

# Observer Endorsement . . . . pg. 4

# THE OBSERVER

Vol. V, No. 85

Serving the Notre Dame and Saint Mary's College Community

Wednesday, February 24, 1971

## Barkett outlines SLC improvements, others

by Fred Schaefer

John Barkett, candidate for SBP, outlined his plans last night in Keenan-Stanford to make the



SBP Candidate John Barkett

Student Life Council "effective" if he is elected.

He said he would "lay it on the line to the members," have either himself or Orlando Rodriguez, his running mate, concentrate on SLC matters, and have members of the Research and Development staff work on proposals.

He cited "poor leadership, the three poles of faculty, students, and administration working against each other instead of for each other, and a lack of homework on the part of representatives" as reason for the "present ineffectiveness" of the Council. He outlined these points in response to a question raised by SBP candidate Eileen Fitzpatrick.

Barkett also said "I don't

think the six students on the SLC have done anything."

When questioned about how soon his programs could become operable, he said, "A lot of them could happen within the next year." He also claimed that "a lot of apathy is discontent. There is some apathy, but I think a lot of it is discontent."

Earlier in the evening, while speaking at Pangborn, Barkett said he would work for an improved social schedule. Specifically he mentioned improving the concert schedule, the speaker program, and the schedule for the spring semester.

In addition, he said "The student union building needs work. Perhaps a laundromat could be added. I'd like to see a whole new building."

The candidate was questioned about his thoughts on abolishing the Senate next year. He replied, "I don't plan to pursue the abolition. It's not worth my time on my list of priorities. One thing I would like to see done though is to have the Student Body Vice President chair the meetings."

On co-education Barkett said that "it won't mean instant women, it won't mean instant change. I won't solve all our problems. It does mean a commitment, and a reduction of the ratio. Morally, I think Saint Mary's should have some say. But this is not the great 'seduction and rape' that some people make out."

Concerning his concept of student government, he said, "We

have to bring student government back down out of the clouds to a practical level. It has to respond to the practical needs of the student."

Barkett said the main differences between himself and Don Mooney "are that he plans to increase the Activities fee, I don't. He wants to spend money on an Off-Campus Student Center, I would rather work here with the money. He speaks of Associated Students, but does not speak in specifics, only in

generalities. He has little experience with Hall Presidents, I do."

Barkett's SBVP candidate Orlando Rodriguez called for student government going to the students, and not the other way around. Is it the job of the people to keep in communication with individuals they elect? I think not, I think it is the other way around."

Rodriguez was asked about the recent demands made by MECHA. He responded, "I don't like confrontations. Some of the

demands were reasonable, while some weren't. For example, the demand for a Mexican American recruiter and counselor immediately is a little bit strong."

"Some of the demands I agree with, some I don't. The demand for a Chicano concentration I agree with. Minority people need to be among people of the same background to maintain their self-respect, especially at a place like Notre Dame with such a large white population."

## Mooney campaigns in halls

by Kevin McGill

Don Mooney, SBP candidate, spoke to a slightly hostile group of students at Morrissey Hall yesterday. The President of Morrissey, the first of nine halls where Mooney campaigned last night, is John Barkett who also seeks the SBP.

Mooney stressed the idea that instead of making promises which the student body would simply await for student government to fulfill, he would get everyone interested and active in accomplishing things on more individual levels.

"I don't think that anybody can change Notre Dame through bureaucracy," he said, "especially in the short-run. I don't think I can. The Administration has always had a nebulous attitude about student government, they read all the reports they issue but never take them seriously. Let's start working with the students again. It's not Fr. Hesburgh's or the student government's fault if we're not using our lives effectively, it's our own."

As an example of the power the students could muster themselves, he said that two halls could set up their own co-exchange program if coeducation doesn't come about.

When asked why he and not John Barkett should be elected, he said "I feel confident myself that I know people better." Referring to a statement of Barkett's made Monday that many aggressive freshmen have good social lives, he said, "I think that John doesn't understand what is wrong around here."

Don Sherry, Mooney's running mate, said in St. Edward Hall that Barkett would make an effective and authoritative president, but pointed out that David Krashna's administration has shown that this kind of government accomplishes little for the individual student. Both he and Mooney maintained that despite some outward similarities, their whole philosophy was different from Barkett's.

Mooney thought that apathy came about because the student government is involved only in long range matters that don't pertain to the students. He considered the Strike last year a "magic" example of what individual students could do, but felt that it died in the bureaucracy of the coordinating committee that was established. "People came here to grow, not follow," he said. "Give leadership back to the people."

The Senate now has much financial power, and Sherry



SBP Candidate Don Mooney

thought that a constitutional committee should be set up so that the students would have a say in who controls this power. Such a committee, drawn directly from the halls, he feels would embody the ideas that the students want, and the representatives would be more responsive to their halls, reducing apathy in the hall members.

Mooney envisions a Student Association, a loose organization of halls on both campuses which would, through hall presidents and off-campus representatives, divide the student activities fee. Some money would be needed for the campus-wide organizations such as the Social Commis-

(continued on page 8)

## Concern over referendum

by Ed Ellis

Several campus leaders last night expressed concern over the turnout in today's coeducation referendum. All agree that a massive turnout is essential to assure the Board of Trustees of a unified campus stand behind coeducation, but a tone of guarded skepticism pervaded all comment.

"I'll admit, I've had second thoughts since my original motion for a campus wide referendum," stated Badin Hall President, Buzz Imhoff. "I felt the need for a tangible sign of this campus' opinion of co-education. But I'm afraid disinterest in the referendum might be interpreted as opposition to co-education."

NSA Coordinator Steve Novak added that since the Student Government proposal is "the only one on the ballot, its defeat would surely imply a defeat for co-education to the Trustees."

Mark Winings, Student Body VP, emphasizing the extreme importance of a large turnout warned that the student body



Buzz Imhoff

could "chalk it up, if they don't start turning out on the issues." He stressed the fact that this proposal was the student's own, and cited this as an opportunity for Notre Dame to assume a position of "national leadership instead of standing for a useless five year delay."

Senator T.C. Treanor, who last week voted against a Senate endorsement of the referendum, echoed his support for co-education by stating, "It's imperative that the referendum pass. The implications of failure would effect not only co-education but anything students bring before the Board of Trustees."

## S.G.-suspension rfrdm. cancelled

by Cliff Wintrode

The referendum to suspend student government for next year will not be held tomorrow, the date of the student body presidential elections.

The referendum will be held, but the student government election committee must meet and decide on the date. It will apparently not be held next Monday, which is the date for a possible student body president run-off election.

The Notre Dame Judicial Committee ruled last night that the student government constitution forbids holding the referendum less than ten days after presentation to the Student Senate.

The Student Senate was presented last Thursday with a petition bearing the signatures of five-hundred students asking that a referendum on the suspen-

sion of student government for one year be held January 25, today.

That was only eight days ago, and by a 3-2 vote, the judicial committee said that the January 25 date was too soon after Senate presentation.

Only four of the seven members of the judicials committee were present at the meeting last night and student body president Dave Krashna broke a 2-2 vote and decided against a referendum Thursday.

Krashna is the chairman of the judicial committee and can only vote in case of a tie.

The question over the constitutionality of the referendum was liberally flavored with charges from both sides of political interest involved in their consideration.

The five members on the

judicial committee all agreed that the timing of the referendum, scheduled on the day of the student body presidential elections, had political overtones.

Having the referendum on an election day would improve the turnout of students voting on the referendum. This is crucial because sixty percent of the students must vote on a referendum to validate its passage.

