SMC nurses- page 3

The Observer

VOL XIX, NO. 45 | the independent student newspaper serving notre dame and saint mary's | TUESDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1984

Hiler and Barnes debate last night

By ELIZABETH FLOR
Senior Staff Reporter

Democratic candidate for the Indiana House Mike Barnes accused Republican incumbent John Hiler of having an ideology which is "bound by profit and the free flow of capital" last night in a debate in the Center for Continuing Education.

Barnes said, "We need a congressman who understands the people of the 3rd District (of Indiana). I wouldn't deceive you, you'd know where I stand." He suggested Hiler "lay aside" his ideology so problems can be solved for the common good.

Hiler emphasized the economic progress of the 3rd District since his election to Congress in 1980. He said he wants to "go back to Washington and build on the progress of the last four years." He cited inflation rates which have decreased by two-thirds since he took office, while interest rates have fallen by almost one-half.

About the anti-satellite defense and "star wars" defense plan of President Reagan, Barnes said, "We have to make a basic decision whether to escalate the arms race in outer space." Barnes, serving his second term as St. Joseph County prosecutor, said the three trillion dollar decision is the wrong one because the United States should be concentrating on earth and on meaningful discussions between the United States and the Soviet Union instead of depending on computers.

Hiler said the United States cannot allow the Soviet Union to unilaterally have anti-satellite defense. He said the non-nuclear "star wars" defense is "totally appropriate and incumbent to our needs." Whether or not we want to share this development with the Soviet Union will have to be decided when the United States perfects this defense, he said. "Both are necessary items for our national defense."

On the problem of military and economic aid to Central America, Barnes said the United States should continue economic aid as well as political and land reforms, and that military intervention is the wrong approach. "In Nicarauga, the pulling back of East and West is an approach that I favor,"he said.

Hiler said both economic and military assistance will be necessary because of the guerrilla movements in Central America.

Commenting on a recent statement of Secretary of State George Schultz that terrorism in the Middle East must be stopped regardless of the cost, Hiler said action should only be taken against terrorists if specific groups or people can be pinpointed. Therefore, the risks are minimized to innocent people, he said, but these risks must still be taken.

Since he has been in Congress, Hiler has helped pass a bill to give rewards to those volunteering information about terrorist groups. Barnes also said, "We should make every effort that we can to identify specific people responsible for events."

Barnes was asked why Republicans should support him when faced with Walter Mondale's trailing in the opinion polls. He said that this is a race between Jack Hiler and himself, an approach that addresses the unique problems in the 3rd District.

Hiler argued voters are supporting "Reaganomics" and "one reason his opponent said he was running was because he was opposed to the conservative economics of Reagan." Hiler maintains that Reagan preaches a message of hope and opportunity versus the doom, gloom and despair of the Democrats.

The panel asked both candidates about Reagan's economic policies, particularly the budget.

Hiler said "I cannot promise a balanced budget anymore than anyone else in the country can." The key to a balanced budget, he said, is how high economic growth is. Therefore, he would advocate policies for economic growth.

Barnes responded by saying the supposed economic growth is a dream, there will be no spurt of growth, and that this "is not practical, reasonable."

About the plight of the poor, Barnes said, "The wedge between the 'have's' and 'have not's' increases every day." We need a way for government to help those who cannot help themselves, such as the handicapped, he said. He believes the best method of help is education that is affordable and available for more people, citing the example of the dramatic difference in the Head Start program in Michigan.

Hiler said, "The most compassionate thing that a government can do is to provide enough jobs so that

see DEBATE, page 4

The Observer/Tom Small

Leading the way to victory

Head Football Coach Gerry Faust leads the team onto the field at last Saturday's Notre Dame-LSU game in Baton Rouge. Also joining the football team in Louisiana were many seniors on the Senior Class Trip. In front of a national audience, Notre Dame came home victorious, winning 30-22.

Student government survey results prompt bookstore price decrease

By GABRIELLE ARRIEH
News Staff

Some prices have been lowered in the Hammes Bookstore as a result of a survey taken last January. The survey compared bookstore prices on 23 items to those of seven outside stores and showed these same items were selling for less in most outside stores surveyed.

Earlier this year, the Student Senate had polled students on the possibility of an alternative student-run store. A majority of the students surveyed said they thought the store would be a good idea.

According to the evaluation of bookstore prices this year, Lori Kluczyk, chairwoman of the Student Senate committee on the student store, said 34.8 percent of the 23 items mentioned in the earlier survey now have lower prices. However, 30.4 percent of the items have higher prices, 8.7 percent retained their same prices, and 26.1 percent are no longer comparable.

Backing up Kluczyk's results, Bookstore Manager Brother Conan Moran said, "We did lower prices on certain items as a result of the survey. We adjusted our merchandise mix and conversed with the companies directly. As our stock continues to be replenished with less expensive items, the prices will be adjusted."

Moran said approximately 12 items not mentioned in the survey have also been reduced in price this year.

He said Notre Dame students are brand conscious. "Therefore," he said. "we will continue to try to achieve the best quality of merchandise for the best price."

Nevertheless, Student Senator Pat Browne said plans for the student store will continue. "We want to give the students an alternative in both products and prices. Not only will we be offering a larger variety of items, but also, we will be selling things not offered in the bookstore such as sporting goods. Also, we will be buying larger quantities of items so that we can offer them at lower prices."

Kluczyk said, "The student store could be one of the better things on campus. My hope is that people will use it."

Still Moran denied lowering

see STORE, page 5

Observer coverage discussed at Board of Governance

By ANNE GALLAGHER
Staff Reporter

Representatives of *The Observer* met with the Saint Mary's Board of Governance last night to discuss the newspaper's coverage and commitment to the College.

Briefly describing the 18-year history of *The Observer* and its relationship with Saint Mary's, Editor-in-Chief Bob Vonderheide commented on the role Saint Mary's has played in the past. "If you think things are bad now, it was much worse earlier. We started out on bad ground."

Vonderheide pointed out that in the initial years of the newspaper, only 45 percent of Saint Mary's students subscribed as opposed to 95 percent of Notre Dame's students; in 1973, when the subscription price was raised to $2, so few SMC students wanted the publication that for 15 days circulation was dropped completely. In the 1976-77 academic year, subscription was made mandatory and, as demanded, Saint Mary's coverage was increased. A year later,an equal opportunity clause was enacted, allowing a SMC student to become editor-in-chief and sit on the editorial board. Since then, *The Observer* has increased its coverage of Saint Mary's, and opened an office on the third floor of Haggar College Center.

Most of Vonderheide's comments were designed to explicate the growing commitment *Observer* editors say they have towards Saint Mary's. Anne Monastyrski, Saint Mary's editor, said the new office and terminal in the Haggar Center shows this. "These developments represent more than anything our commitment to Saint Mary's. That we have a functioning office is important."

Mark Worscheh, managing editor, emphasized the use of *The Observer* as an instrument to get across the daily news and events. He pointed out the various channels, from news briefs to the viewpoint page, and urged both student government and the student body to use the newspaper to their benefit.

Worscheh said, " Our job is to follow through with your information and comments. We are to act as a sounding board and public voice for you, but we do need to hear from you. We can't press you for stories - let us know about them. You owe it to yourself to demand the coverage you deserve like everyone else."

Demanding coverage isn't the problem surrounding Saint Mary's sports. The problem lies in the methods of obtaining information about sports events, according to Mark Johnson, Saint Mary's sports editor. "The only way I know what to cover is by checking schedules and talking to people," said Johnson.

