

Make it McKenna!

Monday the OBSERVER endorsed Phil McKenna. We would like to reiterate that endorsement, and urge the student body to elect McKenna SBP today. He has *proven* that he has the courage of his convictions in the past. We trust McKenna's judgment and admire his perseverance.

He has campaigned off campus this year, visiting students in their homes. But more than that he has an interest in their affairs and their almost non-existent part of the student body. He is interested in re-organizing the housing list and proposes to include a commissioner in his cabinet to deal specifically with the so-called "day dogs."

He has long been a supporter of hall autonomy and recognizes the place of the hall as the basis of community life. As chairman of the Hall Presidents' Council, McKenna was the prime author of the HPC hall life report, from which the SLC report was drawn. We appreciate his dedication to student opinion in the past and have confidence in him in the future.

His running mate Fred Dedrick has considerable experience in academic affairs, the most important part of a University community, having worked on it for two years and being a member of the Student Senate academic affairs committee.

Further we are extremely interested in McKenna's proposal of a University Senate. Though a long-range plan, it certainly deserves study and investigation now for implementation in future years.

We ask the student body to consider that the Student Union President in a press conference admitted that the Pornography and Censorship Conference sponsored by the Academic Commission was a result of "poor planning."

We ask you to consider McKenna's main opponent's proven record and experience in student government (none).

Finally we ask you to vote for Phil McKenna.

THE WORLD TODAY

Whitcomb signs twenty-two bills

INDIANAPOLIS (UPI) Gov. Edgar Whitcomb yesterday signed 22 bills, including a measure that makes glue sniffing a misdemeanor. He also vetoed four bills.

The measure concerning sniffing a type of glue used for construction of model airplanes and similar purposes, was one of Whitcomb's anticrime package bills introduced in the 1969 legislature. It makes use of such glue to cause an intoxicated state, or assisting a person to do so, a crime.

Ray enters Nashville prison for life

NASHVILLE, Tenn. (UPI) Wearing chains and true to the silent code of a convict, James Earl Ray took the secrets of the slaying of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. yesterday behind the walls of the Tennessee State Prison where nearly half the inmates are blacks.

Ray, who pleaded guilty Monday in exchange for a 99 year sentence, was taken from his Shelby County Jail cell in Memphis at 5:30 a.m., rushed to a highway patrol barracks near the county line, then sped 200 miles to Nashville in an eight car caravan.

Ray will spend the next six weeks undergoing a series of tests, and at the end of that time it will be decided if he will be kept in maximum security or integrated with the other prisoners.

The deal in which the 41 year old Ray was permitted to plead guilty in exchange for his life left the King case shrouded in as much mystery as ever.

At one point in the brief trial, Ray seemed on the brink of saying a conspiracy existed in the King slaying, but he stopped short saying, "I don't want to add something . . . that I haven't agreed on in the past."

Peking warns Soviets of destruction

HONG KONG (UPI) Radio Peking yesterday warned the Soviet Union that any attempt to invade Communist China would bring "fatal destruction." It said the Russians "already owe the Chinese people blood debts" which must be repaid.

The warning came as Red China delivered a sternly-worded note to Soviet authorities about damages inflicted on the Chinese embassy in Moscow by demonstrators.

The Peking note contended Soviet officials had organized the "despicable" massive demonstrations by Russians against the embassy in Moscow last week. The demonstrators, who numbered in the tens of thousands, smashed windows and hurled ink bottles at the building.

THE OBSERVER

Serving the Notre Dame and Saint Mary's College Community.

VOL. III, No. 98

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 12, 1969

SBP Poll Tighter!

The third and final OBSERVER straw poll revealed still another narrowing of the gap between Student Body Presidential candidates John Mroz and Phil McKenna; the percentage difference has now dropped from five to two. As would be expected, the number of undecided voters has taken a sharp drop, while the number of Kendall supporters has remained the same. The decrease in the number of undecided was absorbed in part by those supporting "others", a category comprised mostly of Alan Apt or Kevin Smith supporters.

Out of these 375 votes came three votes of "No." One student expressing this position

commented that the Student Body Presidential candidates "have had nothing to say on laundry or dining halls—what I consider to be extremely important issues." Another said that he "considered the office of Student Body President a superfluous and unnecessary one.

