



THE OBSERVER

Serving the Notre Dame and St. Mary's College Community

VOL. III, No. 78

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 1969

SMC students ready action On eight faculty dismissals

Seventy-five Saint Mary's students decided at a Sunday night meeting to take action on the recent dismissal of several Saint Mary's faculty members. The faculty members, given terminal contracts for the academic year 1969-70, include James Flanagan of the English Department, Kevin Ranaghan and Theodore Hengesbach of the Theology Department, Gerald Wamhoff and Eugene Buckley of the Philosophy Department, Mrs. Poinsette of the French Department, and Murray Salzman of the Education Department. Ralph Keifer of the Theology Department was not given a contract renewal.

Dr. Mark Bambenek of the Chemistry Department appeared at the student meeting in an informal capacity to explain the rules of the American Association of University Professors applying to promotion, tenure, and the dismissal of faculty. He also explained the relevant rule as stated in the January 11, 1969 Saint Mary's Faculty Manual. He offered no personal opinions.

The A.A.U.P. has set up the following standards in determining rank and tenure of faculty members: One may hold the rank of instructor for a maximum of five years, after which he is either promoted to assistant professor or dismissed. After serving two years one must have notice of one year prior to contract termination. After serving seven years, one must be given tenure.

Flanagan, Ranaghan, Hengesbach, and Keifer would be due for promotion to assistant professor after next year. The now hold instructor status. Wamhoff and Mrs. Poinsette would receive tenure after next

year if they had not been given terminal contracts.

The Saint Mary's Faculty Manual bases promotion to assistant professor on the following standards: 1) an earned doctorate or its recognized equivalent, or 2) superior teaching, or 3) public recognition in his discipline through publication of the results of his academic research. Each department chairman can recommend a faculty member for promotion. The Rank and Tenure Committee, composed of the Academic Dean, the Academic Vice-President, two permanent faculty members, the department chairman, and two members of the department, meet to study the recommendation. They either refer it to the President or stop it. The president of the college makes the final decision on each case.

The case of each faculty member in question varies. Miss Noel, Chairman of the English Department, told several English majors that Flanagan's dismissal seems to be the result of a misunderstanding. There was no meeting of the Rank and Tenure Committee to discuss his case before recommendations were given to the administration. Flanagan said that he received no prior notice that he would be given a terminal contract. "I don't understand it. I was given a terminal contract and I don't know why," Flanagan said.

In the cases of Ranaghan and Hengesbach, Theology Department Chairman Sister Maria Assunta did recommend both men for promotion. The Rank and Tenure Committee voted not to refer these recommendations to the President. Both men have been

dismissed effective June, 1970. Ranaghan stated that "it is my impression that completion of a Ph.D. was the supreme criterion in judging these cases."

Wamhoff has taught at Saint Mary's for several years and has served as Philosophy Department Chairman for the past two years. He would have received automatic tenure if he had not been given a terminal contract. Very little was known of the individual cases of other faculty members. Students are currently investigating these cases.

Because of the qualification of superior teaching, students are attempting to retain several of the dismissed faculty. At the Sunday night meeting it was decided that students would write to Msgr. McGrath, President of Saint Mary's College, stating their reasons why they considered an individual a superior teacher and qualified to remain at Saint Mary's. If voicing their opinions through letters to the President has no effect other ways of action will be considered. Msgr. McGrath, vacationing in Hawaii, has been unavailable for comment. Dr. Jack Detzler, Executive Vice-President of Saint Mary's, declined comment since McGrath will return to campus today.

Honor Council takes "leave of absence" after deciding that students do not honor the "honor concept." See back page.

editorial:

SMC 'Community'?