Unlike Notre Dame sports, coverage of Saint Mary's athletics are centered around part-time coaches and a lack of press releases. "Accessibility is a big problem. Due to frequent schedule changes I often

see BOARD, page 3

In Brief

The librarian of the Supreme Court of the United States, Roger Jacobs, has been appointed director of research and librarian at the Notre Dame Law School by Provost Timothy O'Meara, effective July 1. Jacob's appointment is one of a series of steps in the University's plan to convert its present law library to a major law research facility. Before joining the staff of the Supreme Court in 1978, Jacobs was law librarian at three different academic law libraries. He is a member of the Michigan bar. - *The Observer*

The Shaheen-Mestrovic Park in front of O'Shaughnessy Hall was dedicated Oct. 24 with a blessing by Father Theodore Hesburgh, University president. The area is a benefaction of Mr. And Mrs. Eli Shaheen of Sturgis, Mich. They donated $100,000 to construct the mini-park and conversation area on the west side of O'Shaughnessy , with the artist Mestrovic's "Christ and the Samaritan Woman at the Well" as its focal point and including his statues of St. Luke and of St. John. - *The Observer*

"The Gender Gap," a movie explaining the difference between voting patterns of men and women, will be shown tonight at 7 in the Center for Social Concerns auditorium. The movie is sponsered by the Women's International League for Peace and Freedom and the Notre Dame Women's Caucus. - *The Observer*

The teacher chosen to fly aboard the space shuttle in 1986 will need at least five years of teaching experience, normal blood pressure and good hearing, a NASA official in Huntsville, Ala. says. Alan Ladwig, director of NASA's Space Flight Participation Program, said applications from interested elementary and secondary teachers will be accepted from Dec. 1 to Feb. 1. The winner, chosen from a field of 10 finalists picked on July 4, 1985, will become the first person drawn from the general public to be sent into space. -*AP*

Of Interest

A debate between Mike Brogioli, chairman of Notre Dame students for Mondale-Ferraro, and Bill Healy, chairman of the ND/SMC students for Reagan-Bush will be held tonight from 8:30 to 10 in the Hayes-Healy Auditorium. The Election Task Force is sponsoring the debate and *Observer* Editor-in-Chief Bob Vonderheide will be the moderator. — *The Observer*

Looking for a career in Congress or a summer internship? Gary Caruso , director of legislation for the subcommittee on select educations and 1973 graduate of Notre Dame, will speak about these topics tonight at 8 in the Library Auditorium. The speech is sponsored by all of the class officers and is open to the public. — *The Observer*

Weather

Indian summer is over.
Mostly cloudy today with highs in the upper 50s. A 30 percent chance of rain tonight with lows in the mid 40s. Tomorrow, a 40 percent chance of rain with highs in the mid 50s. —*AP*

The Observer

The Observer (USPS 599 2-4000) is published Monday through Friday and on home football Saturdays, except during exam and vacation periods. **The Observer** is published by the students of the University of Notre Dame and Saint Mary's College. Subscriptions may be purchased for $30 per year ($20 per semester) by writing **The Observer**, P.O. Box Q, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556.

The Observer is a member of **The Associated Press.** All reproduction rights are reserved.

Today's issue was produced by:

Production Consultant ..... Steve
Design Editor ..... Tom Small
Design Assistant ..... Anne Long
Layout Staff ..... Margo Kirchner
Typesetters ..... Mary Ellen and Ted
News Editor ..... Tess Guarino
Copy Editor ..... Jane Kravcik
Sports Copy Editor ..... Theron Roberts
Viewpoint Layout ..... Paul Bruce
ND Day Editor ..... Barb Stevens
SMC Day Editor ..... Carolyn Kelly
Ad Design ..... Susan O'Hara
Photographer ..... Dan Bender

Uninformed or uninterested? Don't waste your vote

Tom Mowle
Day Chief
Inside Tuesday

You've probably been hearing public service messages on television and the radio reminding you of your civic duty to vote in next week's election.

Maybe you shouldn't.

The media mean well by these messages. Political types worry about sinking voter turnouts. But turnouts do not mean everything. The U.S.S.R has near-100 percent turnouts all the time, but that has done little for their democracy. Much more than the percentage of citizens voting goes into the strength of a republic.

Your civic duty is not merely to vote. It is to become well informed about the candidates and the issues involved in the election and to make a conscious, conscientious decision.

If you are not well-informed, don't just flip a coin to decide your vote. Above all, don't make your decision based on public opinion polls. A majority of the people can very easily be wrong. The basis of our democracy is that if a majority of the people want the worse candidate, he or she is elected.

But don't vote for him or her just because everyone else is.

If you feel you are well-informed, but do not want any of the candidates, don't vote for any of them. If you want to be on record as having voted, turn in your ballot leaving blank the races in which you feel you cannot vote or, if it is possible in your state, write in the name of the person you think would do best. He or she probably won't win, but at least you will have voted your conscience.

Yes, it is possible that if you leave a ballot blank, someone, whether it be the Democratic machine in Chicago or Indiana's own efficient Republican machine, will complete it for you. But if you fear that, consider that they may also "correct" your vote if you vote "wrongly."

It could be very dangerous to vote for a candidate just because you're sure of the outcome. If you like neither Reagan nor Mondale, but figure Reagan will win, don't vote for Mondale because it's "safe." If enough people did that, Mondale could pull off the election.

But in that case, don't vote for Reagan, either, just to be "on the winning side." It wouldn't effect the outcome, but the larger the victory, the larger the impact it can have.

Another way not to vote is the way your friends do. Just because no one else in the section will admit to supporting Mondale, doesn't mean you should feel you can't vote for him. There is no reason anyone should ever know. If you fear ridicule, support Reagan in public - before the election. You won't convince anyone else to vote your way, but on this campus you probably wouldn't, anyway. You need not tell anyone how you vote. Say Reagan; it matters little after the votes are cast anyway.

It matters little what reason you use in making a decision, as long as it is your own reason. If you vote for all the Italians against all the Poles because your experience has been that Italians do a better job, fine. Some will disagree with you, but if your decision is made clearly, and you can defend it to yourself, go ahead.

The same is true if a friend of the family's is running for city judge and you are planning on getting in trouble with the law. Similarly, if there is only one issue you care about - abortion, the environment, fixing the road out to your house - and are willing to subordinate all other issues, then vote for your candidate. At least you have a reason for casting your vote as you do.

If you frankly don't give a damn who wins an election, don't vote. Don't neutralize an informed vote by a concerned citizen with a random punch of the stylus. The best choice is to become informed and make a clear decision. Failing that, don't hurt the choice of people casting a knowledgeable vote. Stay home on election day.

The Observer publishes its official opinion in the form of an unsigned, large-print, wide-column article on the **Viewpoint** page. These columns represent the opinion of a majority of *The Observer* editorial board. All other columns, on the **Viewpoint** page or otherwise, are the views of their authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editorial board or *The Observer* staff.

ParaPlane
Sky Sports Unlimited, Inc.
Skydiving instruction and Paraplane Flight instruction
219-586-3580 Business
219-586-2663 Home

TYPING
Term Papers
Resumes
Letters
Manuscripts
Word Processing
Call Chris at: 234-8997

Sobering Advice can save a life

Interested in
TECHNICAL THEATRE?
A team of interested technicians and
artists is forming
to mount a production of
THE DRAMATIST
Attend a Kickoff Party
Information,explanations, & refreshments
Meet the Director, Designers, & Cast
No Previous Experience Necessary!
TONIGHT, OCTOBER 30th, 8:30 P.M.
The Laboratory Theatre
Washington Hall, North Entrance
NOTRE DAME SAINT MARY'S THEATRE



The Observer/Margie Kersten

The relationship between The Observer *and Saint Mary's was the main topic of discussion at last night's Board of Governance meeting in the Haggar College Center. At left, Editor-in-Chief Bob Vonderheide explains different aspects of the newspaper's policies as Managing Editor Mark Worscheb listens.*

Results distributed on last year's evaluations

By DOUG HASLER
News Staff

Responses to last May's Faculty/Course Evaluation are being distributed with today's *Observer*.

Students received F.C.E. survey forms through campus mail last May. The results were compiled during the summer.

A student could evaluate five courses on the survey. Each course was evaluated on the basis of six questions, five concerning the professor.

Each professor was evaluated on his organization, lectures, concern for students, fairness, and overall effectiveness. A final question asked about the workload of the course.

Thirty percent of the students responded to the survey. In a letter to students last May, Student Body President Rob Bertino called for a student response of at least 40 percent to insure statistical validity of the data.

When asked about the seemingly low response, Bertino said "We asked for 40 percent (in May) to get 30 percent." Bertino said student response increased sharply after the letter was sent out.

Mark Rolincik, who was in charge of compiling the results of the survey, described the response as "pretty much a normal response" in comparison with other universities.

University response to the student survey has been guarded. Father Edward Malloy, associate provost, said he wants to "wait and see" how the survey is received and used before commenting on its value.