The February 25 date was written into the petition circulated by students desiring a referendum.

However, the majority of the judicial committee said that since the Student Senate received the petition last week, it was possible for the senate to change the voting date.

Therefore, the majority said (continued on page 8)



# Co-education positions stated by candidates

## Mooney: change attitudes

by Art Ferranti

SBP candidate Don Mooney yesterday voiced his opinions concerning co-education at Notre Dame. He said, "We have all heard of co-education for the years we have been here. We have waited too long for results. Co-ed dorms and expanded dining facilities will be here next September if we push the Administration this spring."

Mooney stressed the social areas of the co-education proposal saying that "The merger of the University will take care of the academic problems and would make possible university degrees for men and women. We have to worry about co-ed dorms, an expanded co-ed dining program, and increasing the number of females in the University."

Reinforcing his platform of student involvement, Mooney stated, "A lot of these things students are going to have to do themselves without much help from the university. Essentially we, male and female, have to change our lives and relate with each other as if this were a co-ed school. This means breaking down stagnant date-oriented relationships. Hopefully," he continued, "we can start planning activities for the student body—male and female. But to change attitudes it takes people—not just student government types."

Mooney favored a merger

with St. Mary's rather than going co-ed on our own. However, if the Administration of SMC refused to merge, Mooney said then that Notre Dame should go co-ed on its own but he hoped SMC would realize that "It would take five years to develop that sort of education on our own" whereas SMC has the facilities to merge with ND almost immediately.

Mooney also responded to the co-ed dorm situation and the fear of various students who might get pushed out of their halls. He said, "We would have to look around for the right kind of halls for co-ed living and see how those students feel about it. We would also have to make sure that anyone who lost his room because of co-education got an equally good room in return."

Mooney believes in swift merger of student governments. He outlined his proposals as follows, "The Student Union would be for both campuses, the cabinet would be the same, and the legislative body would be the Hall Presidents of both campuses." He said that the Administration had no say in the matter and that "Students can organize whatever they want to."

"Co-ed dining," Mooney concluded, "is an important part of one's social education at the university. I have some ideas and the program can be developed for co-ed dining."



SBP Candidate Jim Riebandt

## Riebandt: "matching dorms"

by Greg Rowinski

Jim Riebandt answered questions about co-education, the number one priority in his SBP campaign.

Riebandt emphasized the two major points which he felt student government should emphasize at the Board of Trustees meeting. One is that "men and women are meant for each other," and the other that Trustees should live on campus for a time to see the situation firsthand.

The Riebandt-Connelly platform is made up of a number of key planks, he commented, one of the most important being the concept of "matching dorms." This would entail earmarking neighboring dorms on the ND campus for men or women. The idea of sister school would become more intimate in the form of a sister dorm.

Another vital point of his campaign is establishing student

government as the "go-between" for students, trustees, and administration, he remarked. This function would be begun with the presentation before the Board in March.

Riebandt suggested a merger of the two student governments to combine the powers of parallel bodies rather than eliminating any group. This would, he hoped, prevent the recurrence of a situation in which the "good people" of student government lose their effectiveness because they lack a dynamic, central "core." The merger would obtain "the best of both," in Riebandt's words.

Co-education is one of the immediate steps that student government can take to hasten co-education, he claimed. Riebandt suggested a wide expansion of the program which had its first trial Monday.

Riebandt then described a program which could be implemented over a four-year period of admitting students on an even male-female ratio. This would be a major thrust of his attempt to "create a relaxed atmosphere of normalcy" which would be better "psychologically."

In answer to any inquiry regarding students' reluctance to move out of their halls as a requirement for co-education, Riebandt replied that many "would be willing to move." He said that he would strive, however, to make certain that no student would be moved out against his will. Individual opinions could be obtained at section meetings before decisions were made.

In closing, Riebandt reiterated that co-education is a vital issue and should be pushed to action.

## Sherman's platform

(Continued from page 2)

complete co-education, as a supplement to the present laundry system. ND students would therefore be accustomed to doing "at least a portion of their laundry themselves," in Sherman's words.

Sherman further suggested that the loss of maid service be introduced gradually, and proposed that the present daily maid service be reduced to a weekly service, again lessening the shock of changes in living style due to co-education.

Sherman and Murphy pro-

posed more informal, campus-wide social events, offering free outdoor movies in the spring as one example.

The candidates summarized their position by saying that they feel that the responsibility of student government lies on the campus, rather than "in battling civic problems for the city of South Bend." Rather than "waste two years trying to abolish the Senate," Sherman said, student government "ought to be dedicated to making life a little easier for the average ND student."

## Sheehy announces platform

by Ann Therese Darin

"We see student government as a representative body; essential, but ineffective without student support," said Jane Sheehy, SMC candidate for Student Body President.

In an interview Sunday, Miss Sheehy and her running mate, Sue Welte, discussed the main points of their platform and their goals for next year, if elected.

Citing coeducation as their main concern, the candidates strongly endorsed full coeducation both in the classroom and social areas (which they defined to include residence halls, dining and recreational facilities, co-ed dormitories, union of counseling and student personnel).

They also favor smaller student-teacher ratio and diversity in departmental emphasis.

Miss Sheehy and Miss Welte also plan immediate work in the analogous areas of Notre Dame and St. Mary's student governments. They pointed out that steps such as the merging of the SSO and the Student Union have been initiated, and indicate that other such consolidations are possible.

They hope for a complete merger of student governments and the drafting of a joint constitution in the coming year.

The candidates emphasized the issue of academics. They

They favor the merger of St. Mary's with Notre Dame, but see value in a small academic community and view SMC as a separate, coeducational liberal arts college within the university structure. They believe that if St. Mary's is not assimilated entirely into Notre Dame academic considerations will be of utmost importance in the future.

Miss Sheehy and Miss Welte also endorse the option of off-campus housing for junior and senior students, and the expansion of programs for sex and drug education on the hall level. They see hall life as vital and expressed the desire to draw faculty members into the halls with activities such as gabfests, informal conferences, etc.



SRVP candidate Sue Welte

stress the need for continued, intense study and reform in academic departments. SMC, they feel, must distinguish itself within the struture of Notre Dame through academics.

Supporting a vast range of work-study programs, independent study, and contractual courses, they also wish to investigate the possibility of a program for study and credit during January (between the first and second semesters) and a review of the senior comprehensive requirements.



SBP Candidate Jane Sheehy

Lastly, as a long range goal, the candidates expressed hopes for a mall and a student center between the two campuses, serving both and providing a place to go after hall closing.

The Observer is published daily during the college semester except vacations by the students of the University of Notre Dame and St. Mary's College. Subscriptions may be purchased for \$8 from The Observer, Box 11, Notre Dame, Ind. 46556. Second class postage paid, Notre Dame, Ind. 46556.

Open 12:45  
2 Weeks

**Cinemas**  
If You're 18 or Over It's The  
300 N. MAIN  
THEATRE

Proof of Age Required

Satanic Rituals, Black Mass, Animal Worship, all brought together for the first time.

**SEX RITUALS OF THE OCCULT**

Plus

**'MRS. LITTLE'S DUDE RANCH'**

THIS FILM RATED (X)

### WANTED CLASSIFIED ADVERTISEMENTS

Please - need ride to N.Y.C.  
2/28 and/or 3/12. call Jack  
282-2250

#### Help Wanted

Student artist capable of doing lettering & artistic conception drawings. Call 272-8448.