Over semester break contracts were mailed out to St. Mary's faculty; a significant number of faculty members received non-renewal notices. In the Theology Department three out of six teachers received terminal contracts; in another department the acting chairman was not asked to come back after next year; in two other departments a Ph.D. candidate and a teacher who actually holds a doctorate are, as of this writing, on their way out. The criteria for promotion and for tenure, based on the rules set down by the AAUP, are stated in the faculty manual; they include the possession of a Ph.D. and evidence of superior teaching ability. Most of these teachers in the opinion of either the Rank and Tenure Committee or Father McGrath, who has the final say in these matters, did not measure up to these standards. Undoubtedly each faculty member's dismissal must be treated as a separate case in relation to these criteria. In many students' opinion some of these cases, while not technically unjust, seem unfair to individuals. But beyond the question of the justice in each individual case, we question both the manner in which these criteria were applied and their general validity.

Students in a way are the only people on campus competent to judge teaching ability, since they are the only ones who have direct experience of a faculty member's teaching. Yet at St. Mary's, students have no legal voice whatsoever in the hiring and firing of teachers. The Academic Commission has tried since the beginning of the year to get students on academic committees but has been so far unsuccessful. Students should have at least a voice on both the Rank and Tenure Committee's decisions where the case involves promotion or granting of tenure, and in each department's recommendation when the case is simply one of contract renewal; perhaps they should have a vote as well on both these levels.

Faculty members are now seated on students committees with voting privileges; students should have some of these same privileges in relation to faculty committees.

If we are going to have community government at St. Mary's then we must have participation by all sections of the community in the governing; otherwise we should stop calling it a community government.

It seems in some of the cases of faculty dismissal that the prime criteria applied in the judgement of the case was possession of a doctorate. This criteria seems to us insufficient. A Ph.D. alone is not a guarantee of a good teacher. Many of the teachers involved decided to concentrate on students rather than on their Ph.D. studies; they have taken on extra duties in their departments, given time to the Free University and the Upward Bound program, to the development of interesting elective courses and to publications. It seems to us that the gain for the community as a result of these teachers' work is just as great if not greater than the status for the college that might have resulted if they had worked on their doctoral dissertations. In the future this criterion should not be applied so unilaterally; what should be considered in the hiring and firing of teachers is the person's contribution to the community learning experience rather than the kind of letters after his name.

Administration: Police not invited

Philip Facenda, attorney and special assistant to Father Hesburgh, issued a statement yesterday clarifying the administration's role concerning the presence of police deputies on campus last Friday afternoon to confiscate the allegedly pornographic film, "Kodak Ghost Poems." The administration's actions in this matter had been a major source of misconceptions by the students and had provided fuel for further agitation by some of the students.

Facenda reported that he was informed early Friday morning by Mr. Raymond Gauer, executive director of the national office of the Citizens for Decent Literature (CDL) and other CDL representatives that William Voor, County Prosecutor, wanted to speak to him. He said that he then called Voor, who said that he knew

that incomplete screenings of "Kodak Ghost Poems" and "Flaming Creatures" had been shown the previous afternoon and that an announcement had been made that these films would be shown again Friday in the Student Center.

Facenda said that a meeting with Voor and Gauer took place later that morning and that at the meeting, he "stressed the University administration's desire if at all possible to prevent the screening of these films through means available to members of the University community." Mr. Gauer who had been in Thursday's film audience had made out an affidavit alleging that "Kodak Ghost Poems" was "hard-core pornography." Voor then stated that under these circumstances it was his duty to obtain a warrant and impound this film.

Voor agreed to allow the University to take every possible action within its power to prevent its screening but he emphasized that it would be necessary for him to take action if the University was not successful in preventing the screening of "Kodak Ghost Poems." Facenda said that he agreed that the University would cooperate in every way with Voor in carrying out his duty.

In regard to the question of whether the raiding police had a warrant Facenda said that he was presented with a warrant by Voor while he waited about 2½ hours for the debating students to decide whether they would screen the films. Facenda added, "When it became apparent that the University could not of itself prevent the assembly from screening "Kodak Ghost Poems," Mr. Voor then moved to confiscate the film."

on the campus...

tues. feb. 11

Mankiewicz

Frank Mankiewicz, Press Secretary to Senator Robert Kennedy, founder of the Peace Corps and nationally syndicated columnist will speak tonight at 8 p.m. in the Lobrary Auditorium.