In the previous polls, Mroz captured first sixteen and then thirteen out of twenty halls polled. In this final poll involving nineteen halls, Mroz and McKenna finished in a dead heat with each taking nine halls, the remaining hall, Sorin, producing a tie.

McKenna received his firmest support in his own hall,

Morrissey, and also lead solidly in Howard, Lyons and Badin. Mroz, on the other hand, repeated his strong performance on the freshman quad by dominating B-P. Cavanaugh and

John Mroz	145	38.7%
Phil McKenna	136	36.3%
Mike Kendall	16	4.3%
Other	27	7.2%
undecided	48	10.1%

John Mroz	18.3%
Phil McKenna	43.3%
Others	21.7%
Undecided	16.7%

John Mroz	29%
Phil McKenna	46.1%
Others	6.9%
Undecided	0.8%

John Mroz	43.6%
Phil McKenna	31.8%
Others	10.9%
Undecided	13.6%

John Mroz	53.1%
Phil McKenna	30.1%
Others	0.6%
Undecided	11.5%

The percentage distribution with regard to individual classes remained approximately the same. McKenna made small gains in the Junior and Freshman classes.

(Continued on Page 2)

McGrath issues letter on demonstrations

Saint Mary's College President Msgr. John J. McGrath issued a statement to Saint Mary's students and faculty members yesterday outlining steps to be taken in the event of a disruptive demonstration on the campus. The statement resulted from a study by the Academic Affairs Council and the Student Affairs Council.

Student Body President Therese Ambrusko stated that the Student Affairs Council had studied Father Hesburgh's statement, but "did not agree with him that the Dean of Students should have the right to immediately judge a demonstration disruptive. At Saint Mary's, except in cases of extreme emergency, the Dean of Students does not have that right. She must consult

would feel that my rights were violated. There would be no problem with what most people consider a peaceful demonstration."

Dr. Bambenek said "I wish we didn't have to issue the statement at this time. We felt that we had to, because of Father Hesburgh's statement and Saint Mary's involvement in the co-exchange program. Our hand, essentially, was forced."



Rev. John McGrath

with student and faculty representatives from the Student Affairs Council."

Miss Ambrusko said "I consider burning or bodily blocking a passageway interference with the normal operation of the college. I do not consider picketing or marching a disruption." Dr. Mark Bambenek, a faculty representative to the Student Affairs Council, stated: "In my opinion, moral suasion is not disruptive. If someone stopped me physically from entering a building, I

SMC Pres. on disruptions

TO THE SAINT MARY'S COLLEGE COMMUNITY,

As a result of serious study by both the Academic Affairs Council and the Student Affairs Council, the following policy regarding campus disruptions has been adopted. This policy takes full cognizance of the right to freedom and assembly.

Any activity of a person or group of persons which constitutes a violation of the rights of any individual or which interferes or impedes the normal operation of the community is hereby declared a disruption.

In the event of a disruption, the following procedure will be followed and enforced. The primary responsibility for judging a disruption rests in the hands of the Dean of Students or her delegated alternate. In making this judgment, the Dean shall consult with student and faculty representatives from the Student Affairs Council. However, in cases of grave emergency, such as bodily harm being inflicted, property damage, or imminent danger thereof, the Dean is empowered to act immediately. Once the decision has been made that a disruption exists, the Dean of Students shall notify the person or persons involved that they are causing a disruption and must cease and desist. After a 15-minute interval, those who have not ceased and desisted will be asked to properly identify themselves as members of the College community and are automatically suspended. Those who do not or will not properly identify themselves will be charged with trespass. If there is no movement to cease and desist after five minutes, any student under suspension will be expelled, any faculty member under suspension will be dismissed and treated as trespassers. All trespassers will be dealt with by the civil authorities.

All student appeals for suspension or expulsion shall be made to the Appellate Board according to the procedures established by the Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities. Faculty members have recourse to the procedures as stated in the "Faculty Manual". These appeals shall be judged within five (5) days.

The relationship between the academic community of Saint Mary's College and that of Notre Dame University is unique. Not only are cultural and social activities made available to the students and faculty from both institutions, but in recent years academic facilities, lectures, the use of libraries, etc. have been extended to all. The Notre Dame-Saint Mary's community is in many ways a single community.