#### HOUSING

Getting Married?  
Need housing for Fall '71  
Call 255-8073

#### AUTOMOTIVE

Wanted to Sell. 67 BMW  
2000 TI. Factory Price 3850  
Good Condition. 2000 or best offer. 288-4516

#### FOR SALE

For Sale: One obsolete six-string fender guitar. Deplorable condition and an outrageous price. Former owner—a derelict. Call-6930

Time, Inc. 282-2250  
Available at Student  
Rates: Time, Life, Sports Illustrated, & Fortune Magazine. Call Tom, 282-2250.

Words	1 day	2 days	3 days	4 days	1 week
0-10	.50	.75	1.00	1.15	1.25
11-15	.60	1.00	1.35	1.75	2.15
16-20	.70	1.15	1.70	2.40	2.80
21-25	.85	1.35	2.00	2.90	3.45
26-30	1.00	1.60	2.35	3.40	4.10
31-35	1.20	1.80	2.80	3.85	4.70
36-40	1.35	2.10	3.10	4.25	5.10
41-45	1.55	2.30	3.30	4.50	5.60
46-50	1.75	2.50	3.50	4.75	6.00

#### PEANUTS PERSONAL

Drexler,  
How about setting me up with Joni before the wedding?  
The Clumper

My Secret Love  
Are you a girl?  
Spock

Spock-  
Guess!  
I'll never tell.  
Your secret love.

#### SERVICES

Breen-Phillips Food Sales.  
call in pizza orders before 11:00 pm. 1294 or 1705.  
Pick up at 11:30. Stop on your way home from the library. \$1.25 Cheese; \$1.40 Mushroom; \$1.50

Pepperoni; \$1.65 Everything

#### LOST AND FOUND

FOUND  
watch in A & P lot on Eddy  
Feb. 12 - call 289-8994 after 6  
must identify and pay for ad



# Sherman-Murphy.. 'unique platform'

Rich Sherman and Mike Murphy, SBP and VP candidates, delineated their "unique platform" in Pangborn Hall night. The basis of the platform is that the SBP should concern himself with "the problems of the average ND student."

Describing themselves as "tired of the usual political rhetoric" characteristic of the SBP race, and equally tired of the promises of "other candidates" which Sherman said are "too high up in the clouds to really get done," the Sherman-Murphy team stated their position on the three major issues of this year's election: co-education, student government reorganization, and minority recruitment. Sherman's

opinions on these three were: co-education is "now inevitable for Notre Dame," but it will be delayed by financial considerations which, he said, "the trustees are better qualified to handle than the students"; student government reorganization will not be realized until the student body sees student government as a body which is worth restructuring; and that the University should not "go out to 'buy' students for Notre Dame" since there is financial aid available exclusively to minority students, and those who really want to attend ND are able to do so.

After summarizing their stand on these widely-debated problems, Sherman and Murphy spoke on what they consider the really major problems at ND.

They stressed the need for larger allotments to the Student Union Social Commission for better concerts, an analysis of the personnel in the infirmary, the need for increased student parking facilities, and a consideration of

## Deputy

Last week Arthur Pears, Director of University security, and all members of his force, except hall monitors, were formally sworn in as deputy sheriffs of St. Joseph County.

According to Pears, deputies change when sheriffs change. Incumbent sheriff Dean Bolderjack was elected in November and sworn in in January. Pears explained that he and his staff had some time ago been commissioned by Bolderjack, but the official swearing in took place recently.

When asked whether his commission would interfere with his duties as security director he explained that it was the commission that gave him his powers as security director. In effect, he is charged with the protection of that area of the county that is Notre Dame property. He also noted that he is paid by the University rather than the county.

Pears said that neither he nor his staff would work other parts of the county unless in "hot pursuit" of someone from the campus.

the function of "Notre Dame Security." Another major area, according to Sherman, is the ability for students to communicate with the SBP, which he guaranteed to facilitate.

LaFortune served as the rotation point for the largest portion of the Sherman-Murphy presentation. According to Sherman, "co-education will create a need for a central location, where students can meet on a friendly level." He proposed that the renovation of LaFortune would provide such a meeting-place, indicating that the Huddle is greatly in need of restructuring.

A MacDonald's franchise, along with remodeling of the physical plant, could radically alter the Huddle's image, according to Murphy.

The balance of the Sherman-Murphy proposal centers around side effects of co-education. They argued that co-education would cause a "sudden, drastic change" in Notre Dame's laundry habits due to the cessation of regular University Laundry service, but that this could be avoided if the University installed a coin-operated laundromat setup in each hall prior to

(continued on page 2)

## WSND endorses Rossi

In an editorial to be released today WSND endorses J. Richard Rossi in tomorrow's election. Rossi was Student Body President here at Notre Dame in 1968-69. The editorial board of the campus station decided unanimously to endorse Rossi after interviewing seven of this year's Student Body President Candidates at length, over a period of two days.

"We just felt that none of the candidates we talked to presented the qualities necessary to gain our endorsement at this time," remarked Steve Della-

pietra, WSND station manager.

"All had fairly good ideas, all possessed fairly good qualifications and all of them seemed to be willing to work very hard for the student body, but the editorial board here believes that the University now stands poised on the threshold of the greatest change in its life, namely co-education. As well student government at Notre Dame is itself at a crucial stage of its own development where it needs a new direction, new leadership and a radical restructuring."

"Unfortunately," Dellapietra added, "those of us on the board felt that none of the candidates could sufficiently tackle the problem of the re-ordering of student government that is necessary to insure a greater well being of the students not to mention making sure that the student voice was adequately represented in the making of the tremendously important decisions connected with the commitment to co-education."

"Finally," Dellapietra commented "We felt that Rossi during his year as Student Body President proved to us that he alone possessed such great qualifications. As a matter of fact all of those candidates whom we asked who they felt was the best SBP in their stay at Notre Dame all replied J. Richard Rossi."



The Marine Officer selection team will be on campus 17 to 20 February in the South Dining Hall

## Support

Over the past three or four years we have seen a lot of endorsements of candidates by observers and scholastics of every political ideology. The idea of students passing judgement on other students is not the best, or most efficient way of determining who is the best candidate. It can serve as a guide for those who have not taken the time to understand the issues themselves, it can be abused if students rely primarily upon them as a means of determining their vote. We feel that the experience which we have gained over the past four years qualifies us to make our endorsement known in the same way that members of the press and the present student government will make theirs know. Therefore we, the undersigned seniors, endorse the candidacy of Eileen Fitzpatrick and Walter Secada for president and vice-president of the student body of Notre Dame.

Barney Gallagher  
Bernie Ryan  
Denny Conroy  
Mike Shaughnessy  
Carl Rak  
Tom Thrasher

Rob Barteletti  
Chris Wolfe

Jim Metzger  
Jim Rocap  
Chuck Ryan  
Mark Zimmerman  
Ed Davey  
Rich Hunter

Eileen Fitzpatrick

## Academic goals told by Hendrick

by Ann Therese Darin

In an interview yesterday Student Body Presidential contender Chip Hendrick disclosed that academic excellence ranks high on his list of reforms.

"A couple of years ago, a representative of the school mentioned at an alumni meeting in my hometown that Notre Dame is striving for academic excellence. He intimated that we should become the Harvard of the Midwest. We *SHOULDN'T* be striving to become a second-rate Harvard. We should be striving for something better—to become a first-rate Notre Dame," he stated.