Student Center. The program includes thirty folk singers and humorous readings. An admission of \$.50 will be charged, with the proceeds going to a needy family.

Recitalist

Leland Gustafson, bass-baritone, of Eureka College faculty and well-known recitalist will appear in a program this evening at 8:15 in the Little Theatre at SMC.

Folk Festival

There will be a folk festival this evening at 8:00 p.m. in the second floor ballroom of the

WILL THOSE WHO FAILED TO RESPOND TO THE LETTER CONCERNING THE CURRICULUM REFORM QUESTIONNAIRE PLEASE REPORT TO 508 OR 517 MEMORIAL LIBRARY BETWEEN 9 & 5 THIS WEEK.

For Conference, debts, and SLC elections**Senate forms committees**

The Student Senate met last night and during the course of the meeting established three committees. They will deal with the recent events surrounding the ill-fated Pornography and Censorship Conference, Sophomore and Junior class debts, and Student Life Council election procedures.

SBP Richard Rossie briefly brought the members of the Senate up to date on the events of the past few days, including the appointments of Chuck Nau and Mike McCauley to the SLC subcommittee investigating the Pornography Conference incidents. In order to avoid useless and prolonged debate on the issue, the Senate formed a five-man committee to conduct its own investigation. The committee includes Senators Zimmerman (chairman), Gelinis, Kurtz, McDonough, and Shaughnessy. The committee will basically concern itself with the University's right to hold such a conference and with the

question of academic freedom.

Strong rumors have reached the Senate that the Junior class has not been keeping any written records of their financial transactions. A financial committee has thus been established to investigate these rumors, also checking the financial status of the Sophomore class, which is allegedly \$2200 in debt. Senators Boland (chairman), Thrasher, Johnson, Hunter, and Barbolla comprise this committee.

The third committee is the result of a bill proposed by Freshman Senator Joe Brehler. The bill concerns the changing of election procedures for student members of the Student Life Council. The bill was voted into committee for re-evaluation after a number of points in it were found to be either unacceptable and/or misleading. This committee is composed of Senators Mead (chairman), Dedrick, Rumore, and Brehler.

Passed last night was a bill

proposed by Senators Barbolla and Zimmerman concerning the election of the Student Body President. Due to the "greater number of capable and interested candidates, the likelihood of a Student Body President elected by less than 25 or 30 percent of the student body becomes greater." Because of this, the Senate has resolved that:

1) In the election . . . a majority of the votes cast are needed to win.

2) In the event that no one candidate receives a majority . . . a run-off election will be held between the two candidates receiving the greater number of votes . . .

3) The run-off election must take place within five days following the election.

4) A maximum of \$50.00 (additional) may be spent by each presidential ticket . . . between the first election and the run-off election.

5) The same election rules that applied for the first election apply for the run-off . . .

In other action last night the Senate confirmed the appointment of Dave Kelly as Judicial Coordinator. Bob Rigney, who held that position before being fired by Rossie, will continue as head of the Constitutional Revision Committee.

The Student Senate will meet again next Tuesday at 7:00.

Three co - eds To speak on Revolution

Three beautiful co-eds from Bennington College in Vermont will speak in the Student Union at 1:00 P.M. this afternoon on campus revolution and student participation in educational policy.

The three girls, Christine Graham, Rebecca Mitchell, and Carole Bolssey, have been touring the Midwest lecturing on campus politics. This past weekend they spent at the University of Chicago.

Bennington College was one of the first American institutions to allow students to play a part in educational policy committees. It has instituted a number of advanced educational and governing policies involving students.

The lecture is being sponsored by the Student Union Academic Commission.

What the interviewer won't tell you about General Electric.

He won't tell you about all the job opportunities we have for college graduates.

Not that he wouldn't like to.

It's just that there are too many jobs and too little time.

In a half-hour interview our man couldn't begin to outline the scope and diversity of the opportunities we offer. Opportunities for engineering, science, business and liberal arts majors.