Saint Mary's College recognizes the right of the University of Notre Dame to withdraw University privileges from a Saint Mary's student or faculty member in the event of their participation in disruptive activities on University grounds. The method of withdrawing such privileges and any appeal from such withdrawal shall be controlled by the procedures of the University of Notre Dame.

It should be noted that "the Saint Mary's College community reserves the right to take action against a student who is found to be a threat to the College community as a result of her off-campus activities."

Saint Mary's College has, in turn, the right to withdraw similar privileges of any member of the Notre Dame community who participates in disruptive activities on Saint Mary's grounds. The method of such withdrawal and appeals shall follow the procedure outlined above.

Sincerely yours,
John J. McGrath, President

In Alumni speaker series Hesburgh speaks at Alumni

Rev. Theodore Hesburgh, University President, went to the grass roots last night as he met with Alumni Hall residents in their own chapel at 9:00 p.m. The meeting, which was the first of an Alumni-planned weekly series, consisted entirely of informal questions and answers, and covered a myriad of topics, both campus-wide and national.

Father Hesburgh opened by commenting, "It's good to see so many of you in church," and proceeded by answering the first query, which concerned his now-famous letter on campus violence. "The press rather loused up its treatment of the letter," he said, "but the main reason for it was this: the members of the community wanted me to do something on the subject of academic disturbances, with the stipulation that it be something fair. The result was my letter, which lays out one of the ground rules demanded by the

community." The President remarked that his form of action was only one of several possible methods, but that he felt it was the best one.

Hesburgh stressed the need for increased enrollment of minority groups, stating that "The improvement of ghetto high schools is far more important than the lowering of our admissions standards." He did advocate, however, special tutoring courses for underprivileged freshmen for as long as needed. He also promised better food preparation in the dining halls, with the additional promise that "If the food isn't better next year, I'll go over every night and eat it myself."

Regarding the question of co-education at Notre Dame, the President hinted that a cluster system of girls' colleges will probably happen before the University goes "internally co-ed." Several students expressed impatience with the present social structure, however, to which Hesburgh replied, "I definitely agree that female companionship is necessary here, but people (i.e., Barat College) who said they would deliver didn't deliver."

Hesburgh also acknowledged that the parietal hours resolution passed by the Student Life Council would be coming before the Board of Trustees in ten days, and that it would be "an uphill fight. But I'll do what I can."

The University President concluded by expressing his faith in Notre Dame: "It's got a great tradition, which I think will be preserved. Contrary to what many people think, this place is not going broke, pagan, or to hell."

Author threatens to sue

The Student Union faces a possible law suit as a result of the unscheduled second performance of *Lady Godiva* during the Pornography and Censorship Conference.

Ronald Talvel, New York author of the play, announced that he has asked his agents to file suit against the Student Union for royalties owed him and for punitive damages.

Talvel claims that the Student Union owes him \$160 in royalties for the second performance of *Lady Godiva* and for the unscheduled performance of another play, *The Secret Life of Juanita Castro*.

"They (the Student Union) paid for the first performance," Talvel said, "but they refused to pay for the second and third performances."

"They pretended that on the one hand they had no contract and on the other hand that they were not responsible for the performance," he said.

Talvel claims that since the Union brought the play to campus they were the producers and so are legally responsible for any performances on campus. He says that the Union, therefore, owes royalties for the two unscheduled performances.

Lady Godiva was brought to campus by the Student Union in connection with the (Continued on page 3)



Chris Wolfe Racism?

"Racism (ˈrɑːsɪzəm) - a belief that race is the primary determinant of human traits and capacities and that racial differences produce an inherent superiority of a particular race" (p. 704, *Webster's Seventh New Collegiate Dictionary*).

The use of this particular word at Notre Dame, in the SBP election and elsewhere, is more bothersome than all those four letter words of *Vaceline*, *Uranus*, et al. The latter are simply somewhat obscene and a childish gesture of rebellion to shock readers and attract attention to the users. The former is much more serious because its application to Notre Dame, as an institution or as a community, is libelous and defamatory.

The charge of racism, institutional or otherwise, is based on an erroneous notion of what the word means, the use of it being justified on two (inaccurate) grounds. First, the community is said to be characterized by racial prejudice, e.g. people in the next room talking about "niggers" and "chummy" whites deserting blacks at mixers.

