#### The Program

The fellows program brings together promising educators from every section of the country and from all sectors of education to spend 10 months at the Office of Education (OE) in Washington, D.C. The program is designed primarily for educators between 25 and 35 years of age. The fellows report in early September, and after a 2-week orientation they are assigned to the immediate staffs of the Assistant and Associate Commissioners of Education. The background and professional interest of the fellows are important factors in deciding their assignments.

The fellows are not considered employees of OE and have no position titles. Moreover, this is not an employee recruitment program and it is contrary to the objectives of the program that a fellow be placed in a permanent position in OE at the end of the fellowship.

The fellow's assignments provide opportunities for direct participation in the work of OE. The fellows become involved in formulating OE policy and in drafting legislation. They study, evaluate, and help to coordinate Federal programs; assist in the development of new programs; and conduct research. In addition to position assignments, part of the fellowship year is devoted to special projects, seminars, meetings with governmental officials, and field trips.

#### Eligibility Requirements

Applicants must be educators who have evidenced leadership qualifications. They must present recommendations from persons familiar with their abilities as educators. Candidates are selected in recognition of their past achievements, future promise, and commitment to education, as well as intellectual ability.

#### Conditions of Appointment

The fellows are appointed for 10 months, from September 1 to June 30, at a stipend ranging from \$10,500 to \$13,700.

The Office of Education enters into a contract agreement with the fellow's sponsor for the amount which will cover his stipend. The fellow is paid by his sponsor with these funds and according to the sponsor's timetable. Under this type of appointment, the contract agreement does not cover employee fringe benefits. If the sponsor cannot provide for these, the fellow should make his own arrangements. Travel costs of the appointee to and from Washington, D.C., but not moving expenses, will be reimbursed by OE.

#### Application Procedures

Application forms may be obtained from the appropriate DHEW/OE Regional Commissioner of Education and must be submitted to him before January 31. The Regional Commissioner appoints a panel of members of the regional OE staff and prominent persons, actively involved in education, who assist him in reviewing the applications and in selecting the finalists. These names are sent to the OE Fellows Program Office in Washington, D.C. The fellows are chosen by the Program Director and a similar panel.

Region V (Ill., Ind., Mich., Minn., Ohio, Wis.)  
Mr. Robert Hanrahan,  
226 West Jackson Blvd.,  
Chicago, Ill. 60606  
Telephone: 312-353-5215

## National Science Foundation: New Guidelines for Advanced Science Education Program

NO. FY72-37

Developing alternative approaches to doctoral-level degrees in science is a goal of the National Science Foundation's Advanced Science Education program.

This objective is contained in new guidelines for the program released by the Foundation. Universities may propose entirely new programs (which may or may not be labeled Ph.D.) or the restructuring of existing programs. In either case, a significant element of experimentation should be involved, resulting in a meaningful departure from traditional Ph.D. programs. Projects supported in this effort are intended to serve as models for the development of graduate science programs at other universities.

In preparing the new guidelines NSF carefully considered recent studies that called for a critical analysis of higher education. Reports cited were by the Carnegie Commission on Higher Education, Less Time, More Options, and the Task Force Report on Higher Education chaired by Dr. Frank Newman of Stanford University.

The "Newman Report" declared that "graduate schools have become steadily more inner-directed and less responsive to the needs of society...There has been little shift toward needed curriculum changes, or the addition of external work experiences, or any attempt to teach graduate students the skills and attitudes needed for serving society rather than perpetuating the ingrowth of a professional discipline."

The Advanced Science Education program of the Foundation fosters the planning and implementation of experimental approaches to graduate science education. Through its new guidelines, it also seeks proposals for the development of master's level science programs, especially for those which involve cooperative arrangements with industrial concerns or state and local government agencies. In addition, the program plans to support continuing science education projects at the graduate level which emphasize the trial implementation of new instructional technology, and for interdisciplinary graduate-level student originated research training projects. The program will continue to support innovative course development projects at the graduate level.

NSF has allocated \$1.5 million for Advanced Science Education program activities during fiscal year 1972.

The new guidelines will soon be available in the Office of Advanced Studies.

---

# documentation

---

## Faculty Senate Journal

December 6, 1971

The Faculty Senate meeting was held in Room 202 of the Center for Continuing Education on Thursday, December 16, 1971. Miss Dolores Tantoco opened the meeting at 7:37 p.m. with a prayer. The Journal of October 4, 1971 was approved.