With regard to the statistical validity of the student response, Malloy said "It might very well be valid. However, you just cannot know how representative it is."

Although both Bertino and Rolincik were satisfied with the organization of this year's evaluations, both expect future surveys to improve. In the next survey, they expect to save time and money by using computer sheets similar to those used in the Teacher/Course Evaluation, the University survey conducted at the end of each semester.

SMC nurses learn in and out of classroom

By JOHN GORLA
Staff Reporter

Eight in the morning is rarely a time students prefer for classes, but for Saint Mary's nursing students who are part of the clinical learning program, they are a neccesity.

At the start of their junior year, nursing students are introduced to a different style of instruction called clinical learning. Two days a week students travel to local hospitals to learn the necessary, practical skills of the nurse in addition to trying to develop a caring and compassionate attitude toward patients.

Because the program requires the student to travel to the hospital two consecutive afternoons each week, there is very little room to add liberal arts courses to their schedules.

In an effort to help the students juggle their schedule around clinical, Theresa Marcy, dean of academic affairs, suggests, "Nursing students should talk with the various departments to see if they can schedule a course that will not interfere with clinical."

"In courses such as Medical Ethics," says Marcy, "the professors usually adjust the class schedule accordingly to accomodate the large number of nursing students that are expected to take the course."

"Courses that can be scheduled around clinical are few and far between," says Mary Martucci, chairwoman of the nursing department adding, "Some professors are very willing to reschedule a class, some are not."

Clinical work is scheduled for two consecutive days because of "the need for continuity of care and for the sake of the students' learning," said Martucci.

Board

continued from page 1

learn about stories at the last minute and must then contact a reporter for the following day's story. As it is, we are calling coaches at all hours of the night," said Johnson.

Vonderheide touched on another critical Saint Mary's issue - election coverage. Last year, election coverage was riddled with problems. *The Observer* claims it received election information too late, and had concentrated on Notre Dame elections, therefore not having enough space to adequately cover Saint Mary's. Saint Mary's officers said that what little coverage they did recieve didn't deal with Saint Mary's issues at all.

Vonderheide apologized for last year's scanty coverage of the student government elections at Saint Mary's, and promised better coverage this year. "Saint Mary's candidates should realize we will do this," he said. "Whether of not we have the right to (endorse candidates) is not the question; it is whether or not we do it fairly, and we plan to."

The editors advised the board to urge participation of Saint Mary's students in enlarging the College's role in the newspaper. While the number of Saint Mary's stories is increasing, LeMans Hall President Joannie Giblin pointed out the new terminal and the accessible office at Saint Mary's will probably make a big difference. Vonderheide agreed,"It's making that first step."

RIDE UNITED LIMO TO
DOWNTOWN CHICAGO
AND MIDWAY AIRPORT

Special Offer $20 Round Trip Same Day Return

Now you can commute to work, catch a flight or enjoy the day shopping with United Limo's dependable new downtown Chicago service.

TO DOWNTOWN CHICAGO

LEAVE OSCEOLA OFFICE	LEAVE BIG BEAR TOWN & COUNTRY	LEAVE NOTRE DAME	LEAVE SOUTH BEND AIRPORT
5:30 A.M.	5:45 A.M.	6:00 A.M.	6:15 A.M.
ARRIVE MIDWAY AIRPORT	ARRIVE ART INSTITUTE	ARRIVE MARSHALL FIELD'S	ARRIVE WATER TOWER PLACE
8:15 A.M.	8:50 A.M.	9:00 A.M.	9:15 A.M.

FROM DOWNTOWN CHICAGO

LEAVE MCDONALD'S 220 N. MICHIGAN	LEAVE MIDWAY AIRPORT	ARRIVE SOUTH BEND AIRPORT
6:00 P.M.	6:45 P.M.	8:45 P.M.
ARRIVE NOTRE DAME	ARRIVE BIG BEAR TOWN & COUNTRY	ARRIVE OSCEOLA OFFICE
9:00 P.M.	9:15 P.M.	9:30 P.M.

Ride United Limo to Midway Airport and Downtown Chicago
Same Day Return
CALL YOUR TRAVEL AGENT OR UNITED LIMO
674-6993

United Limo
10844 U.S. 20 (one and one-half miles east of Bittersweet)
Osceola, Indiana 46561

INTERESTED IN AN MBA?

Cathy Burgner, Admissions Coordinator
at the Graduate School of Business Administration
University of Southern California
will be on campus
October 31, 1984
to talk about programs at the GSBA
For schedule and location information, please contact
Placement Bureau

USC

Candidates begin last week of campaigning

Associated Press

PORTLAND, Ore. - Walter Mondale is going for broke, spending the last week of the 1984 presidential campaign talking about higher taxes and accusing President Reagan of hiding in the White House and taking voters for granted.

Beginning a grueling final eight days that will take him coast to coast, the Democratic presidential challenger said Sunday that Reagan has begun to isolate himself in the White House, even before next Tuesday's election.

"The administration has begun a strategy of hiding in the White House," he said at a new conferences in San Francisco, taking note of the president's much more relaxed campaign schedule.

"They are taking the American people for granted," he said.

After a relaxing weekend at Camp David, the presidential retreat in the Maryland mountains, Reagan was heading out to resume campaigning yesterday in Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

Democratic vice presidential candidate Geraldine Ferraro was campaigning today too, starting in Manhattan and Erie, Pa. Vice President George Bush was embarking on an eight-state trip, with the first stops Birmingham and Mobile, Ala.

Mondale, campaigning Sunday in California, had a text prepared for delivery at a rally at a San Jose high school in which he described his own proposal to increase income taxes for Americans earning more than $25,000 to help offset the huge federal deficit.

The text for the speech said: "I want Americans to know the truth about my plan. If you make $100,000, I'll raise your taxes by $1,800. But if you make $25,000, your taxes won't go up a dime." But on delivery to a crowd of about 1,500 people, Mondale omitted all specific references to his tax hike proposal.

Instead, he said, "I am saying I want taxes to be fair."

Senior aides said Mondale would devote the closing days of the campaign to an intensive, unrelenting comparison of the differences in values and issues with Reagan, mainly arms control, human rights overseas and "fairness" at home.

A New York Times-CBS news poll released over the weekend showed Reagan with an 18-point edge over the Democrat, while a Newsweek magazine survey put the margin at 17 percent.

A time magazine poll released Sunday said Reagan held a 24-point lead, 54-30. The poll of 1,000 voters last week has a margin of error of plus or minus 3 percentage points.

A USA Today poll out yesterday gave Reagan a 23-point lead over Mondale, 59 percent to 36 percent. The survey of 1,320 voters, taken Thursday through Saturday, has a margin of error of 3 points.

The Observer/Margie Kersten

An early Halloween

A Regina resident hands out candy to a friendly looking witch at her door yesterday. Halloween came two days early to Regina and McCandless halls, as faculty children, as well as children from the South Bend area trick-or-treated door to door in the halls.

No major gains seen in elections for minorities

Associated Press

WASHINGTON - Despite the publicity and voter registration generated by the Rev. Jesse Jackson's presidential campaign, blacks and Hispanics are struggling to hold their own in Congress this year after making major gains in 1982.

Mississippi Delta Democrat Robert Clark, a state legislator and the grandson of a slave, is the only black challenger given a shot at winning a House seat.

A Clark victory would offset Rep. Katie Hall's defeat in the Indiana Democratic primary and keep black membership constant at 20 - small potatoes compared to a three-seat gain in 1982.

In southwest Texas, Democrat Albert Bustamante - unopposed - will swell the number of Hispanic congressmen from eight to nine - half of the two-seat increase achieved two years ago.

There are no black Senate or gubernatorial candidates this year, leaving state legislatures and the House the only arenas for potential gains. The pickings look slim at both levels.

"The easiest (congressional) districts for blacks to win have already been won," said Tom Cavanaugh, senior researcher at the Joint Center for Political Studies, a think tank on black politics. "The next step is districts with white majorities. That's much harder.

"Practically all the districts with black majorities have black incumbents now. The handful that don't have white incumbents who've gone out of ther way to cultivate black support," added Cavanaugh, citing districts in New Orleans, Atlanta, Newark, N.J., Queens, N.Y., and western Mississippi, where Clark is running.