Second, the argument goes, blacks start out with an unequal educational opportunity and therefore any institution which does not try to help equalize that opportunity significantly is institutionally racist. On this point Notre Dame is said to give only token support to the equalization of opportunity.

It is proper to study the truth of these accusations, of course; but another question must be raised which goes beyond the truth of the individual charges. There are students at Notre Dame who say "nigger" and avoid blacks at mixers, and Notre Dame's aid to blacks will not equalize opportunity for them overnight, or even this year. But what must be noted is that, even were they 100% true, neither of these constitutes grounds for a charge of racism. It is debatable that many whites are disloyal to black friends and it is debatable that Notre Dame's admissions policy is unfair; these charges are bona fide expressions of opinion which deserve serious evaluation, though not necessarily agreement.

The charge of racism, however, ought to be abhorred by any thinking individual, as being irresponsibly libelous, because the evidence on which the charge is based has nothing to do with a belief in the inherent superiority of whites over blacks.

The question then becomes "why do people employ this particular word?" The answer is found in the use which can be made of the overtones of "racism." It has connotations, intermingled, of Hitler, fascism, apartheid, a disproven, unscientific theory, the stereotype of the Southern "bad guy" who says "nigger" and admits that he uses it derogatorily. These connotations make the charge of racism particularly strong language, and justifiably so, for racism is a thoroughly evil belief.

The word, then, because of these strongly derogatory connotations, can be used to degrade an opponent and make people fearful of saying things which may bring down upon their heads that charge. In fact, it is used at Notre Dame for that purpose - to degrade anyone who opposes any black demand, no matter what the demand or the reason for opposition. In many ways, the whole use is similar to the use of "communist" by Joe McCarthy in the early 1950's, when he tried to frighten people into acting a particular way by making extreme charges against so many people. There was a certain hysteria against opponents too, that is present now.

The result is that anyone who opposes any black demand is (Hitlerian, a bigot, unChristian) . . . a racist. Moreover, it has reached the point where anyone who says that Notre Dame is not a racist institution is . . . somehow . . . a racist.

VOTE
Snoopy for student body dog
Porky for student body pig
On the Charlie Brown platform

Anyone interested in the
**Draft Counselor
Training Session**
March 14-16
Call 1768
Today

SAVE!
on New & Used Cars
1969 GTO
400 cc H.D. 3sp., wide oval,
radio, buckets, heater, custom
interior. \$2824
1969 FIREBIRD
350 cc, 3sp., wide ovals, radio,
buckets, custom interior. . . \$2649
See DAN TUTKO (class of
'67) at WELTER
PONTIAC, 3:30 to closing

THIS WEEKEND...

Collegiate JAZZ Festival

FRIDAY and SATURDAY
1:30 and 7:30 pm; Stepan Center

Featuring the Nation's Best

College Jazz Groups

COMBOS BIG BANDS

De Paul
Ball State
U. of Illinois
U. of Chicago
M.I.T.
Michigan State
Memphis State
Northwestern

Illinois Central
Michigan State
Case Western Reserve
Memphis State
M.I.T.
U. of Illinois
U. of N. Iowa
U. of Missouri
Ball State

TICKETS

Afternoon sessions \$1.00
Evening sessions \$3.00
All 4 sessions \$4.50

Dining Halls Tonight & Thursday
and at the door

Run Your Own Ad For St. Patrick's Day

Say hello to her through
the News Media!

Three Lines - \$1.00 Six Lines - \$1.75

example

To Marian M.
Finnegan's wake,
and teriyaki steak
-Patrick Henry

Come up to the
OBSERVER BUSINESS OFFICE
Second Floor LaFortune
Any Afternoon This Week

NCR, Commonweal blast Hesburgh

by Bill Mitchell

Father Hesburgh's much-praised get-tough policy took it on the chin this week from a pair of national publications.

Lead editorials in *Commonweal* and *The National Catholic Reporter* criticized the Notre Dame president for failing to confront the issues raised by campus dissidents.

The NCR says Hesburgh's stand is founded on an unsupported assumption, i.e., "It takes as granted that the university, along with the society of which it is a part, is worth preserving." The editorial points out that Hesburgh is then "free to treat student radicals as saboteurs of all that is good and holy."