That's why we published a brochure called "Career Opportunities at General Electric."

It tells you about our markets, our products, our

business philosophy and our benefit programs.

And, in plain language, it tells you exactly how and where a person with your qualifications can start a career with General Electric. It even gives you the first step in starting a career with us — a Personal Information Form for you to fill out.

If you like what the brochure tells you about us, why not tell us about you? Our interviewer will be on campus soon.

GENERAL ELECTRIC

An equal opportunity employer



Coming Soon

Based on the
Pulitzer Prize
winning novel
by Bernard Malamud



the fixer

Metacolor

The Observer is published daily during the college semester except vacations by the students of the University of Notre Dame. Subscriptions may be purchased for \$10 from The Observer, Box 11, Notre Dame, Ind., 46556. Second class postage paid, Notre Dame, Ind. 46556

THE WORLD TODAY

Viet negotiator bids leave of Paris

PARIS (UPI) - Le Duc Tho, supervisor of North Vietnam's negotiating team, left unexpectedly yesterday for Hanoi. There was immediate speculation he was carrying secret American proposals to break the dead-locked peace talks.

Tho, a close associate of President Ho Chi Minh, described his mission as "routine." But allied diplomats said each of his two previous flights to Hanoi had brought perceptible shifts in the Communist stand.

It was considered noteworthy that Vice President Nguyen Co Ky returned to Saigon Sunday, presumably to sound out President Nguyen Van Thieu on the new U.S. proposals. Ky is the overseer of the South Vietnamese negotiating team.

Tho, who ranks No. 7 in the North Vietnamese hierarchy, was flying to Hanoi via Moscow and Peking, his usual stopping off places. He is expected to brief soviet and Communist Chinese officials on the progress of the Paris talks.

Before boarding a Soviet airliner at Paris, Tho told newsmen he believed there had been no progress in the Vietnam conference. He insisted there can be no substantive negotiations until the United States agrees to withdraw its 535,000 man expeditionary force from South Vietnam.

Tho accused President Nixon of "following the same policies" as former President Lyndon B. Johnson. "Therefore, there was no progress," Tho said.

Chicago U. students favor amnesty

CHICAGO (UPI) A student opinion poll at the embattled University of Chicago indicated yesterday a majority believe protesters should not have started their sit-in at the school's administration building but should be granted amnesty for their acts.

The results of the poll were published in the *Maroon*, the student newspaper, as housing eviction notices were served upon six of the rebels and a special disciplinary committee heard from five students accused of participating in the 12-day sit-in.

Unlike meetings of the disciplinary committee Friday and Saturday, when the student dissidents disrupted sessions, yesterday's hearing was quiet. Steve Rothkrug, spokesman for a half dozen demonstrators who listened to the proceedings, said they did not attempt to break up the hearing because "There weren't enough of us today."

Rothkrug distributed a pamphlet calling the disciplinary committee "illegitimate" and demanding amnesty for students who have participated in the sit-in.

Pueblo crewman testifies in court

CORONADO, Calif. (UPI) - The chief engineman aboard the USS Pueblo said yesterday he considered destroying the ship's engines just before the North Koreans seized the vessel, but received no command to do so.

Monroe Goldman, 37, of North Little Rock, Ark., said under questioning by the attorney for Cmdr. Lloyd M. Bucher that to have done so would have made the ship powerless in case American sea or air aid should have come to the Pueblo.

The five admiral court of inquiry yesterday morning heard testimony from five crew members of the intelligence ship. It then went into a closed session to question communications technicians from the Pueblo.

Goldman, a veteran of 18 years in the Navy, said he never knew until his capture the mission of the ship. He said he thought it was simply an "oceanographic" vessel but admitted he did "wonder" about the big section in which the intelligence unit operated.

The Pueblo steamed into Wonsan with a North Korean soldier pointing a gun at the engineroom. Goldman said he felt if there had been an accidental engine breakdown the guard would have shot him and his men.