Among 33 blacks running for the House this fall, 19 are incumbents and are expected to keep their seats. Fifteen Hispanic candidates are on the ballot, including nine incumbents expected to win, and Bustamante.

Also running are two Japanese-American incumbents, Democratic Reps. Robert Matsui and Norman Mineta, and a Japanese-American challenger, Republican Echo Goto, all of California.

In Hawaii, the ballot will include Democratic Rep. Daniel Akaka and his Libertarian challenger, Amelia Fritts, an Asian-American.

Minority candidates also include Del. Walter Fauntroy, Washington, D.C.'s non-voting House member, who is a black Democrat; and non-voting Hispanic delegates from Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

Cavanaugh said preliminary estimates show no increase in the number of minority candidates running for state legislatures since 1982, despite the energizing impact of the Jackson campaign.

"I figured we would have more people running as a result of the Jackson candidacy," Cavanaugh said. "I thought we'd find a pretty good increase but so far it's pretty similar to 1982."

Debate

continued from page 1

people do not need government aid." He also said he realizes the United States will probably never attain this, but government must still provide jobs and work for low inflation to happen.

Answering a question about what congressional action in the 3rd District has led to an increase in jobs, Hiler said since he took office, 40,000 more jobs have been created and unemployment has dropped from 11.4 percent to 6.4 percent.

Barnes argued Jan. 1981 was the "depths of the greatest economic trouble spot since the Great Depression." He said many people who were laid-off then were rehired because both parents in many families have had to find a job, and that the type and quality of new jobs have not necessarily been good.

Now the high cost of medical school won't make your heart skip a beat...

Because you may qualify for a full scholarship that takes the worry out of paying for medical school.

The Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship Program covers most of your expenses for tuition, required books, and fees. It even pays *you* more than $600 a month while you attend school.

If you're selected for a Physician's Scholarship—from the Army, Navy or Air Force—you're commissioned as a Reserve Second Lieutenant or Ensign. You serve 45 days of active duty each year while in school. Handle diverse patient cases. And work with sophisticated medical technology.

After graduation, your assignment depends on the requirements of the Service selected and the years of scholarship assistance received (3 year minimum). You'll be a military doctor with good pay, benefits and regular work hours.

Best of all, you'll have valuable experience. A challenging job. And most of your medical school bills paid.

Don't wait to get the facts. Mail the coupon below now. There is no obligation.

YES! Tell me how the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship Program can help pay my medical school expenses. I understand there is no obligation.

Mail this coupon to: Armed Forces Scholarships, P.O. Box 1776, Huntington Station, NY 11746-2102 9005

Check up to three: ☐ **ARMY** ☐ **NAVY** ☐ **AIR FORCE**

Please print all information clearly and completely.

Name _____ (First / Middle Initial / Last) ☐ Male ☐ Female

Address _____ Apt. # _____

City _____ State _____ Zip ☐☐☐☐☐

Phone ☐☐☐ ☐☐☐ ☐☐☐☐ (Area Code / Number) Soc. Sec. No. ☐☐☐ ☐☐ ☐☐☐☐

College _____ Birth Date ☐☐ ☐☐ ☐☐ (Mo. / Day / Year)

Field of Study _____ Graduation Date ☐☐ ☐☐ (Mo. / Year)

The information you voluntarily provide will be used for recruiting purposes only. The more complete it is, the better we can respond to your request. (Authority: 10, USC 503.)

CUT HERE AND MAIL NOW

The Observer/Dan Bender

Fun in the Sun

The sun shone for what seemed like the first time in weeks yesterday. Some South Quad residents took advantage of the weather for a friendly game of football on the quad. They may not have the opportunity much longer, as colder temperatures are expected for the next few days and it won't be long until winter arrives in South Bend.

Endorsements come in for presidential race

Associated Press

Calling President Reagan's domestic program "repugnant" and his diplomacy dangerous, the New York Times on Sunday endorsed Democrat Walter Mondale for president. The Washington Post endorsed Mondale "enthusiastically and without apology" yesterday.

Reagan won endorsements Sunday from the San Francisco Examiner, which praised his "magnetic leadership," and from the Miami Herald, the Chicago Tribune, the New York Daily News, the Hartford Courant, the Omaha World-Herald, the Sunday Oklahoman in Oklahoma City, the Oregonian in Portland and the Albuquerque Journal.

Mondale was supported by the Philadelphia Inquirer, the Detroit Free Press, the Minneapolis Star and Tribune, the Milwaukee Journal and the St. Petersburg Times.

In yesterday's editions, the Post said its endorsement of Mondale this year was in contrast with its "unenthusiastic" endorsement of then-President Carter four years ago.

"This year we endorse Walter Mondale - enthusiastically and without apology," the Post said in an editorial. "We think Mr. Mondale - who has been maddeningly misread and mistreated by the political trendmakers this year, just as Mr. Reagan has been maddeningly indulged and overpraised - is unambiguously the better candidate."

The Post described Reagan's approach on arms control as "fitful and temperamental," and said he has "proved no more skillful in the Middle East than Jimmy Carter was in the Persian Gulf." And on the economy, it said: "Mr. Reagan smiles, shrugs and says that high economic growth will close the deficit. That's one thing that certainly won't happen."

The New York Times said in an editorial that the Democratic candidate "would offer an enlightened and humane conception of what government should, and should not, do. Most of all, he would bring to the White House the will to control nuclear weapons."

However, the San Francisco Examiner praised the economic recovery under Reagan's "magnetic leadership" while tying his opponent to the "double-digit inflation of the Carter-Mondale administration."

The Examiner criticized the president as "wrong to allow the placing of 'firecracker' mines in Nicaraguan harbors," but praised his invasion that "rescued Grenada ... against the expansion of Eastern Bloc totalitarianism."

Other newspapers endorsing Reagan included the Baltimore News American, the Kansas City Times, the Cincinnati Post, the Hattiesburg (Miss.) American, the Clarion-Ledger-Jackson (Miss.) Daily News, the New Haven (Conn.) Register, The State in Columbia, S.C., and the Greenville (S.C.) News and Piedmont.

Also backing Mondale were the Arkansas Gazette, the St. Paul (Minn.) Pioneer Press-Dispatch, the Stamford (Conn.) Advocate, and the Westchester-Rockland (N.Y.) Newspapers, a group of suburban New York City newspapers that endorsed Reagan four years ago.

A DRAMATIC NEW CONCEPT IN SUN TANNING!

Preserve your tan with our NEW tanning bed

TAN-HAWAIIAN
sun tanning salon
277-7026
J.M.S. PLAZA
4609 Grape Road
Mishawaka
Individual dressing rooms and booths for complete privacy

VISA & MASTERCARD

The classes of '85, '86, and '87 present...

GARY CARUSO, '73
Director of Legislation
Subcommittee on Select Education
U.S. House of Representatives

Tuesday, October 30
8:00pm., Library Auditorium

Topics to be covered include:
Congressional careers, preparing a resume, interviewing, and job-search strategies

Baboon transplant is working

Associated Press

LOMA LINDA, Calif. - Baby Fae, her blood pumped by a baboon's heart, remained in critical but stable condition for a fourth day yesterday as hospital officials drew criticism for not trying to find a human donor before performing the transplant.

The surgeons acknowledged they did not know the heart of a 2-month-old human was available the day of the operation. But a spokesman at Loma Linda University Medical Center said it would have made no difference because that heart "wouldn't fit" into the ailing infant, who was 14 days old and hours from death when she received the baboon heart Friday.

NO CIVILIAN BAND CAN MAKE YOU THIS OFFER.

If you're a musician who's serious about performing, you should take a serious look at the Army.

Army bands offer you an average of 40 performances a month. In everything from concerts to parades.

Army bands also offer you a chance to travel.

The Army has bands performing in Japan, Hawaii, Europe and all across America.

And Army bands offer you the chance to play with good musicians. Just to qualify, you have to be able to sight-read music you've never seen before and demonstrate several other musical skills.

It's a genuine, right-now, immediate opportunity.

Compare it to your civilian offers. Then write: Army Opportunities, P.O. Box 7715, Clifton, NJ 07015.

ARMY BAND.
BE ALL YOU CAN BE.



Store

continued from page 1

prices on some items was an attempt to diminish the effectiveness of the student store. He said he does not feel threatened at all because efforts to organize such a store have been tried over and over in the past and have failed each time.