Chairman Tom Swartz introduced Professor Louis Tondreau as a new member. The chair announced that the representatives from the SMC faculty have been invited to continue membership in the Senate and complete their year term of office if they wished.

Paul Conway, the treasurer, reported.

William Eagan gave a brief report of Student Life Council activities. He commented that SLC-trustee interaction has been recorded in the ND Report #5, p. 73 and Honor Code considerations in ND Report #7, p. 102. He reported that the ND and SMC traffic courts will be merged.

### STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS

Faculty Affairs Committee: Eugene Henry commented on the work of his subcommittee on Scholarly Activities. They have been discussing Provost James Burtchae's letter on tenure (ND Report #2, p. 23). This and other matters will come up under New Business.

Committee on Administration of the University: Joseph Tihen commented that minutes of the deans' committee would be circulated to the faculty to improve communication. His committee had talked of an earlier date for advanced registration, and will discuss it with the registrar. No action was taken.

Student Affairs Committee: Robert Goodfellow distributed the committee's minutes of September 28, 1971 and November 10, 1971. No formal action was presented, but three items were mentioned:

1. The Subcommittee on Counseling and Psychological Testing Services had discussed Robert Goodfellow's letter to the provost about psychiatric consultations, and the return letter. Dr. Francis Braceland served as a consultant. His report on our psychological testing and counseling services was favorable.
2. Campus Security discussion revealed that there were no records kept before Arthur Pears became director. Assaults on campus from '66 to '71 were moderately low in number.
3. The other subcommittees are active but have no reports now.



## OLD BUSINESS

The proposed amendments to the Bylaws had been distributed previously by mail. They were individually moved, seconded and discussed. The following were accepted and are attached to the minutes: Article II, Sec. 2,8,9; Article III; Article V; Article XVII.

The proposed amendment to Article II, Sec. 6 failed because it lacked a majority vote of the total membership of 71. The vote was 33 yeas, 1 nay, 3 abstained. A motion to reconsider was defeated.

II Section 6. Two courses of action may be followed for submitting recommendations approved by a majority of the members voting in a standing committee. The standing committee by a simple majority vote may require that the resolution in question be placed on the agenda of the next Faculty Senate Meeting. This course of action should be reserved for those recommendations deemed to be of greater importance to the University Community. Recommendations of lesser significance may be submitted directly as a standing committee recommendation to the Academic Council or to a University officer or to a committee whose responsibility is relevant to the concerns of particular recommendations. Approval of this course of action requires a two-thirds majority of those voting in the standing committee and written approval of the Executive Committee.

## NEW BUSINESS

The Executive Committee introduced a resolution for discussion of the ND-SMC merger. After some discussion and change in wording, the resolution was unanimously PASSED.

WHEREAS: The Faculty Senate wished to affirm its belief in the principle of shared responsibility and cooperative action among all the components (students, faculty, administration) of the University community. It is possible that the faculty could, with adequate explanation, discussion and participation, contribute to the success of any future negotiations between the University of Notre Dame and Saint Mary's College. BE IT RESOLVED: The Faculty Senate urgently requests a meeting of the representatives of the ND-SMC community with representatives of both Boards of Trustees to hear an account of the development and breakdown of merger negotiations, and the probable direction of future negotiations.

The Faculty Affairs Committee offered two proposals on Faculty Retirement Income Guarantee and Notre Dame Contribution to Faculty Retirement. Paul McLane gave the rationale for both proposals. An amendment to change "final salary of the retiree" to "average salary of the rank of the retiree" in the first line in proposal I was defeated. Both proposals CARRIED. The final version of the rationale and resolution are attached.

The Faculty Affairs Committee offered a resolution to Father Burtchael concerning his Statement of University Policy and Procedures Concerning Faculty Appointments, Promotions, and Tenure. Professor Sylvester defended the rationale. After discussion, some minor amendments and rewording the motion CARRIED. The final version is attached.

A round of applause was tendered to Paul McLane in appreciation of his work and continued efforts. He responded by noting that the problem of financial aid for the college education of the children of deceased faculty has not been resolved and that one might want to restrict gifts to the University to that purpose.