Finch supports cigarette ad ban

WASHINGTON (UPI) Welfare Secretary Robert H. Finch said yesterday he favors the Federal Communications Commission's proposed ban on televised cigarette advertising. "Yes," Finch was quoted as saying in an interview, "I think it is a good idea."

The head of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare told a group of newsmen at a breakfast meeting that he does not agree with arguments that products are entitled to television advertising simply because they can be legally sold.

Finch, who smokes cigarettes, said in his opinion he has no powers to regulate television commercials, but that as a top administrator in the health field he may be able to influence President Nixon on the subject.

Nixon, a nonsmoker, told a news conference last week that he would not state a position on the FCC proposal until he had thoroughly studied the situation.

Israeli right challenges Eshkol

JERUSALEM (UPI) - Right wing political parties upset by Premier Levi Eshkol's reported willingness to negotiate control of some occupied Arab territories threatened yesterday to shatter the National Unity government that led Israel to victory in 1967.

One of the two right wing blocs involved filed a 1967 motion against the Eshkol leadership. Knesset parliament was to take it up today.

The dispute, claimed by some right wing leaders to be a full fledged crisis, was triggered by an interview given by Eshkol to the American magazine *Newsweek*. He was quoted as saying Israel had no interest in holding on to the populated areas of the Jordan west bank, although it would demand solid security arrangements.

Schatz absolves Mroz of blame

Tom Schatz, Pornography and Censorship Conference Chairman, in a statement given Sunday night absolved John Mroz, Student Union Academic Commissioner, from all blame for the failure of the conference. The statement explained the official relationship between the two in the area of decision making.

Schatz said that eight months ago he was asked by John Mroz if he would accept the position of pornography and censorship conference chairman. Schatz said that he accepted because he had worked with and for Mroz in the past and things had gone well.

Schatz expressed the opinion on the conference held by Mroz and himself in saying that, "From the point of view of Mroz and myself, this conference has not gone well in that the level of academic inquiry that the conference was to have achieved was never fully realized.

Schatz explained why responsibility for the execution of the conference events was shouldered by Mroz by saying, "It was because the conference did not go well that John allowed himself to become so involved and, consequently, to bear the primary burden of responsibility. John and I have admitted to numerous mistakes

in the past week concerning the organization of the conference, but the mistakes were mine."

In light of this last statement by Schatz that the mistakes were all his, he offered the following public apology for the recriminations suffered by Mroz, "I do not wish to see John Mroz do penance for my sins, especially in that final absolution may not be easily secured. Because Mroz has come to the aid of both myself and the students he represents, he has subjected himself to unwarranted criticism, but he has also aided those students towards attaining a higher level of academic freedom."

MARDI GRAS '69

**Ticket sales for Champagne
Brunch, University Club, and
Communion Breakfast
at the Morris Inn**

will be sold in the Dining Halls tonite. O-C students can pick up tickets in the Social Commission Office today and tomorrow.

**Rip up our instructions
on self-defense.
After all,
it's Valentine's Day.**



Normally, we insist that every man read the instructions on self-defense that we put in every package of Hai Karate® After Shave and Cologne. But we've got a heart. So on Valentine's Day, we'd like every woman to tear our instructions to shreds. That way you can give your guy Hai Karate, with some instructions of your own.

Hai Karate-be careful how you use it.



Honor Council to Suspend all activity

Last night the University of Notre Dame Honor Council decided to suspend all administrative activities and that all council members take an indefinite leave of absence.

In a letter addressed to the Notre Dame Community, the Council stated its reasons for the action. It reads, "The current situation makes it apparent to us that the community is no longer committed to this concept." It continued, "We are further convinced that, while most individuals seem to uphold personal honesty, they do not consider the honesty of others to be their concern."

The Council feels that the penalty system has failed its constructive purpose and that they can't continue to levy penalties on the few violators who are reported. The letter concludes asking the students themselves "to carefully consider and to finally decide the fate of the Honor Concept at this University."