Moran said, "The students don't have the capabilities to run a business. And even if a group did start a student bookstore, it would eventually fall apart after they graduated."

However, many student government members think this time will be different. Kluczyk said, "Although the survey was taken last school year, we came back to it. We weren't just going to let it die."

Police search river for the body of kidnapped and murdered priest

Associated Press

WARSAW, Poland - Police searched the Vistula River in northern Poland yesterday for the body of a missing pro-Solidarity priest who the Interior Ministry says was abducted and possibly killed by three of its officers.

About 1,000 Solidarity supporters protesting the abduction of Father Jerzy Popieluszko demonstrated in the southwestern city of Wroclaw until police dispersed them, witnesses said. But police denied the reports.

In Warsaw, Solidarity supporters called off a planned strike at a steel factory after the outlawed union's leader Lech Walesa appealed for calm, a senior union figure said.

An Interior Ministry communique said Popieluszko's body had not been located after divers searched two places along the Vistula River near where he was abducted on Oct. 19.

Interior Minister Gen. Czeslaw Kiszczak said a captain and two lieutenants of the Interior Ministry have been arrested in connection with the abduction, and a communique issued yesterday indicated all three had said the priest was thrown into the Vistula.

In a nationally televised address Saturday, Kiszczak had said the captain claimed he killed Popieluszko, but that his statement had not been confirmed because all three officers gave conflicting accounts of the priest's fate and no body had been recovered.

Popieluszko's lawyer, Edward Wende, said the communique was "significant" because, "They confirmed he was thrown into the Vistula, so we can be certain he is dead."

Wende said the three officers had been held and questioned separately, so their corroborating statements about throwing the body into the river was significant.

"In the opinion of specialists," it said, "the place indicated by the kidnappers is marked by strong water currents and whirlpools which greatly hamper the search and is dangerous for the divers."

According to the communique, one of the officers said he threw Popieluszko into the Vistula near the northern city of Torun. The communique said the "others mentioned a reservoir (on the river) near Wloclawek," a city about 30 miles southeast of Torun.

Meanwhile, a senior Solidarity figure accused the authorities of holding back information.

"I think the authorities will first of all play for time, hoping that the whole tension and atmosphere will subside, and eventually claim the body cannot be found," said Janusz Onyszkiewicz, Solidarity's former national spokesman.

"This way they would avoid the embarrassing but also potentially difficult moment of the funeral," said Onyszkiewicz, speaking to journalists Monday outside Popieluszko's Warsaw church.

Despite witnesses' accounts that about 1,000 people were involved in a demonstration in downtown Wroclaw and were dispersed by police, a duty officer at the Wroclaw police headquarters, contacted by telephone denied there was a demonstration and said "all was and is quiet." The officer spoke on condition he not be identified.

Onyszkiewicz said Solidarity activists in the Huta Warszawa steel mill in Warsaw called off a work stoppage planned for yesterday in response to appeals by Walesa and Warsaw Solidarity figures.

Walesa urged supporters Sunday to avoid being provoked into "bloody revolution" by the abduction of Popieluszko.

The Observer/Dan Bender

Enjoying it while it lasts

Mary Kris Dalum, a freshman in Lewis, takes the books outside for some long-awaited sunshine yesterday. Many students headed to warmer climates over break, enjoying warm weather for one of the last times before Christmas break.

If Mondale wins, he'll be following in the steps of Harry S. Truman

Associated Press

WASHINGTON - If, despite the polls and the conventional wisdom, Walter Mondale wins ...

... It'll be the greatest presidential election upset since Harry S. Truman beat Thomas Dewey to the surprise of just about everyone in America except Truman himself.

Mondale's well aware of the analogy. He keeps making it. Last week, he toured the farm states - a la Truman and said he could "smell victory in the air."

Truman inherited the presidency when Franklin Roosevelt died in 1945 and his nearly four years in the White House were tumultuous - inflation and labor troubles at home, the start of the Cold War abroad.

Democrats at their Philadelphia convention that summer agreed that Truman's situation was hopeless. Republicans had taken control of Congress in 1946 for the first time in 30 years. Democratic Sen. J. William Fulbright of Arkansas even suggested that Truman resign.

Some Democrats searched for an alternative candidate; the popular Dwight Eisenhower refused to make himself available for a draft. "I'm just mild about Harry," said signs at the convention hall.

The party split. Former Vice President Henry Wallace, in a break with Truman's anti-communism, formed the leftish Progressive Party and ran as its presidential candidate. Southerners bolted at the convention over civil rights and nominated Gov. Strom Thurmond of South Carolina -now a senior Republican, then a Democrat -as the Dixiecrat presidential candidate.

But Truman plunged into his hopeless campaign with gusto. He whistlestopped in nearly 400 cities and towns, always introducing his wife, Bess, "the boss," and his daughter, Margaret, "the boss' boss."

A supremely confident Republican nominee Thomas Dewey, famed as a gang-busting prosecutor and efficient New York governor, wanted to do nothing to jeopardize a sure thing, so he didn't campaign as energetically and in his speeches offered windy platitudes about "national unity."

Truman, on the other hand, went after "that do-nothing 80th Congress" at every stop.

He was so under-financed he was sometimes cut off the radio in mid-speech for non-payment. Once in Oklahoma City, his staff had to take up a collection to get his train out of the station. His crowds were big and enthusiastic, but reporters on the train put that down to people's natural desire to see a sitting president.

On election night, almost everyone in America expected a Dewey victory. Life magazine was out with his picture on its cover labeled "Our Next President."

Election night in that pre-computer age: Truman carries Philadelphia but by less than Roosevelt's 1944 margin. Republicans rejoice; Pennsylvania's theirs. Ditto Baltimore. Truman, at a spa 32 miles northeast of Kansas City, has a ham sandwich, a glass of buttermilk and goes to bed.

Wallace wins enough votes in New York to deliver the state to Dewey. Massachusetts goes to Truman. Newspapers are in a dither. They had big Dewey layouts, but can't proclaim a winner.

By 3 a.m. EST, the outcome is still in doubt. Ohio, Illinois, and California hold the balance. Ultimately, they all go narrowly for Truman.

And so he won -with 49.5 percent of the popular vote to Dewey's 45.1 percent.

How'd he do it?

Well, Truman managed to hold together the old Roosevelt coalition. He carried 28 states, 23 of which had voted for FDR every time he ran.

THE EARLY BIRD...

PREPARE FOR:

MCAT

Call Days Evenings & Weekends

Stanley H. KAPLAN Educational Center

1717 E. South Bend Ave.
South Bend, Indiana 46637
(219) 272-4135

After your last exam, what tough questions will you still be facing?

We don't have your answers.
But we'll listen to your questions,
share some of our own
about who we want to become
and where we want to journey.
For anyone who has considered
the path of priesthood,
the Holy Cross Fathers' One-Year Candidate Program
provides an opportunity to ask and explore
the possibilities in community.

Contact:
Rev. Andre Leveille, C.S.C.
Vocation Director
Box 541
Notre Dame, IN 46556
(219) 283-6385

MATH MAJORS PREFER DOMINO'S PIZZA IN NUMBERS.

277-2151

Two Free Cokes®

Get two free Cokes® with any pizza.
One coupon per pizza.

Fast, Free Delivery™
Plaza 23 Center
1835 South Bend Ave.
South Bend
Phone: 277-2151
Expires in one week.
JTC NA 115 2650
© 1984 Domino's Pizza, Inc.

FACULTY/COURSE EVALUATIONS

SPRING 1985

COMPILED FROM SPRING 1984 COURSES

FORWARD

This faculty-course evaluation synthesizes over six months of research, analysis and organization. The Student Senate Committee on Faculty-Course Evaluations sought and incorporated the opinions of fellow students, administrators and peer Universities. Though not all courses are reviewed, this survey establishes itself as the most comprehensive student organized evaluation of courses to date.

Student Senator Bob Riley developed and managed the entire process during the 1983-4 school year, and Mark Rolincik devoted his time over the summer to organize the data necessary to present an easily read evaluation form. On behalf of Student Government and all of the student body, we would like to express our gratitude for the commitment and perseverance of Bob and Mark.