Chairman Swartz submitted for the record a letter from Peter Thornton which stated that the Law School Faculty had adopted the following resolution to the Senate: The Law Faculty unanimously supports the abolition of the one-half to two-thirds constraint on tenured faculty.

The chair was authorized to set the time and place of the next meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

*A. J. Quigley*

Arthur J. Quigley  
Secretary

# Bylaws of the Faculty Senate of the University of Notre Dame

The Faculty Senate of the University of Notre Dame is hereby organized as an assembly elected to represent the faculty as a whole in the formulation of policy affecting the entire life of the University. It shall be the responsibility of the Senate to represent faculty opinion on matters affecting the academic process of the University, the welfare of the faculty, and student life. It shall be the responsibility of the Senate to receive and study proposals that may be initiated by other groups within the University community and that require faculty consideration. It shall be the responsibility of the Senate to initiate proposals in the interest of the University's development and to evoke and utilize the knowledge and experience of the faculty in whatever way necessary in the formulation of such proposals.

According to the provisions of the Faculty Manual, the recommendations of the Faculty Senate shall be submitted to the Academic Council or to a University officer or committee whose responsibility is relevant to the concerns of particular recommendations.

The Faculty Senate is conceived as an assembly through which the faculty can exercise a collective and independent voice in the governance of the University. In forming its recommendations, the Senate pledges itself to the principle of reaching conclusions based on research and free and open discussion. In the submission of its recommendations to a University officer or to the Academic Council or other group, the Senate invites further study and discussion with that person or group whenever disagreement occurs.

So informed with the spirit of independent and cooperative effort, the Faculty Senate hereby commits itself to the service of the faculty, and thereby to the service of the University.

- I. The officers shall be a chairman, a vice chairman, a secretary, and a treasurer, each of whom shall be a member of the Senate, and who shall be elected singly by secret ballot at the first meeting of the Senate held for permanent organization, and thereafter annually at the last meeting of the Academic Year. A majority of the votes cast shall be required for election to each office. In the event that no nominee for an office shall receive a majority on the first ballot, the two candidates with the most numerous votes shall be voted on in a second ballot.

- II. Section 1. The committees of the Senate shall be an executive committee, and standing committees on the administration of the University, on faculty affairs, and on student affairs. The chairman shall annually appoint each member of the Senate to one of the standing committees.

Section 2. The Executive Committee is composed of the chairman, the vice chairman, the secretary, the treasurer and the chairmen of the three standing committees of the Senate. In addition, the chairman of the Senate may invite other members of the Senate to meet with the Executive Committee when it is deemed necessary. The Executive Committee, under the chairman, is charged with the responsibility of administering the business and activities of the Senate.

Section 3. The Committee on the Administration of the University is empowered to receive or initiate, study, and formulate any proposal dealing with faculty participation in the University, or with the relation of faculty and administrative officers of the University. The Committee is also concerned with the ways and means of keeping the Senate in effective communication with the Board of Trustees, the President of the University, the vice presidents, and the Academic Council.

Section 4. The Committee on Faculty Affairs is empowered to receive or initiate, study, and formulate any proposal dealing with the status and welfare of the faculty or with any matter affecting the teaching, research, and publication responsibilities of the faculty.

Section 5. The Committee on Student Affairs is empowered to receive or initiate, study, and formulate any proposal dealing with student concerns, life and affairs as these are relevant to the educational process.

Section 6. Recommendations of the several standing committees are submitted to the Executive Committee. Recommendations approved by a majority of the members voting in a standing committee must be placed on the agenda of the next full Senate meeting.

A matter that a standing committee has not reported to the floor of the Senate can be placed on the agenda by petition signed by a majority of the elected members of the Senate.

Section 7. Agenda is here defined as the collection of particular proposals to be debated and resolved. The Executive Committee is responsible for preparing the agenda of each meeting and directing the secretary to notify the membership in advance of what the agenda will be. In determining the agenda the Executive Committee will be governed by these principles: (a) Proposals submitted by the standing committees are considered for the agenda according to the directions defined in the sections on the standing committees; (b) A proposal may be submitted by any member of the Senate to the Executive Committee, which may then either refer the proposal to one of the standing committees for a recommendation or place it immediately on the agenda of the next meeting of the Senate.

Section 8. Each standing committee excepting the Executive Committee elects from its membership its own chairman annually, the election to take place at the last meeting of the academic year. The chairman of each standing committee is responsible for calling the first meeting of his committee each year before October 15. The chairman of each standing committee is empowered to appoint from the membership of the full Senate whatever ad hoc committees are necessary to conduct the business of the standing committee.