Academic reform, Hendrick felt, can not be limited to co-education. "If we stick to one area," he stated "then we're

going to close ourselves off from experimenting with any other type of academic reform." He does not, however, diminish the importance of co-education. As part of his platform, he is advocating a greater "link" between both the Notre Dame and St. Mary's psychology departments.

He thinks that already the university may be tapering off from its former academic and social excellence. He cited, in particular, the Student Union Academic Commission. "I was disappointed with the speakers program this year," he commented.

"Two years ago," he continued, "they had an unbeatable speakers program with Bobby Kennedy. Last year and this year, however, we didn't get very many names."

If he is elected, he would aim to recruit presidential candidates and other controversial speakers for first semester next year.

In the area of academic reform he specifically advocates the following: an investigation of each department to determine which are old-fashioned, a re-evaluation of the use of the Memorial Library, and adaptation of more specialized academic programs.

He feels that many students leave Notre Dame because they can get a more-specialized education elsewhere.

Yesterday afternoon the candidate was scheduled to meet with municipal planners in South Bend in investigate the possibilities of more and adequate housing for Notre Dame off-campus students.

FREE

THE

OVER  
THE  
HILL

LAFORTUNE

GANG

PAUL GUERNSEY

JIM MORAN

FREE



# THE OBSERVER

AN INDEPENDENT STUDENT NEWSPAPER

Glen S. Corso, Editor-in-Chief

John E. Knorr, Executive Editor

Bruce Rieck, Business Manager

All is for the best in the best of possible worlds.

Candide

FOUNDED NOVEMBER 3, 1966

NOTRE DAME, INDIANA



Ed Ellis

## One Choice

There is a crisis in student government; one bad enough to have caused a student government abolition movement, one bad enough to inspire only inertia and apathy through the year, one bad enough to undermine any operation student government attempts this next year. It is surely the worst crisis Student Government has faced in five years, and it makes our choice tomorrow doubly important.

Among the seven candidates running this year—in fact, among the dozens of poobahs who have made the bid over the past couple years—John Barkett stands closest to all that is best in a Student Body President. His record is impeccable. Elected President of Morrissey Hall as a Freshman, Barkett has helped initiate there one of the most effective hall programs on campus. For example, his is one of only two halls with an operational hall fellows program.

As Chairman of the Hall President's Council, Barkett has accomplished more than any of his predecessors. HPC workshops have for the first time reached some comprehensive conclusions about the role of the rector in the hall. And it was through Barkett's work and the work of the HPC that what could have been a disastrous room selection crisis was ironed out before it developed.

Barkett's choice of running mates is as commendable as his record. Thanks to Orlando Rodriguez's work as Human Affairs Commissioner, Notre Dame's "forgotten minority," the Mexican-Americans and other Spanish-speaking Americans on campus have been given an effective voice in Student Government. Rodriguez is articulate, intelligent and tremendously effective; the partnership he forged as Barkett's running mate in Morrissey Hall two years ago would serve the student body well.

Barkett and Rodriguez would bring to the Student Body President's office incredibly efficient administration, imagination, and, perhaps most importantly, solid and workable realistic *ideas*, something that Student Government has needed all year.

Barkett's plan to return money to the halls without raising the activities fee is particularly important, and, because of the decreased student government debt, quite workable. Some of the halls have pressing need of money they are not going to get from the Administration, and Barkett's plan would help answer that need.

Important, too, is Barkett's attitude towards coeducation. He alone seems to perceive the University's commitment towards total coeducation, and he alone has recognized the inevitable attendant problems and has moved to correct them. His six-point program to provide for a coeducated Notre Dame *will* correct those problems, and *will* make coeducation a lot more pleasant when it comes.

Finally, Barkett recognizes that in this University the loud and raucous confrontation techniques tried so often by Student Governments in the past with so little effect are ultimately doomed to failure. Instead, Barkett proposes that new and better researched bills be offered in the Student Life Council, and that an attitude of rational cooperation between the Administration and Student Government replace the one of bitterness and hostility generally.

The solidity of Barkett's ideas contrast impressively with the vagueness and indefiniteness of what the polls indicate as his principal opponent, Don Mooney. Mr. Mooney seems to think that by dropping in on a couple of section meetings and talking to people he judges representative of the various halls he can somehow find a consensus of student opinion. Until then, he'll not give anyone a clue as to what he has planned; in reality, he has nothing planned, beyond legal incorporation, a move that will accomplish nothing save further widening of the student-administration gap since an individual can bring suit against Notre Dame as easily as a corporation.

Some of the other candidates, notably Michael Cervas, have things to offer this campus, but none of them have either the experience nor the Administration ability of John Barkett. And that experience and administrative ability is needed in student government now. Only one choice provides it, and that choice is Barkett.

## It must be

The scenario is familiar. A poobah with the backing of the current Student Government proposes a new form of government in order to "interest" all the students. We've seen this before. In 1969, Phil McKenna proposed a University Senate, composed of students, faculty, administrators, trustees, alumni and representatives of every conceivable group on campus.

In 1970, Dave Krashna, running with McKenna's support, proposed the Student Forum, replacing the Senate with the Hall Presidents' Council.

In 1971, Don Mooney is running to "organize" a government that will not govern, and he calls it a Student Association. He gives us no reason to feel that he can do what no one in the past few years has done.

No one has yet seen Phil McKenna's idea. Dave Krashna wasted two-thirds of his administration failing to set up his system. There is no reason at all for us to believe that Don Mooney's vague plan will become any more of a reality than either of the last two, and there is even less of a reason for us to believe that we would be any better off if the students did become incorporated and started throwing lawsuits around the campus as if the place were run by a conspiracy of thieves.

This is certainly not Don Mooney's fault. This worthy gentleman is above all, a really great person. However, he is undeniably associated with the current Student Government and its collection of Poobahs. His campaign manager, most of his political brain trust, and many of his hall workers are members of the vote-getting machine that has dominated LaFortune since 1967, and they want to stay there. It's up to the student body, apathetic or disgruntled as it may be, to remove them, simply by rejecting the same bill of fare that has been so joyfully swallowed so many times in the past. Don Mooney cannot defend his team's record, so he proposes a new structure. It should be rejected. Other people who can work with what we have without toying with structures should now have their chance.

There are eight candidates in this year's SBP race. All of them seem to be sincere in their efforts to help student government. However, many of them seem at the same time to become bogged down in the form of the thing, and forget that the people are the most important element.

Leaving out all the minor candidates (as determined by the *Observer* and WSND polls), we are left with Mooney and Hall Presidents' Council Chairman John Barkett.

Barkett is an administrator of unparalleled excellence. His store of information has astonished more than one listener during the past few days. And he holds a realistic attitude towards what the Student Body President can and cannot do. Most important of all, perhaps, is the fact that his record in the HPC makes it reasonable to suggest that he can and will maintain contact with the halls, something the current SBP promised but never fulfilled.

Barkett is not a dreamer, and thus will fail to excite those romantic souls who seek a dramatic confrontation every week. But he has reached the realization that hating and defiling people is not the only way to deal with them in a Christian context.

John Barkett does indeed talk fast, but no one can say that what he says is vague or uncertain. He may at first glance seem overly business-like, but then again he never skirts an issue or refuses to consider a rational idea.

In short, Mr. Barkett is just what we have not had in Student Government for at least two or three years. He is not a Poobah. He is not a brainchild of a disastrous administration. He and Orlando Rodriguez are something completely new. Their ideas and methods should be given a good shot at their respective jobs.