We recognize this evaluation will neither adequately meet the inquiry of every student, nor provide an exclusive basis for the selection of an academic schedule. We suggest that you supplement this evaluation with insights gained through discussions with professors, students and advisors. Due to the objective nature of this evaluation your attitudes toward education will determine the relative importance of each criteria.

Finally, if you find the evaluation has been helpful, we would like to continue this service. We would appreciate your response to aid us in making this evaluation more suitable to your interests. Best of luck in your pursuit toward a true education.

Doug Wurth

Doug Wurth
Executive Coordinator Student Government

QUESTION GUIDE

A. Prof. was organized and well prepared:.....1 2 3 4 5

B. Prof.'s lectures/presentations were clear:....1 2 3 4 5

C. Prof. exhibited concern for students:.....1 2 3 4 5

D. Prof. was fair in dealings with students:.....1 2 3 4 5

E. I would recommend this prof. for this course:.1 2 3 4 5

F. The workload in this course was:.....1 2 3 4 5

ACCT 231 ACCT 473

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: EOE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: ACCT231
PROF: PERAINO
RESPONSE: 13 OUT OF 52 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	8	31	23	38	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	31	15	54	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	15	23	62	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	8	38	54	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	8	38	54	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	69	31	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: ACCT261
PROF: ABRAHAM
RESPONSE: 30 OUT OF 113 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	3	10	7	47	33	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	3	10	17	43	27	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	3	23	50	23	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	3	13	47	37	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	3	7	23	20	47	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.4	17	30	47	7	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						3

COURSE: ACCT261
PROF: WARD
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 63 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	9	91	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	0	39	61	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	4	26	70	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	4	26	70	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	4	9	87	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	17	41	22	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						17

COURSE: ACCT332
PROF: COSTIGAN
RESPONSE: 20 OUT OF 74 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	15	45	40	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	5	10	10	40	30	5
C) CONCERN	3.7	5	10	20	45	20	0
D) FAIR	4.0	5	5	15	35	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	5	10	10	35	40	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	10	50	35	5	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						5

COURSE: ACCT332
PROF: RIVERA
RESPONSE: 32 OUT OF 41 (78%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	9	0	53	38	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	13	19	19	41	9	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	3	3	13	34	47	0
D) FAIR	4.3	3	6	3	38	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	6	19	16	31	28	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	22	63	16	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: ACCT332
PROF: VIGER
RESPONSE: 27 OUT OF 89 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	19	19	33	30	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	4	30	19	37	11	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	11	19	52	19	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	4	11	56	30	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	4	7	37	26	26	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.3	15	41	41	4	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						4

COURSE: ACCT334
PROF: LOVATA
RESPONSE: 26 OUT OF 43 (60%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	4	12	54	31	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	8	12	54	27	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	4	4	15	42	35	0
D) FAIR	3.9	4	12	8	46	31	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	4	4	8	54	31	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	8	15	46	27	4	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: ACCT371
PROF: CULLATHER
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 31 (74%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	4	17	43	35	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	17	9	26	43	4	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	4	17	26	48	4	0
D) FAIR	3.9	4	4	17	48	26	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	9	13	26	35	17	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.5	0	0	0	52	48	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: ACCT372
PROF: STRUPECK
RESPONSE: 26 OUT OF 50 (52%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	4	0	4	58	31	4
B) CLEAR	4.2	4	0	8	46	38	4
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	38	58	4
D) FAIR	4.5	0	4	0	38	54	4
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	4	0	0	35	54	8
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	0	12	42	42	4
EXP. GRADE	3.2						12

COURSE: ACCT380
PROF: MORRIS
RESPONSE: 44 OUT OF 123 (36%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	2	0	2	23	73	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	2	7	39	52	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	2	9	20	68	0
D) FAIR	4.5	2	0	5	32	61	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	5	0	9	30	57	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	9	64	25	2	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: ACCT473
PROF: PHILIPICH
RESPONSE: 26 OUT OF 110 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	4	12	65	19	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	4	15	27	46	8	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	4	0	8	35	54	0
D) FAIR	4.2	4	8	8	23	58	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	4	8	27	31	31	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	4	38	42	15	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						0

COURSE: ACCT473
PROF: SLOWEY
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 44 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.4	36	14	29	14	7	0
B) CLEAR	2.3	43	14	21	14	7	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	7	14	14	36	29	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	7	36	29	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.2	43	14	29	7	7	0
F) WORKLOAD	1.5	64	21	14	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						7

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

ACCT 476 — AL 212

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: ECE COMMITTEE

COURSE: ACCT476
PROF: MISIEWICZ
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 19 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	17	0	50	33	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	17	50	33	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	17	50	33	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	17	17	33	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: ACCT476
PROF: POWELL
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 38 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	22	78	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	0	44	56	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	44	56	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	11	22	67	0
E) RECOMMEND	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	0	11	44	44	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						11

COURSE: ACCT479
PROF: EBEY
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 37 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	25	63	13	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	63	13	25	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: ACCT480
PROF: WITTENBACH
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 112 (14%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	13	88	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	6	0	31	63	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	13	19	69	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	0	19	81	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	50	50	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: ACCT482
PROF: NICHOLS
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 35 (20%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	14	14	29	43	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	14	0	29	57	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	43	57	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	43	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	0	14	14	14	57	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.4	0	57	43	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: AE 336
PROF: BATILL
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 39 (18%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	0	71	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	0	29	57	14	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	0	29	14	43	14	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	14	29	29	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.1	0	29	29	43	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	14	71	0	14	0
EXP. GRADE	2.6						14

COURSE: AE 438
PROF: CAIN
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 66 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	1.9	50	21	21	7	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.1	36	36	14	14	0	0
C) CONCERN	2.1	36	29	21	14	0	0
D) FAIR	1.9	36	50	7	7	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.4	71	21	0	7	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	7	21	36	36	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						7

COURSE: AE 438
PROF: MUELLER
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 36 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	25	0	38	38	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	0	0	63	38	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	0	25	38	25	0	13
D) FAIR	3.5	0	13	38	38	13	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	0	38	25	38	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	50	25	25	0
EXP. GRADE	2.3						13

COURSE: AEPC441
PROF: NELSON
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 35 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	14	14	57	14	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	0	36	43	21	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	0	71	29	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	7	0	64	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	0	21	14	50	14	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.1	0	7	29	14	50	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						0

COURSE: AEPC466
PROF: AKAI
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 46 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	8	8	17	67	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	17	25	58	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	8	33	58	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	33	50	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: BONELLO
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 19 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	0	56	44	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	0	67	22	11
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	11	33	56	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	11	33	56	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	11	11	78	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	11	78	11	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: BURRELL
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 18 (56%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	10	0	10	60	20	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	10	10	20	40	10	10
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	0	20	50	30	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	30	50	20	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.1	10	30	20	20	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	50	40	10	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

AL 212

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: ECE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: FITZGERALD
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 14 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	17	0	50	33	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	0	33	33	33	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	17	17	33	33	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	17	17	33	33	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.3	33	33	17	0	17	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	0	83	17	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: FREDOSO
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 20 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	13	25	50	13	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	0	13	50	25	13	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	13	25	63	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	13	25	63	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: GLEASON
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 15 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	0	67	33	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	17	33	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	17	33	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	33	67	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: CUTTING
RESPONSE: 13 OUT OF 19 (68%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	0	8	69	23	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	8	8	38	46	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	23	77	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	38	62	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	8	0	31	62	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	23	62	15	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: HALERWAS
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 19 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	5.0	0	0	0	0	80	20
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	0	40	40	20
C) CONCERN	5.0	0	0	0	0	80	20
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	20	60	20
E) RECOMMEND	5.0	0	0	0	0	80	20
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	40	40	0	20
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: KENNEDY
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 21 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	11	22	44	22	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	0	33	22	44	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	11	11	78	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	11	11	78	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	0	44	11	44	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	11	56	33	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: MCCLELLAND
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 20 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	11	33	56	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	11	33	44	11
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	11	22	67	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	11	0	0	22	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	22	56	22	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: NEIMAN
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 19 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	20	40	40	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
E) RECOMMEND	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	20	80	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: ONELL
RESPONSE: 4 OUT OF 18 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.3	0	25	25	50	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	25	25	50	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	0	25	50	0	25	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	25	0	75	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	25	0	0	75	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	50	50	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: POMERLEAU
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 18 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	0	33	67	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	0	33	50	17	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	0	67	33	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	0	83	17	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	0	17	33	17	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	33	50	17	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						17