Section 9. Each standing committee will notify all members of the Senate of its meetings and any agenda items. All senators who are not members of the standing committee will have speaking privileges but not voting privileges at standing committee meetings.

- III. The Senate shall meet at least once during each academic semester. Additional meetings may be called by the chairman or by a number of members equal to 10 per cent of the total of elected members of the Senate.
- IV. A notice of meeting by mail from the secretary, including any known agenda, shall be sufficient notice to all members.
- V. A quorum to transact business shall consist of one-third of the members of the Senate, except that a lesser number may meet to adjourn from time to time until a quorum may be had. Every member present will be counted in determining a quorum. Any member may call for a count of the quorum at any time, and his call shall take precedence over any motion. A quorum for a standing committee shall consist of one-third of the members of that committee.
- VI. The officer presiding may call a recess on his own motion at any time for any purpose, but not to exceed ten minutes.
- VII. The secretary shall keep the Journal in which will be recorded all motions, the names of their makers and seconders, and their disposition, together with any other information directed by the Senate or required by the Bylaws.
- VIII. The treasurer shall have custody of any funds of the Senate and shall render an account of the same at least annually.
- IX. The manual of parliamentary law commonly known by the name of Henry M. Robert, Rules of Order, will guide the deliberations of the Senate. The chairman may at his discretion appoint a parliamentarian.
- X. A motion shall pass or fail of passage according to a count of the votes cast, disregarding abstentions. All votes except roll-call votes shall be cast by a show of hands for and against the motion. Any member may require that a motion be put in writing before consideration.
- XI. A number of members equal to 10 per cent of the total of elected members of the Senate may require the roll to be called on the passage of a motion, and that the yeas and nays be entered by names in the Journal.
- XII. Any member may enter his name in the Journal as dissenting from a majority.

- XIII. All meetings shall be open unless the Senate has passed a motion to meet privately.
- XIV. The Senate shall be in recess whenever assembled to be addressed by one who is not a member.
- XV. The Order of Business at each meeting shall be as follows: (1) Reading and Correction of the Journal, (2) Reading of Communications, (3) Reports of Special Committees, (4) Reports of Standing Committees, (5) Other Unfinished Business, (6) New Business, (7) Adjournment. Any motion offered under New Business may be referred by the chairman to an appropriate committee for report at the following meeting.
- XVI. The terms of members who have completed their service shall expire on the first of May in each year. It shall be the responsibility of the delegation from each College, in cooperation with its College Council, to arrange for the election of members to fill vacancies according to whatever method shall be determined by the College Council, including those vacancies which may occur at other times because of resignation or incapacity to serve. It is the sense of the Senate that annual elections be held in each college on a date as near to the first of May as possible.
- XVII. Any member of the Faculty Senate may offer an amendment to these Bylaws. Amendments must be referred to the Executive Committee which shall submit them without delay in writing to the membership 10 days before the meeting at which they will be considered. Adoption of an amendment to the Bylaws shall require the affirmative vote of a majority of the total elected members.

## Special Notice

NOTE TO READERS: Because of space limitations, the "Faculty Notes" section material originally intended for this issue will be held over until the Jan. 30 issue.

WAGES UNFROZEN: The Economic Stabilization Act, passed by the Congress and signed by the President shortly before Christmas, authorizes the University to restore those wages to faculty members which were contracted for this year but frozen before November 15. The comptroller's office has been asked to make this adjustment in the salary checks to be distributed January 31, 1972. The University is indeed gratified that this has finally become possible.

(Rev.) James T. Burtchael, C.S.C.  
Provost

## editorial committee

Leo M. Corbaci  
Dean of Administration  
Chairman

Jeannine M. Doty  
Editor  
283-7162

Richard J. Sullivan  
Registrar

Richard W. Conklin  
Director, Information Services

Ronald R. Parent  
Associate Director, Information Services

Dean Joseph C. Hogan  
College of Engineering  
Box 309  
Notre Dame, Ind. 46556

---

## notre dame report

An official publication published fortnightly by the University of Notre Dame

Vol. 1, No. 9

January 15, 1972



\$2.00 subscription rate per academic year.  
Individual issues on sale at University Bookstore.

---