### Staff

Managing Editor: Bill Carter  
Editorial Page Editor: T.C. Treanor  
Features Editor: Dave Lam-  
mers  
Sports Editor: Terry Shields  
Assistant Sports Editor:  
Jim Donaldson  
Campus Editor: Steve  
Lazar  
News Editor: Ed Ellis

### Issue Staff

Night Editor: Jim Graif  
Layout Design: Jim Farrington  
Headlines: Chris Sullivan  
Layout: Joe Abell  
Knight Controller: Jim McGrath



Basil O'Leary

# Non-violence and Notre Dame

As the Vietnamization of the war has extended into Cambodia and now into Laos — an action which the State Department asserts "is not an enlargement of the war" — a slight tremor of disapproval was felt across the country. Some of the details of demonstrations on university campuses were reported on page 15 of last Thursday's *New York Times*. President Nixon, confident of the support of a majority tyrannical in its silence, did not find it necessary before hand to consult (give notice to) Laotian Prince Souvanna Phouma or the Congress of the United States; nor did he think it tactical this time for a little talk to the American people to put this latest "punishing the enemy" into moral language acceptable to Billy Graham. So, B-52 stratofortresses, which carry about 30 tons of 500-pound bombs, continue to make 300 to 400 runs a day over the H. Chi Minh trail, along with unnumbered rocket-firing helicopter gunships. The irresponsibility and imprecision of this saturation bombing have caused Telford Taylor to term this tactic "far more serious" than the My Lai massacres. How often do you see statistics of civilian casualties or read about the care they receive?

In view of this relentless pattern of American aggression, with its popular, if tacit consent, the temptation to indulge a mood of powerlessness toward all forms of resistance to militarism seems compelling. The hope of non-violence in the resolution of conflict among nations is a misty dream, the better course being to restrict yourself to more tractable sources of pleasure, cultural interest, domestic concern. Of much varied counterevidence to this position, I think three local developments have promise: the Peoples' Peace Treaty, in its potential for a new mobilization of effective resistance; the election of William Kunstler, which suggests ND seniors' sensitivity to the government's paranoid and deceitful suppres-

sion of dissent; and, in the longer run, the Program in Non-Violence, as providing an intellectual foundation, if not a lot more, for a coherent non-violent way of living.

For the increasingly large number of Americans who find the political process so seriously defective in expressing their desire for U.S. killers to leave Indochina that they are tempted to despair, the Peoples' Peace Treaty may occasion a deeper understanding of the Provisionary Revolutionary Government and an incitement to some form of civil disobedience. The treaty includes, most notable, some of the eight proposals advanced by Madame Nguyen Thi Binh in Paris last September: the total withdrawal of U.S. military forces and the selection of a provisional coalitional government which would provide free and democratic elections. In the eyes of much world opinion, these steps are eminently reasonable, especially in their implication that the Thieu-Ky regime, no longer propped up by the fantastic U.S. military presence, would lose all legitimacy and face the same kind of popular opposition that undid Diem. The treaty is not just another petition going around since it is accompanied by "Enforcement Provisions," the style of which would be determined by various groups endorsing the treaty. Some directions this implementation might take include concerted refusal of scientists to work on war contracts, whole communities refusing to pay war taxes, dormitories on university campuses refusing to pay the federal tax on their phone bills, whole colleges refusing induction. I should remark that in spite of some popular repugnance and rejection, civil disobedience has a long history in U.S. as a laudable form of political dissent, and because of the recent increase in this novel exercise of power, it is receiving overwhelming justification in contemporary journals of law and political science.

As a "felon-in-residence" I am happy to see convicted-and-destined-for-prison

William Kunstler being honored by the senior class. When defending fourteen Milwaukee arsonists two years ago, he remarked that should he ever have to go to jail he hoped it would be for a good reason. The eccentric lawyer doesn't go very far. At that time it was the risk of a contempt citation for arguing before the jury—as he did at Catonsville—their right not to follow the judge's interpretation of the law—the jury nullification thesis defended by legal scholars Joseph Sax of Michigan and Paul Freund of Harvard. Since then everyone knows of his courageous performance in that tragic parody of justice in Chicago. In April he may well awaken us to the extent of systematic repression throughout the country, notably that being directed toward the Panthers, resisters in Seattle, and, of course, the whole peace movement in the persons of the alleged Kissinger kidnappers in Harrisburg. Since this latter group is not planning a "political trial," which is Kunstler's forte, they must be quite confident of exposing the absurdity of the government's charges.

To many students, and possibly Kunstler, who feel they can preserve their humanity only by accepting prison, the prospect is not altogether without promise. In the *Village Voice* of two weeks ago Kingsley Widmer suggests that those who believe, as he does not, in the idea of a "ruling elite" should search for candidates among war resisters in prison. Citing the documentary study of Willard Gaylin, *In the Service of Their Country*, he finds such inmates "superior" in intelligence, idealism, sensitivity, and good looks. Moreover, they have experienced in their sentence the full abuse of "arbitrary and terrible power." Accordingly, he goes further to recommend wryly that, "An obvious minimal education requirement not only for all public officials but for corporate executives and school-university administrators, even celebrities, in America would be a two-to-five year

prison sentence."

The Program in Non-Violence, now in its second year, continues to be a popular option for students whose motivations range from curiosity about the non-violent "way"—as one last hope for a passionate commitment—to the already-convinced who want to deepen their understanding. Since the content and approach of the seminars depend on the interaction of the participants, there is continual development. Violence done to women, non-white minorities, the poor have been given attention this year. A central problem, as in any interdisciplinary effort, has been the task of giving greater coherence and a firmer analytical structure to the variety of materials available. Many students do undergo some radicalization of consciousness; some discover that idealism must be complemented by discipline, intellectual and political, if there is to be a thorough "greening" of the spirit.

While all the teachers of the seminar have an overload and handle over-sized sections, a sense of excitement and urgency more than compensate. Presently, the greatest need of the Program is a "hanging-around-place," just any old place where some of the hundreds of students who have taken seminars may stop and chat with each other and with the many faculty who have been concerned with non-violence. Just as scientists have an immense opportunity of getting to know each other and to discuss their interests in the informality of the laboratories, so people whose compelling interest is non-violence need a similar convenience provided by the university. Moreover, the numerous periodicals of the peace movement should be available in an economical way to as many people as possible. The vision of a non-violent world can survive only through some experience of community, helped along if only by a coffee pot and some floor space.

Jim Leary

## Rise up...

### an anti-political broadside

A few years ago my good friend Pete demolished an ancient funeral parlor, salvaging from the wreckage an enlightening book on the art of Dermatology. Applied Dermatology, much like political pragmatism, results in the restoration of ugly corpses into shining products of plasticity able to engender sobbing euphemistic exclamations like "he looks so peaceful" or "she seems to be asleep." One of the most difficult and fascinating restoration jobs outlined in the book concerned the re-attachment of a banker's blown-off head to his blown-up body. The banker was, as many bankers were at the turn of the century, "killed by a bomb hurled through his window by a notorious, foreign-born anarchist."

All Anarchists are, of course, foreign born and, certainly, very notorious. Seedy looking bastards with black-flag beards or fierce-eyed women with their hair tied up tight and wearing wire-rimmed glasses. August Spies, Alexander Berkman, Emma Goldman, Bakunin, Kropotkin, Guiteau. Yessir, them goddam Anarchists. They put bombs in yer gah bitch cans. They want chaos and killing. They crazy, crazy peoples. BULLSHIT!