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: SNIEGOWSKI
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 40 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	8	17	0	58	17	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	8	0	33	50	8	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	8	17	75	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	8	42	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	8	0	25	17	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	17	67	17	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: TAGESON
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 18 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	0	0	80	20	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	0	100	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	20	80	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	4.0						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

AL
212

ARCH
251

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: TURPIN
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 19 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	0	56	44	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	11	56	33	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	22	33	44	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	11	44	44	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	0	11	11	56	22	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	22	56	22	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: URDANK
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 18 (56%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	10	50	40	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	0	10	40	40	10	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	10	10	60	20	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	10	60	30	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	10	10	30	20	30	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	10	20	60	10	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: AL 212
PROF: WEIGERT
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 19 (42%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	0	75	25	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	0	13	63	25	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	13	38	50	0
D) FAIR	3.8	13	13	0	38	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	25	0	13	38	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	0	88	13	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: AL 300
PROF: HARRINGTON
RESPONSE: 46 OUT OF 147 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	2	11	87	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	4	7	37	52	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	4	11	43	41	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	2	15	33	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	2	0	11	20	65	2
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	7	50	37	7	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						2

COURSE: AMST320
PROF: ALLEN,B.
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 55 (18%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	10	20	70	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	10	10	30	50	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	10	10	20	60	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	20	0	10	70	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.5	10	30	60	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: ANTH109
PROF: DESPRES
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 30 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	8	0	42	50	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	0	25	8	58	8	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	8	25	8	58	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	17	17	67	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	17	8	33	42	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	33	58	8	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: ANTH109
PROF: GAFFNEY
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 30 (50%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	0	47	53	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	7	53	40	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	7	0	27	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	7	47	47	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: ANTH110
PROF: PRESS
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 21 (71%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	7	7	20	67	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	7	13	47	33	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	7	47	47	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	7	7	7	80	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	13	47	27	13	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: ANTH228
PROF: MOORE
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 51 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.3	7	29	0	57	7	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	0	36	14	43	7	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	7	36	29	29	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	7	21	50	21	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	7	21	21	29	21	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	0	36	64	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						7

COURSE: ANTH346
PROF: KASMIETH
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 74 (9%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	14	0	57	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	14	29	0	43	14	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	29	43	29	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	29	43	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	14	14	14	29	29	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	14	14	71	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						29

COURSE: ANTH369
PROF: ONELL
RESPONSE: 27 OUT OF 111 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	4	7	19	44	26	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	7	11	15	44	19	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	0	19	26	41	15	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	15	30	30	26	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	7	15	22	22	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.7	11	22	52	15	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: ARCH251
PROF: HURTT
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 57 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	9	22	35	26	4
B) CLEAR	3.0	9	22	26	35	0	4
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	9	17	57	13	4
D) FAIR	3.7	0	13	26	30	26	4
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	0	9	26	30	30	4
F) WORKLOAD	4.7	0	0	0	26	70	4
EXP. GRADE	3.1						4

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

ARCH
144

BA
490

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: ECE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 198

COURSE: ARCH444
PROF: FEATHERSTONE
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 11 (100%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	27	9	36	27	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	27	0	45	27	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	27	9	36	27	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	9	27	18	45	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	9	18	9	9	55	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	18	36	18	27	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						9

COURSE: ARCH446
PROF: CRUMLISH
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 41 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	13	13	33	40	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	20	13	47	20	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	7	13	67	13	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	20	67	13	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	7	0	33	33	27	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	20	33	47	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: ARCH541
PROF: BELLALTA
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 36 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.9	8	25	42	17	8	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	8	25	33	17	17	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	8	25	67	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	17	0	33	25	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	25	58	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						8

COURSE: ARCH545
PROF: SPORLEDER
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 54 (19%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.4	40	20	10	20	10	0
B) CLEAR	2.5	30	30	10	20	10	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	10	0	30	50	10	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	20	70	10	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.3	40	30	0	20	10	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	10	0	20	0	50	20
EXP. GRADE	3.1						10

COURSE: ARCH553
PROF: LAUER
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 37 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.2	9	9	36	45	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	9	27	18	45	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	27	73	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	36	64	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	18	0	27	55	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	0	91	0	9	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: ART 210
PROF: BORTHWICK
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 13 (46%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	17	17	67	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	17	17	33	33	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: ART 252
PROF: ROSENBERG
RESPONSE: 63 OUT OF 161 (39%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	2	5	2	25	67	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	2	2	3	38	56	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	2	2	19	41	35	2
D) FAIR	4.2	2	2	14	44	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	3	0	3	40	54	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	3	17	59	17	3	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						17

COURSE: ART 286
PROF: GRAY
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 36 (44%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	0	19	56	25	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	6	19	38	38	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	19	44	38	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	13	63	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	0	6	69	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	19	44	38	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						19

COURSE: ART 286
PROF: STEVENS
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 41 (41%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	12	12	47	29	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	6	71	24	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	6	18	47	29	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	6	71	24	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	0	12	6	41	41	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.4	29	6	59	6	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						12

COURSE: ART 316
PROF: BECKMAN
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 69 (14%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	10	30	10	50	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	20	30	10	30	10	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	30	50	20	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	30	50	20	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.7	30	20	10	30	10	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	10	40	30	20	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						10

COURSE: AS 312
PROF: FISHER
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 40 (15%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	17	0	17	67	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	17	17	17	50	0
C) CONCERN	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	17	83	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	0	17	33	17	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.0	33	33	33	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.9						0

COURSE: BA 490
PROF: BEVERLEY
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 83 (23%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	0	11	63	26	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	5	0	16	42	21	16
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	5	5	32	58	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	5	11	26	58	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	5	0	32	58	5
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	16	5	58	16	0	5
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

BA 490

CE 450

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 198

COURSE: BA 490
PROF: BIRLEY
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 40 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	8	33	58	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	17	17	25	42	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	25	25	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	8	25	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	8	58	33	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: BA 490
PROF: KENNEDY
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 89 (18%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	19	25	25	31	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	0	25	25	13	38	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	6	19	19	31	25	0
D) FAIR	3.3	19	6	31	19	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	25	19	25	13	19	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.9	0	0	0	6	94	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: BA 490
PROF: SEGUIN
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 41 (12%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
B) CLEAR	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	60	40	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						0

COURSE: BICL202
PROF: HUNT,L.
RESPONSE: 105 OUT OF 275 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	3	10	14	43	30	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	13	25	20	34	8	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	10	17	28	33	12	0
D) FAIR	3.1	10	18	31	30	10	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.9	17	21	26	25	11	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	1	3	32	53	10	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						3

COURSE: BIOL344
PROF: OLSON
RESPONSE: 62 OUT OF 212 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	2	8	53	37	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	5	18	23	42	13	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	11	19	27	37	5	0
D) FAIR	3.4	6	13	29	37	15	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	13	18	16	44	10	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.5	0	2	10	31	58	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						5

COURSE: BIOL412
PROF: MCINTOSH
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 26 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	11	0	67	22	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	11	11	0	56	22	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	0	11	67	22	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	11	78	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	0	22	22	33	22	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	11	78	11	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: CAPP243
PROF: DAVISSON,D.
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 40 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	1.8	53	24	18	6	0	0
B) CLEAR	1.4	65	24	6	0	0	6
C) CONCERN	3.3	12	12	18	53	6	0
D) FAIR	3.2	12	18	18	41	12	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.5	65	24	6	6	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	12	35	41	12	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						6

COURSE: CAPP331
PROF: POWERS
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 29 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	9	91	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	0	55	45	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	27	73	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	9	9	82	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	9	27	45	18	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: CAPP351
PROF: GRANT
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 30 (23%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.7	14	14	57	14	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.7	14	29	29	29	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	29	57	14	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	14	57	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.9	14	29	14	43	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	29	71	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						14

COURSE: CE 266
PROF: LAUER
RESPONSE: 4 OUT OF 32 (13%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.5	25	25	25	25	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.5	25	25	25	25	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	0	25	50	25	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	75	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: CE 344
PROF: JENNINGS
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 28 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	13	88	0
B) CLEAR	4.9	0	0	0	13	88	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	0	25	25	25	25	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	13	50	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	0	63	38	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	38	50	13	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						13