It is the NCR's point that what is "good and holy" is not necessarily found in today's secular universities, or at Notre Dame. From the above assumption, according to NCR, "It then becomes part of the technique to acknowledge that real issues exist in our 'often non-glorious civilization,' and to concede that students who address these issues seriously

may be moved by good motives 'idealism, generosity, dedication and service.'"

The paper describes these admissions as "not so much generous as condescending however, because the issues raised in the campus revolt are not treated with real seriousness . . . but are only mentioned in fleeting fashion and vague, non-committal terms.

"What radical students do, however, in contrast with what they think, is spelled out in vivid and scornful language. When students talk like radical social critics they are given a pat on the head 'it's nice to see that you're concerned, lad.' When they translate their criticism into disruptive action, they become instant monsters."

NCR editors maintain, "There is something anomalous about the Hesburgh letter. The university's right to defend itself and to expel dissident members from the academic community is grounded on the contention that the university is genuinely an open society, ruled by traditions of civility, respect for the rights of all and obedience to the rule of reason . . . Anyone who

claims to be defending the rule of reason, and who begins his defense by begging the question is not going to be very persuasive to those who know what the question is."

"Father Hesburgh's stand," the editorial continues, "was bound to appeal to President Nixon and to make a favorable impression in the living rooms of suburban ranch houses and blue collar bungalows. To students who know that Father Hesburgh has brains enough to grasp its content, his letter was one more predictable establishmentarian performance, one more non-response."

What is it that Hesburgh should have said?

The editors suggest: "Well, for one thing he might have said what he himself really thinks about the war in Vietnam." The indictment presented by radical students says, according to NCR, "that the war goes on in its bumbling murderous fashion because people who know it should stop will not risk even saying so, inhibited as they are

by institutional commitments, attracted as they are by the comfortableness of ambiguity.

"The indictment specifically includes American universities, which are accused of profound complicity in a massive act of moral evasion."

The Kansas City based weekly puts this question to Hesburgh: "Given the existence of such convictions, given also the evidence that peaceful protest and political action are futile, robbed of meaning by a decadent party system, what kind of behavior would Father Hesburgh commend to those who believe the war is wrong? What promise does he give them of his own support, of Notre Dame's?"

The editors take care to point out that "the point of these comments is not that universities don't need rules . . . rather it is that the country needs to hear something more from its Father Hesburghs besides pious phrases in defense of civility."

(Continued on page 4)

"Godiva" author threatens libel against Union for performances

(Continued from page 2)

Pornography and Censorship Conference. It was scheduled to be performed in Washington Hall on two successive evenings. The first night performance went on as scheduled.

After the confiscation of the film "Kodak Ghost Poems" by the South Bend police, the Student Union cancelled the remainder of the conference, including the second performance of *Lady Godiva*.

The Union at that time terminated their contract with The Playhouse of the Ridiculous, the acting company which put on the play. After discussions with various students not affiliated with the Union, the acting company decided to perform the play anyway in the Student Center. The following night the acting company put on *The Secret Life of Juanita Castro*, another play by Talvel.

Student Union Vice-President Bill Wade, commenting on the issue, said that the Student Union was "not really worried about action on the suit."

Wade said that he had called Talvel and explained to him that he felt that he had "no grounds for the suit."

Wade said that the Student Union had signed a termination agreement with the Playhouse of the Ridiculous which protects the Union. In the agreement, *The Playhouse of the Ridiculous* had waived its rights to sue for breach of contract and agreed that neither the Student Union or the University would be held responsible for any subsequent production of the play.

Wade said that the second performance of the play was not made under the "auspices of the Student Union." Therefore, the Union is not responsible for paying for the performance.

Wade added that the acting company had decided to put the

play on on their own. He also said that a Notre Dame junior, Bill McElroy, had arranged for the use of the Student Center and at the time had agreed to be responsible for the performance.

STEPAN CENTER
Dance Spectacular
..... DIRECT FROM ENGLAND
THE
SPENCER DAVIS GROUP
"Gimme Some Lovin'" - "Somebody Help Me" - "I'm A Man"
PLUS
THE CAMBRIDGE FIVE
WEDNESDAY MARCH 12, 1969
7:30 to 11:00PM Adm. \$2.50

TONIGHT -
"FIRST FRIDAY"
in Concert
SMC Coffee House
9:00 pm

CONTOURA
with Diamonds of
Ultimate Beauty . . .