Sure is fun to believe Anarchists are crazy. Sure is easy to write them off as madmen. Sure is easy for madmen to terrorize Disneyland in the name of Anarchism, thus fulfilling the definition which the media-hyped public has had poured into their heads. But listen. Anarchism ain't easy. Enlightened Anar-

chism is essentially non-violent, sometimes jiving with Christian Radical Pacifism [or at least Anarchism is selectively and amusingly violent and holds a multitude of possibilities. For example: Thousands of students dressed in Neo-Hindu purple yage transcendence, carrying plastic guns, vegetables and large crowbars, disassemble it while blowing bubbles and chanting Notre Dame our Mother; or Thousands of students disguised as hairy mythic Aboriginal wombat gods seize the Notre Dame Memorial Bomb (located in the outer armpit of the Administration Building, just to the right of the traditional steps.) and drop it on the Aerospace Engineering Building.] This is something all of us dedicated Christian students should check out. Perhaps we can counteract the pragmatic transvestitism of the Administration and Father Burtchael's Zuzi the Wild Man imitations.

In the midst of boring, pointless political chaos, our only alternative is to opt for an end to phoney pragmatism; to give up on pseudo-enlightened committees and their diversionary rhetoric; to Do It and go from there; to finally get God afoot and magic alive; to destroy the dung hill built by reasonable zombies and make way for spontaneous generation and roses behind the barricades. Rise Up O ye sludgy ND lumpen masses and smite down that evil curmudgeon Zuzi the Wild Man and his soulless Honcho minions.

Dave Lammers

## The Ferdinand Balboa Award

The Observer office has been deluged with mail, decrying the Blessed Virgin Mary award for 1970 to Jim Holsinger. Thousands of our readers, from Saint Mary's especially, have written to say that a gross oversight was perpetrated when the award was granted to Jim Holsinger for his fine work in the Toddle House incident last spring. Why was Judy Lammers, my own blood sister, overlooked? My sister, it is argued, has been slighted for not winning the award for her blind date to Chicago last spring. You see, Tilly Fiehrer and my sister, Judith, were sitting in Regina Hall one Friday night a week before Easter vacation, when a friend called and asked them if they wanted two blind dates to go to a movie in Chicago. Being sociable type people, they agreed after numerous queries as to the nature of the boys they were going with, Chuck Amato and Steven Massey, now both graduated to distant shores. Well, Judy and Tilly and Chuck and Steve were driving to Chicago on the tollway, having a fine time, when Steve, whose parents live in Santa Barbara, California, suggested that they take the Route 90 exit and just drive on out to California and spend Easter vacation out there at his home in California. Judy and Tilly said "Fine" and so Chuck took the Route 90 turnoff, drove past Chicago, into Iowa and on to points beyond. Until the car reached Oklahoma everyone thought to themselves, "Well, pretty soon someone is going to say 'I have a midterm before Easter vacation, so we are really going to have to turn around and go back to South Bend.' But when they got to Oklahoma someone said, 'Well, I guess we're really going to California, huh'" and it wasn't until then that everyone agreed that, what the hell, they had gotten to Okla-

homa, they might as well go all the way to California. Which they did. So my sister and Tilly called Saint Mary's, had some clothes and money sent out to Santa Barbara, cut their midterms and papers, and spent that week and part of Easter vacation romping about the beaches of sunny California, oblivious to their responsibilities to family, school, and friends.

Well, on the surface it appears that this deserves to win the award, and, like I said, we have gotten a lot of mail claiming that Tilly and Judy were rooked out of the award for 1970. And I must say that when the features staff assembled to discuss who would win the award for 1970, there were a lot of votes for them. But there were some problems. First, I am the Features Editor, and it would look bad if I gave my own sister the award, right? Secondly, someone, I believe it was that cur Rick Smith, doubted that a girl could ride out to California, spend a week there, ride back to South Bend, with men present throughout, and still deserve to win the Blessed Virgin Mary Award. Needless to say, Rick has been fired from the newspaper for casting aspersions on the state of morality of my sister and her friend, but that doubt played a part in the voting, I am sure. It is unfortunate that the demented morality of one person should deprive these two fine young ladies of the award, but that was the case, I am sorry to report.

But, as usual, we have come up with a solution. Since Tilly and Judy were gypped out of their just due, we have decided to give Tilly and Judy the *Ferdinando Balboa Award* for 1970, in memory of that famous Christian and explorer who circled the globe in search of new lands and excitement.





# TWA Introduces Getaway.

Sometimes the best part of going to school  
is getting away.

Getaway is not just going home, it's going somewhere new and doing something different, so send in the coupon and let us send you our free Getaway Vacation Kit.



The kit has a book covering




19 of the world's great cities.

It has three brochures, one on America, one on Europe, and one on Africa, Asia, the Orient and Pacific.



It has the independent Getaway Brochure. For people who want to travel by themselves.



If you're between 12 and 21, we can give you our Youth Passport card.\* It gets you 33 $\frac{1}{3}$ % off domestic flights, on a

standby basis, plus reduced rates at most places where you'll be staying.



And then there's TWA's free Getaway Card. With it, you can charge airfare, hotels, cars, meals, just about everything. And then take up to two years to pay.

Mail in the coupon for TWA's free Getaway Vacation Kit.

And find out how easy getting away really is.

## TWA's Getaway Program U.S.A./Europe/Asia/Pacific/Africa

TWA, P.O. Box 465, Farmingdale, N.Y. 11735  
Please send me TWA's free Getaway Vacation Kit.



Name

Address

City

State

Zip

TOB02221

\*Application fee \$3.00.



# Notre Dame explosion buries NYU

The Notre Dame basketball team put on an impressive offensive display last night, and went on to smash the Violets of New York University 106-68. The Irish, en route to their sixteenth win of the season, began to pull away from the hapless Violets when there were still eight minutes remaining in the first half. Two quick field goals by Collis Jones gave Notre Dame a 24-17 lead, and NYU was never able to close the gap. The Irish outshot, outpassed, outrebounded, and generally outplayed their opponents, and Coach Johnny Dee's team was able to coast through much of the second half.

The real story of the game, though, came but one minute and thirteen seconds into the

second half. Austin Carr took a pass on NYU's right baseline, planted his foot, and slid diagonally across the three-second lane. As he threaded his way between the Violet defenders, Carr managed to flip a reverse layup over his shoulder. The shot swished.

"That," blared the public address system, "was Austin Carr." And it was Austin Carr, all night long. The Irish All-American led all scorers with 45 points, and he further helped the ND cause by pulling down nine rebounds. Carr had some difficulty in finding the range at the outset of the game, but Jones, who scored 25 points of his own, had no problems in taking up the slack. Collis notched 18 of his 25 during the initial period, and when Carr finally began to hit, NYU was as good as beaten.

The Violets, though they did fall prey to the Carr-Jones duo, were hurt nearly as much by their own mistakes as they were by the play of the ND team. They committed 29 turnovers during the game, and the 16 of these which came in the first half only helped to squelch any comeback notions that NYU Coach Lou Rossini might have been entertaining. But turnovers were not the only problems which faced Rossini's ball club. The Violets shot rather poorly, and found themselves on the short end of a 65-49 rebounding margin.