In a lengthy letter to Father Hesburgh, University Vice-Presidents, and other department heads, Thomas J. Vos, Honor Council Chairman, stressed the lack of student

In a final letter by Vos to all Hall Presidents and Rectors, the Honor Council asks for help from each of the halls in clarifying the Council's position. The letter encourages "an open dialogue that will lead to the comprehension that is necessary if an honor system at Notre Dame is to continue."

In regards to the Council's action, Vos stated, "We're doing this, because this is the only way for us to make students think and realize that this is their decision. We're through trying to sell the honor system at Notre Dame either it has the backing of the student body, or it doesn't have their backing."

This was a unanimous decision of the Honor Council, and 150 letters are being sent to various people on campus.

participation in the Honor Concept. He also stated that the Honor Council does not "see this as the end of the Honor Concept at Notre Dame, though this possible does exist. Rather, this is the only method available to us that presents the possibility of getting the students re-aligned with the basic tenets of the Concept."

Kay Markle

Naivete

The quality of the faculty is essentially what matters in a college; when the administration tampers with that quality for reasons of dubious merit, the students are being mocked by the institution they depend on for an "education."



taught well, for several years. No explanations were given. They should be demanded.

All six are the kind of professors students like to corner after class, or in their offices, or over a cup of coffee, to talk about Existentialism or Hemingway's value or the nature of God or, more simply and more interestingly, what-the-hell-am-I-going-to-do-with-my-life. They are intelligent men, usually enthusiastic, commonly unorthodox. The system gets to them as much as it gets to the people they teach, because they happen to dislike inefficiency, pomposity, sloppy thinking and smugness. They are good teachers. Good teachers are supposedly what the whole business of college education is about.

None of them are Ph.D.'s. If this is their failure, the reason why they no longer belong on the teaching staff of the college, then St. Mary's has become part of the graduate school factory system and all of those nice, cosy blurbs about SMC being

a family of intellectuals, or whatever the phrase is, is a lie. No professor with an incisive mind and a talent for getting the efforts of that incisiveness across to a roomful of students can be termed anything but an "intellectual" and a scholar. There is no bound copy of a doctoral thesis sitting on his kitchen table (although there probably will be—all of them are working toward their doctorates), but he thinks and makes the people around him think and that would seem to be a far better criterion for judging the quality of a professor than a solemn genuflection before a collage of degrees.

If they are not good in the classroom they should be dismissed. But no official evaluations of them were made, no reasoned judgment that they failed professionally. The term "creative teaching" is a bit nebulous, but if it means introducing a few ideas that haven't been talked about before, asking some questions that make you want to go back to your room and read hard on the subject, they are as close to being "creative" in the classroom as a professor can be.

They have never played very well at politics with the administration, they are unorthodox. If these reasons are sufficient to drop six members of the faculty, St. Mary's has gone nowhere at all academically in the past fifty years, in spite of its pass/fail courses and its Madeleva Scholars. It is still back in the days before the Scopes trial and in an institution of supposedly progressive education, that admission hurts. It is not something likely to attract or keep either students or faculty with the slightest taste for creativity and imagination. The students are going to suffer the most, students who dutifully believe, with touching naivete, that what they are paying for is an education.

The Mail

Concept of Theology

Editor:

Of course it is smart to quibble over whether Notre Dame will be Catholic or catholic; that is a very important question, obviously-relevant and all that it is wise.

"What we believe Father Burtchaell is trying to do is finally take the Baltimore Catechism out of collegiate theology." I am in favor of this, but I ask what are we going to replace it with? It might be wise to replace it with endless discussion on the Pope, the pill and the war.

Christ said that no student is higher than his teacher. Maybe we should look to Him for the answers to the questions: why study religion or theology, how to teach it and what to teach. Notre Dame must find out whether religion or theology is merely an academic pursuit. Christ said that words are not important but "doing the will of My Father" is. St. Paul says that religion is not a "wisdom of words." Christ did not sit and discuss religion with the wise men and most of his friends were not wise. Did Christ separate religion into "pastoral" and "academic" as Father Hesburgh seems to want to do at Notre Dame?