Illustration Enlarged

Her fondest dreams come true when you choose a Contoura diamond bridal set.

by *Feature Ring*
Diamond Import Co
THE ULTIMATE IN DIAMONDS
WHOLESALE - SEEN BY APPOINTMENT
PHONE 287-1427

Putting you first, keeps us first.



Impala Custom Coupe equipped for trailering

If Chevrolet can't haul it, maybe you'd better leave it.

Under Chevrolet's hood you'll find the biggest standard V8 in its field—327 cubic inches of it. Or, you can order all the way up to our 390-hp 427-cubic-inch V8. And if that won't haul it, see

our truck line. We have the right connections for your trailering too. Like body/frame trailer hitches and trailer wiring harnesses. So drop down to your

Chevrolet dealer's and get a load off your mind. And put it in a Chevrolet.

CHEVROLET
Sports-Recreation Dept.

The Observer is published daily during the college semester except vacations by the students of the University of Notre Dame and St. Mary's College. Subscriptions may be purchased for \$10 from The Observer, Box 11, Notre Dame Ind., 46556. Second class postage paid, Notre Dame Ind. 46556.

The Marrieds: A place in the sun

by Ted Price

This is the third of a five part series on the married students at Notre Dame and St. Mary's.

Bringing your bride to South Bend hoping to spend a few days househunting begins to look like the mistake of your life when all you can find are either places that are way out of range financially, or others that you wouldn't use as a doghouse. The real frustration comes when you begin to see that most people, whether they are part of the college community or from town, don't seem to care about your plight.

"We came up over Memorial Day weekend, and got the latest lists from the Off-Campus Housing office," reported one graduate student. "We started out the next day at about 8 o'clock, and by noon my wife and I were ready to give up. We saw more dirty slum housing for from \$85 to \$95 a month than I ever thought existed. Some were deserted buildings without a single unbroken pane of glass."

The Off-Campus Commission maintains lists of available housing for students which are updated periodically. They are compiled by landlords and ladies coming to the office and answering a questionnaire about the facilities offered. However, the Commission specifies that such a listing should not be construed by students as any type of guarantee.

"We simply cannot check out any of the houses or apartments we list," said Off-Campus Commissioner Mike Phelps. "We do have certain standards, such as lighting and so forth, which the landlord must agree to. But we don't try to drive around the area to see which listings are in the better neighborhoods or look like they are more comfortable, etc. All we know about these places is what the landlord says on the questionnaire."

He added that the only criterion that the Commission may use to strike a listing is evidence that the landlord is discriminating by race or other standards. This is the only type of guarantee implied in a Commission listing.

Many students said that they found the Commission listings inadequate and turned to the classified section of the local newspapers. However, they often found that rents being asked here were out of the question.

"I looked around for almost three weeks before blasses began," said a junior. "I quickly ran

through the listing the O-C office provided and couldn't find anything decent. I just happened to hear of this apartment through a friend at school, and I grabbed it. Going through a realtor or the newspaper seemed to up the rents almost ten percent."

There are several apartment complexes for young marrieds in the South Bend-Mishawaka area but the rents here run in the neighborhood of \$90 per month. They are unfurnished, but they do have the advantage that they are well taken care of and maintenance and other services are provided.

University Village, about one-half mile north of the two campuses, has 108 units available for married Notre Dame students over 21. They are managed by Mr. and Mrs. Robert Walters, who are students themselves. But they are hard to get into.

"We begin taking applications in the spring," said Walters, "and we try to give preference to couples with children. We inform those couples that we have accepted around the first of May. From then on, it is strictly on a first come, first served basis."

The apartments rent for \$70 per month, plus utilities. Tenants are provided with household appliances, but must bring other furnishings. The complex is University-owned and are rather attractive. However, because of the over-21 rule and the long waiting list, most of the residents are graduate students, although a few undergrads do manage to get in.

Unfortunately, neither the colleges, nor the city have given any indication that there will be an expansion of student housing in general or married student housing in particular. South Bend does not provide adequate housing for the large student population here, so it would seem that the institutions themselves would start a building program to alleviate the situation. However, the expense of such a project would be high, and few officials have given the subject much thought.

"Housing is bad for all off-campus students," said Mike Phelps, "but for the marrieds, it's murder." The situation has assumed the form of a status quo without any change likely in the near future.