"Our luck has got to change for the better," said Rossini, prior to the game. But if the New Yorkers were expecting a change in luck, it certainly did not come last night. The first

half of last night's game was bad enough — from a Violet point of view — but the second half was even worse. It was Carr and Jones again when the two teams came out for the final period, but things were more or less routine for the greater portion of the half. With 5:37 left in the game, though, Carr put on a one-man exhibition that brought the crowd to its feet. Austin scored the 82nd and 83rd Irish points at the 5:37 mark, and then, on three consecutive inbounds plays, either stole the ball or picked it up as it rolled free on the floor. On each of these occasions, Carr threaded his way through the dazed Violets and scored easy lay-ins. When it was over, in a span of only 1:11, Coach Dee removed Carr from the line-up and the Irish crowd responded with an enthusiastic — if somewhat disbelieving — standing ovation.

Dee emptied his bench soon after this, but the Irish attack continued unchecked. Reserve Don Silinski, who replaced Carr, picked up where Austin had left off, and hit on four of his six

shots from the field. Silinski's bucket with 0:04 remaining completed the scoring, and made the final 106-68.

The Violets, who absorbed their 16th loss in 20 outings, were paced by Jay Vaughn and Andy Chappell, each of whom scored 18 points. Roy Guma scored 14, and Mike Moore had 10 for NYU. Vaughn's 11 rebounds made him the team leader in this category. Besides Carr's 45 and Jones 25, the Irish attack was complemented by John Pleick, Sid Catlett, and Silinski, each of whom scored eight points. Collis was ND's leading rebounder with 15 and Catlett strengthened the Notre Dame board game by snaring 11 rebounds.

The Irish, now 16-7, will meet St. John's in New York on Saturday. Unless a minor miracle occurs in this one, Austin Carr will move ahead of Lew Alcindor on the NCAA's all-time scoring roster. His 45 points against NYU gave him a career total of 2,325, and tied him with Alcindor for eleventh on the all-time list.

NOTRE DAME					
	FG	FT	REB	PTS	
Carr	18-39	9-10	9	45	
Meehan	0-3	0-0	0	0	Guma
Pleick	3-6	2-3	8	8	Thompson
Jones	11-24	3-5	15	25	Vaughn
Catlett	2-8	4-5	11	8	Chappell
Sinnott	2-2	0-0	3	4	Moore
Gemmell	2-3	1-1	3	5	Bates
Silinski	4-6	0-0	6	8	Lyons
Egart	0-3	0-0	1	0	Felton
Hinga	0-1	1-2	1	1	Costigan
Regelean	1-2	0-0	0	2	Lam
Team			8		Team

NYU					
	FG	FT	REB	PTS	
Guma	7-14	0-1	6	14	
Thompson	0-0	0-0	0	0	
Vaughn	7-14	4-8	11	18	
Chappell	8-21	2-4	3	18	
Moore	5-12	0-1	7	10	
Bates	2-10	0-0	4	4	
Lyons	0-0	1-2	1	1	
Felton	1-2	1-1	5	3	
Costigan	0-1	0-0	1	0	
Lam	0-1	0-0	1	0	
Team			10		

TOTAL 43-97 20-26 65 106  
ND shot 44.3%.  
ND had 17 turnovers.

TOTAL 30-75 8-17 49 68  
NYU shot 40%.  
NYU had 29 turnovers.

## Leprechauns win again

by Terry Shields  
Observer Sports Editor

The Notre Dame freshman team used a balanced scoring attack that found all five starters in double figures to offset a one man performance by the DePaul frosh and rolled to an 86-73 win. The victory gives the Leprechauns a season mark of 11-4.

Bob Valibus controlled the game for the Irish from his guard position and he pumped in 22 points to lead the ND scoring roster. He was given ample support from his mates.

John Shumate and Chris "Hawk" Stevens contributed 18 apiece. Stevens was particularly hot with an eight of 13 shooting night. Shumate controlled the boards for the Irish with 18 rebounds. This was the high mark for anyone in that category.

Tom O'Mara, who still isn't quite 100% from his ankle injury, also hit double figures with 15 markers. The other slender forward for ND, Gary Novak, leaped his way to 11 tallies and 13 rebounds.

The Demons Gillespie was the

high point man in the ball game. He had 32 points coming from both underneath and the corners.

The Leprechauns were in control throughout most of the game. They held an eight point halftime advantage, 36-28, and kept the score at this margin during most of the varsity preliminary contest. The frosh gradually built the lead up to the 13 points that they won by.

The frosh even got to clear the bench in the second half when coach Tom O'Conner decided that his charger had the game stowed away.

Even though Shumate and Novak had good board games the DePaul freshmen managed to take a slight edge on the rebounds overall. The Demons grabbed 61 to ND's 58.

The glaring statistic of turnovers helps to explain why the Leprechauns won with such comfort. The Demons gave the ball away a helpful 23 times to the young Irish. ND limited its number of errors to 12.

Shooting percentages for both teams were not too impressive.

Notre Dame hit on 31 of 72 attempts for a 43% grade. DePaul was much colder hitting at a 35% clip.

Although the Demons scored one more field goal on ND their sloppy but aggressive defense gave the Irish 37 foul shots of which the Demons converted 24. The Demons received just 22 charity tosses in return and they made little use of these with just nine throws going through the cords.

## ND has 3 academic stars

Notre Dame, Ind.—Three Notre Dame football players—All-Americans Larry DiNardo, Tom Gatewood, and Joe Theismann—have been named to the 1970 University Division Academic All-American first team.

DiNardo, a senior offensive guard, was named on a 95 per cent of all ballots in voting by the College Sports Information Directors of America. DiNardo has already received three post-graduate scholarships, two from the National Football Foundation and one from the NCAA.

Gatewood, a junior receiver and co-captain of next year's team, was also an easy selection. Theismann, the senior quarterback who finished runnerup in the Heisman Trophy race, finished two votes ahead of Bob Parker from the Air Force. Both Gatewood and DiNardo were second team choices last year.

Notre Dame, with eight first team selections in the last three years, was the only school to have three players named on the first team. Twenty schools were represented on the first units with both Stanford and Texas receiving two apiece.



Austin Carr tied Lew Alcindor for 11th place on the all-time NCAA scoring list for a career with his 45 points against NYU.

## Purdue dunks Irish

The Notre Dame Swimmers closed their home portion of this season with a meet against a fine Purdue Team — one of the better Purdue teams in recent years.

The Irish came out on the short end of a 83 - 38 score. The home team was only able to finish ahead of Purdue in 3 events — the 200 yard Butterfly with Edward Strack (Fr.) winning out in the final length; the 100 yard Freestyle with Gene Krathaus (So.) receiving the judges nod; and the 400 yard Freestyle Relay team composed

of Mike Kilpatrick, (Fr.), Mark Wilcox (So.), and Joseph O'Connor (Fr.), and Gene Krathaus.

These bright spots, plus the fact that eighteen individual performances posted their fastest time of this season, indicates that the boys did their best. The next engagement for the Irish is against Northern Illinois University—a team that also lost to Purdue by an 80-33 score; defeated the Univ. of Iowa; and lost to Illinois State by a single point. This meet will take place on Friday Feb. 26th at 7:00 p.m. at De Kalb, Illinois.

## Thinclads are 8th

Notre Dame's track team didn't fare quite as well as most people expected this past weekend. The Irish runners could manage only an eighth place finish in the Central Collegiate Conference meet at Ypsilanti, Michigan. Eastern Michigan, the host team, took top honors in the 15 school meet with a score of 95 points. The Irish were far back with 33 markers.

The only first place finisher for the Irish was captain Rick Wohlhuter. The senior middle distance runner captured the crown for the 880 yard run. His time was a good 1:51.

Big Elio Polselli was the runner-up in the shot put event. The muscular Polselli heaved the weight 56' 11 1/4" but this was well behind the winner Steve

Wilhelm of Kansas. Wilhelm put the shot 63' 1/2".