"I praise thee, Father, Lord of heaven and earth, that thou didst hide these things from the wise and prudent, and didst reveal them to the little ones."

"I will destroy the wisdom of the wise."

Why? What? How? Three important questions and Christ answered them. Is anybody listening?

Sincerely,

Donald J. Feldmann

Deadly Black Flag

Editor:

The events of the past weekend have, I am certain, triggered wonder and apprehension throughout the entire Notre Dame community. The events however, are subordinate in importance to the people who have incited them and it is with ever increasing fascination that we have observed the displacement of the badge of democracy with the emplanting of the 'black flag' of anarchy.

The controversial film *Kodak Ghost Poems* was banned. The administration wisely decided that until further legal clarification, the film should not be shown. Driven by the spirit of defiance and the thirst for campus-wide recognition, the

insurgents attacked the police seconds after they entered, even before they had the opportunity to display the search warrant.

The anarchic spirit is on the rise at Notre Dame. And let's not decline from the facts: it is blatant anarchy when students defy constituted authority and provoke police to violent confrontation. But to have the temerity to react to such a situation with indignant reprisal because an attempt is made to uphold the law portends an attitude nothing short of nihilism.

Slumber in apathy my Notre Dame brothers! Our would-be anarchists have proved that they are capable of slurring the reputation of a great university. Apathy has in the past and will in the future, destroy many more institutions of higher learning much more effectively than any radical organization has ever done.

John Simone and Tim Westman

Irresponsible Attack

Editor:

On Friday afternoon students of the University of Notre Dame were the victims of an irresponsible attack by members of outside law enforcement

agencies. I think that events which occurred have been adequately publicized by the campus media, so I will not waste time elaborating on these events, except to acknowledge my presence and participation in many phases of the action. Instead, I would like to point out the significance of the police action and also the future implications which it carries.

If we, the university community, allow this matter to pass by without taking positive action, legal or otherwise, then we are setting a dangerous precedent. To simply let the issue die or to accept a face-saving compromise is to leave ourselves wide open for similar attacks in the future. What kind of free, open community will we have if we can be used as victims for a witch hunt every time some two-bit politician is up for reelection? Obviously we must take action. We must show the county prosecutor and his like that their high-handed fascist methods are out of place in our university community.

At the meeting which was held Sunday night it was decided to wait and see if the Student Life Council would conduct a fair investigation into Friday's events, and if it would take appropriate action. I do think we should wait a little while to see what action the SLC takes. Possibly with the help of our elected investigating committee they will see the necessity for some type of positive, uncompromising action against the forces of "law and order".

If the SLC fails to satisfy the urgent need for action, then we have several roads open to us. We can seek legal help and

determine whether legal action can be taken. This possibility is admittedly rather unfeasible, but still should be considered. We can formulate a petition or statement of our autonomy and submit it to the authorities in question for their reaction. It is unlikely, however, that the county authorities will respect any request or demand which asks that they refrain from invading our community. If all courses of action are found inadequate, we can take a lesson from students at Berkeley, Columbia, the University of Chicago, and other universities and from the experiences of black, poor-white, and other minority communities. We can recognize that as a community of students we are regarded by the establishment as having less rights than other citizens, simply because we don't carry a hell of a lot of weight at election time. To counteract this situation we must organize an effective defense mechanism to protect the freedom of our community. We should make it known to the county prosecutor and the other representatives of the local law that we will meet any future invasion of our community with some kind of organized confrontation. We should make it clear that we will not provoke police violence in any way, but will take appropriate action in the event of police provocation such as occurred last Friday.

A definite commitment to the preservation of our autonomy is necessary to prevent a reoccurrence of the past week's events. We need immediate positive action, and if the SLC does not provide it, then the community must act for itself.

Ed Roickle

RUN YOUR OWN AD FOR VALENTINE'S DAY

Sales in the Dining Halls

Wednesday Nite 5 - 6 p.m.