Tomorrow: A Look from within. The Marrieds themselves take the floor to give their outlook on married life.



The House of Vision Inc.

Craftsmen in Optics

FOR THE FINEST EYEGLASSES AND CONTACT LENSES
THE SHERLAND BLDG.--132 S. MICHIGAN ST. Central 2-1468

The House of Vision Inc.

Main Office: 135 N. Wabash Ave.--Chicago

APPLICATIONS are now being accepted for the position of Editor-in-Chief of the OBSERVER. The position is open to any undergraduate student of St. Mary's College and the University of Notre Dame. Applicants must submit a letter of intent and resume to:

THE EDITORIAL BOARD
c/o Mr. William Luking
Editor-in-Chief
The Observer
Box 11
Notre Dame, Ind. 46556

Applications for editor should be postmarked by March 13.

VOTE!

**SBP ELECTIONS
TODAY
IN THE HALLS
11:30 - 1:00
5:30 - 7:00**

**OFF CAMPUS
OFFICE
BASEMENT
OF LAFORTUNE
9am - 7pm**

**REMINDER:
SENIORS
ARE ELIGIBLE
TO VOTE**

dub policy "delusionary...non-response"

(Continued from page 3)

It is NCR's opinion that the Hesburgh letter will have "effects contrary to its author's intent—it will help radical recruitment, and it may encourage backlash. At the least, it will not make the contribution to public understanding of the generation gap crisis which one might have hoped for from this particular president of Notre Dame."

The editorial also criticizes Hesburgh for failure to issue the policy through the Student Life Council, but praises the proposed "student-originated, Hesburgh-endorsed program to inaugurate a department for the study of non-violent means of resolving human conflict."

runoff possible

continued from page 1

As OBSERVER statistics had it last night, although they are mighty close, none of the leading candidates for SBP have a majority support of the student body. According to Official Election Rules, there must be, in fact, over fifty per cent of the total votes cast behind the winning ticket. If present trends continue, Wednesday's results could force the final decision for the next Student Body President to be made this Friday.

The rules state in the case of the absence of majority decision, the two candidates having the most votes will be placed in a run-off election two days afterwards, with no write-ins allowed.

NCR concludes its 2,000 word plus editorial: "We hope both Father Hesburgh and the students who suggested the idea understand that non-violence as understood by Gandhi and King is not just a way of keeping the natives quiet, but a way of making an intolerable status quo collapse."

Commonweal rejects what they call "Hesburgh's Law" as "delusionary," claiming that he who believes that "discipline is the key to controlling the problems of campus, secular or Catholic" is casting about in a "fool's paradise."

The editorial suggests that "the notion of student influence and meaningful reform are kept subordinate to old ideals of discipline. Students are to be taught in what amounts to an atmosphere of benevolent repression, with the administration shielded from all save the mildest of pressure from students frequently more sensitive to social and political urgencies than the universities august directors."

The magazine says, "Crisis will loom so long as conventional policies keep Catholic campuses almost lily-white, so long as recruiters for questionable agencies and companies have free access to the student body, so long as ROTC units troop about campus training officers for dirty wars, and so long as ties are maintained with alumni and others that foster evils like institutional racism. The Catholic university is a tainted

place, and as such has an accountability to those it presumes to educate."

It is on this point, says *Commonweal*, that "the warp in the Hesburgh ultimatum buckles . . . Crises is foreseen, but the responsibility for an orderly campus is placed on the student body, with no attendant facing up to institutional policies which will be a factor in determining whether order ought indeed be maintained on campus."

"Hesburgh's law may appeal to law-and-order Republicans, but it will not be adopted by the perceptive university nor tolerated by students with a sophisticated sense of the collateral responsibilities of the university administration."

"If Notre Dame or any Catholic university has a future worth anticipating, it will have substantially larger percentages of students demanding, like their counterparts on the secular campus, an authenticity and commitment purer than that presently known."

As does NCR, *Commonweal* reassures all that its goal is not a ruleless university, but insists that "before the university administration lays down its fascist dicta, it look to itself and the policies which justify the grievances, and therefore validate the confrontations of students."

"Distortions abound on the Catholic campus, beginning, as most would admit, with Notre Dame. So much so that to talk of campus order primarily in terms of student department rings of fraudulence."