Another second place finisher for ND was Tom McMannon who ran the 60 yard high hurdles. His time matched that of the winner Bill Tipton of E. Michigan at 7.3 seconds but Tipton managed to edge the Irish sprinter at the tape.

The long jump was a rather close affair to say the least. Tom McMannon's brother, Mie, was only an inch behind winner Mike Stull of Kansas but this was good enough for only a third place tie. McMannon leaped the pit at 24' 1".

The Irish thinclads will move on to Columbus, Ohio this weekend to take part in the Ohio State Invitational. The meet covers both -



# S.G.-suspension rfrdm. for Thurs. cancelled

(continued from page 1)

the Senate chose the February 25 date to attempt to improve voter turnout.

Russ Stone, one of the committee members in dissent of the majority, said that the referendum must be held when student interest in student government is high such as during a presidential election.

"If you want to call that politics, then it is politics," said Stone.

Mark Winings, a committee member, voted against holding a referendum tomorrow and said that the timing of the referendum was "pure politics."

He accused the dissenting committee members of desiring to hold the referendum when

student interest was unnaturally high to help insure the necessary percentage of voter turnout.

Stone said that Winings refused last night to call an election committee meeting in time to hold the referendum next Monday which is the date of a possible presidential run-off election. He called this blatantly playing politics.

Stone and Pat Weber, the other judicial committee member who voted in the minority, want the referendum to be held next Monday. The Monday date would meet the ten day waiting period prescribed by the constitution.

Winings said that he was going to continue to oppose any attempts to hold the referendum

next Monday.

Winings admitted that he could not separate the effect of the suspension of student government from the constitutionality of the referendum.

"The referendum was wrong on every count," said Winings. "I acted on that. That is what you have to do if you are representing the student body."

Winings added that holding the referendum either tomorrow or Monday would rush the students who as yet he said are not knowledgeable of the suspension proposal.

Student Union would be one of the agencies involved in the suspension of student government.

Stone and Weber also criti-

cized Krashna for apparently failing to get ahold of two of the judicial committee members.

Steve Pallucca, sophomore class president, was one of the committee members who was not at last night's meeting. He said last night that he had not been contacted.

The other absentee, Greg Stepic, junior class president, could not be reached for comment.

Krashna said last night that he had instructed his secretary to inform the committee members of the meeting two days ago and he had assumed everyone had been contacted.

"The mix-up was not intended," he said.

Committee member John Barkett, a presidential hopeful, disqualified himself last night from sitting on the committee.

## Cpn. mgrs argue

Bernie Ryan, campaign coordinator for Student Body Presidential candidate Eileen Fitzpatrick, said yesterday that John Barkett, Hall Presidents Council Chairman and candidate for the same office, had refused his offer to campaign together through the halls.

Ryan reported that Barkett had said he would not campaign with Miss Fitzpatrick because she was a "minor candidate," and because the invitation had been extended to Student Senator Don Mooney alone.

Bob Weaver, St. Ed's Hall President and Barkett's campaign manager, said that Barkett had never labelled anyone a "minor candidate," but that "it is pretty clear from the *Observer* and WSND polls exactly who the students have labelled as such."

Weaver stated further that Mooney had been invited in the first place because "he represents just the kind of Old Guard establishment poohbahism that our campaign wants to defeat. It would do us no good to campaign with anyone else."

In a prepared statement, Barney Gallagher, another of Miss Fitzpatrick's supporters, said that Barkett was running a "vote race," rather than "an opportunity to talk with people about the important issues which student government must deal."

Ryan also said that a discussion of issues would take place at 12:30 Wednesday afternoon, and that Mooney and Fitzpatrick would participate. Barkett was not available for comment but Weaver said that he would participate.

## Senate fails to consider petition

by Bob Higgins

The Student Senate failed to convene last night to consider a petition calling for a Constitutional Revision Committee, which was to have been placed in referendum on tomorrow's Student Body Presidential ballot.

The petition, which was co-sponsored by Off-Campus Sena-

tor and Student Body Presidential Candidate Don Mooney in conjunction with Off-Campus Senator Steve Flavin, lacked the required amount of signatures and would probably have failed.

According to Flavin, the meeting failed because of a lack of communication. "All senators were supposed to have been contacted," commented Flavin, "but apparently they weren't."

About a dozen senators waited for nearly a half an hour, but when it became evident that neither Mark Winings, Student Body Vice President, and Chairman of the Senate, nor Don Mooney, co-sponsor of the bill, were going to arrive, the senators departed.

Flavin was not disappointed with the setback and said that he plans to introduce the petition in the form of a bill later in the year.

### The LITTLE FOXES A play by Lillian Hellman

WASHINGTON HALL  
Feb. 19, 20, 25, 26, 27  
at 8:30 PM &  
Feb. 21 at 2:30 PM

Tickets 1.50  
Information 284-4176

## Mooney: return "leadership to people"

(continued from page 1)

sion, but the rest should go to the halls, "not just for television antennas, but perhaps for an experimental social program." He favors restoring the stu-

dent activity fee by raising it two dollars.

The Student Association would also be able to "act as a corporate entity in law suits." He mentioned as an example, that if women are admitted to Notre Dame as students next year, a suit could be brought to give women an equal right to live in residence halls.


In order to "provide for self-growth by having as diverse a student body as possible," Sherry favors more money be given for the recruitment of all minority groups, including lower class whites, and he wants to combine the recruitment programs of St. Mary's and Notre Dame. He thinks that pressure could be put on the Administration for needed minority organizations and if necessary money be taken from the student fee for this purpose.

Mooney would like to see an improved freshman year program which would expose its

participants to many different ideas rather than "soothing them." He also favors a diploma given in no major to those who take courses they are interested in for the purpose of learning.

Speaking of the proposal to abolish student government, Sherry cited the good aspects of the Student Union that would be lost, mentioned the possible loss of accreditation, and considered "we should work on government now while it is fresh in our minds instead of waiting a year."

Although many of those in his audience at Morrissey favored another candidate, Mooney felt that he had influenced those who had been previously undecided. Included in his campaigning last night was a door to door attempt to meet residents of Cavanaugh and the Towers. Sherry visited six halls besides campaigning door to door in Dillon and Alumni.



### There's nothing newer than RIVERBOAT BODY SHIRTS

We have them with ruffled fronts, lace fronts and sleeves, crepes, patterns, solids in a wide selection of colors. With the full sleeve, unique button treatment and comfort fit.

\$10  
upward

### NEW! KNIT SUITS FOR MEN

We have a new shipment just arrived...you can select from new style and color. Suit yourself at Gilbert's Campus Shop.

**Sale on Suits Sportcoats  
Suburban Coats Jackets**

savings of  
1/3 1/2 1/4


Long Sleeve Dress Shirts...Now 1/2 Off

Buy now and pay:

**ONE-THIRD IN JUNE**

**ONE-THIRD IN JULY**

**ONE-THIRD IN AUGUST**



No carrying charges added.

ON THE CAMPUS . . . NOTRE DAME

#### Career Opportunities in

### COUNSELING PSYCHOLOGY

Inovative approaches to social problems  
Training in individual & group counseling  
Focus on service to campus community  
MA & PhD programs thru Institute for studies in Education.  
Consultation to off-campus community social service agencies

#### OPEN HOUSE

Counseling Center Fri. Feb. 26  
315 Admin Bldg 1 - 4 pm  
Refreshments Served