COURSE: CE 450
PROF: TAYLOR
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 46 (20%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	22	78	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	0	56	44	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	56	44	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	11	11	78	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.3	11	56	22	11	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

CE 458

CHEM 236

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY CF NCTRE DAME

DATE: CCT 5, 19E
SEMESTER: SPRING 198

COURSE: CE 458
PROF: THOMPSON,K.
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 11 (100%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	C	0	C	82	18	C
B) CLEAR	4.5	C	0	0	55	45	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	C	0	0	18	82	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	36	64	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	0	55	45	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.4	C	64	36	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						9

COURSE: CE 470
PROF: MARLEY
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 53 (11%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	C	50	50	C
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	C	83	17	C
C) CONCERN	4.8	C	0	C	17	83	C
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	17	83	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	C	0	C	17	83	C
F) WORKLOAD	2.3	0	67	33	C	C	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						C

COURSE: CE 486
PROF: SCHARF
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 27 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	C	C	57	43	C
B) CLEAR	4.3	C	C	C	71	29	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	C	C	C	57	43	C
D) FAIR	4.1	C	0	0	86	14	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	0	71	29	C
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	C	C	29	43	29	C
EXP. GRADE	2.9						C

COURSE: CHEG241
PROF: MARTINEZ
RESPONSE: 30 OUT OF 53 (57%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	C	0	20	53	27	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	3	27	50	20	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	C	0	0	30	70	0
D) FAIR	4.6	C	0	3	30	67	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	C	0	17	30	53	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	3	7	50	23	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						C

COURSE: CHEG343
PROF: KOHN
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 63 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	13	17	61	9	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	C	22	43	30	4	C
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	4	9	17	70	C
D) FAIR	4.3	0	9	13	22	57	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	4	4	13	48	30	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	9	57	26	9	C
EXP. GRADE	3.2						4

COURSE: CHEG356
PROF: WOLF
RESPONSE: 22 OUT OF 61 (36%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	C	5	C	50	45	C
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	14	18	55	14	C
C) CONCERN	2.1	27	45	18	5	5	0
D) FAIR	2.6	14	36	27	23	C	C
E) RECOMMEND	2.5	18	36	27	9	9	C
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	5	27	59	9	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						5

COURSE: CHEG437
PROF: KANTOR
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 67 (10%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	0	29	71	C	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	C	29	29	43	C	0
C) CONCERN	2.7	14	43	0	43	C	0
D) FAIR	3.0	14	29	0	57	C	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	C	29	43	29	C	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	C	0	43	57	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						C

COURSE: CHEM102
PROF: BOTTEI
RESPONSE: 52 OUT OF 93 (56%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	C	37	62	C
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	4	2	35	58	2
C) CONCERN	4.5	C	4	10	19	67	C
D) FAIR	4.4	0	4	12	29	56	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	2	6	37	56	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	8	27	44	12	10	C
EXP. GRADE	3.4						C

COURSE: CHEM115
PROF: HOFMAN
RESPONSE: 304 OUT OF 860 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	1	1	4	24	70	C
B) CLEAR	3.8	3	12	14	41	30	C
C) CONCERN	4.1	5	8	10	31	48	C
D) FAIR	3.9	5	8	19	32	37	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	7	6	13	25	44	C
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	1	11	45	33	10	C
EXP. GRADE	3.5						C

COURSE: CHEM196
PROF: SCHWARTZ
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 25 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	C	0	0	14	86	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	0	0	43	57	C	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	C	0	0	29	71	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	14	29	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	C	0	14	43	43	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	C	14	71	14	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						0

COURSE: CHEM224
PROF: FREEMAN
RESPONSE: 101 OUT OF 271 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	1	12	55	31	1
B) CLEAR	3.4	3	17	22	49	9	1
C) CONCERN	3.3	7	18	26	35	14	1
D) FAIR	3.8	2	7	19	45	23	1
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	5	20	20	40	14	2
F) WORKLOAD	4.4	0	2	10	32	55	1
EXP. GRADE	3.0						2

COURSE: CHEM236
PROF: MILLER
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 19 (42%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	C	C	C	63	38	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	13	0	63	25	C
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	13	13	75	0	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	0	88	13	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	0	13	25	50	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.5	C	C	13	25	63	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

CHEM 312

EASC 240

COURSE: CHEM312
PROF: FESSEDEN
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 61 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	0	56	44	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	6	13	56	25	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	6	0	31	38	25	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	19	56	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	0	6	6	63	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	19	63	19	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: CHEM322
PROF: SCHWARTZ
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 21 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	0	22	33	44	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	11	22	33	33	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	11	33	11	33	11	0
D) FAIR	3.4	0	33	11	33	22	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	33	11	0	56	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	33	56	11	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: CHEM329
PROF: WALTER
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 51 (16%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	13	0	13	38	38	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	38	0	63	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.1	25	0	25	38	13	0
D) FAIR	3.8	25	0	0	25	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	13	13	50	13	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	25	38	38	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: CHEM335
PROF: PASTO
RESPONSE: 18 OUT OF 75 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	6	28	67	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	11	11	44	33	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	6	6	11	22	56	0
D) FAIR	4.2	6	6	0	44	44	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	6	6	11	17	61	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						0

COURSE: CHEM420
PROF: SERRIANI
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 87 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	5	95	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	5	26	68	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	11	11	58	21	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	16	47	37	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	5	16	32	47	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.6	0	0	0	42	58	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: CMSC1C2
PROF: KOZAK
RESPONSE: 101 OUT OF 360 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	1	5	7	49	39	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	9	19	24	32	17	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	1	3	19	49	29	0
D) FAIR	4.2	1	1	11	51	36	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	2	11	12	41	35	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.5	12	40	40	9	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						1

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 198

COURSE: COTH110
PROF: TURNER
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 54 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	6	31	44	19	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	6	25	6	50	13	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	0	6	56	31	6	0
D) FAIR	2.9	13	25	25	31	6	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.7	31	19	19	13	19	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	13	19	50	19	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						6

COURSE: COTH140
PROF: BENELLI
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 54 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	6	13	6	75	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	19	13	25	38	6	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	19	38	13	31	0
D) FAIR	3.8	6	6	13	50	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	25	13	31	19	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	25	69	6	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: EASC102
PROF: RIGBY
RESPONSE: 24 OUT OF 54 (44%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	4	4	38	50	4
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	13	4	46	33	4
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	4	17	21	54	4
D) FAIR	4.3	4	0	8	33	50	4
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	13	29	58	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	46	21	33	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: EASC112
PROF: MURPHY
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 40 (20%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	13	50	38	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	0	13	25	38	25	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	0	38	50	13	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	38	0	25	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	0	0	25	38	38	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	50	50	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						13

COURSE: EASC208
PROF: WASOWSKI
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 10 (60%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	0	67	33	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	0	17	83	0	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	0	17	83	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	0	17	0	83	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	17	50	33	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: EASC240
PROF: FAIRLEY
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 9 (56%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	0	20	40	40	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	20	40	40	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	40	60	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

COURSE: ECON115
PROF: HUDGINS
RESPONSE: 27 OUT CF 61 (44%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	4	19	48	30	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	26	11	33	30	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	15	37	48	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	22	26	52	0
E) RECOMMENC	3.8	4	11	19	37	30	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	4	15	52	30	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: ECON224
PROF: MCFLAND
RESPONSE: 37 OUT CF 145 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	3	3	3	55	32	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	3	30	5	43	19	0
C) CONCERN	3.1	14	14	27	41	5	0
D) FAIR	3.4	3	19	22	46	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	5	14	19	41	19	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	3	14	70	14	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

COURSE: ECON225
PROF: SWARTZ
RESPONSE: 21 OUT CF 67 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	29	71	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	0	10	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	24	67	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	10	29	62
E) RECOMMEND	4.9	0	0	0	10	90	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	5	10	71	14	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

COURSE: ECON302
PROF: WAMBEKE
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 27 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	17	0	17	67	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	33	50	17	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	17	0	0	50	33	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
E) RECOMMENC	2.5	17	17	67	0	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	33	50	17	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						

COURSE: EASC454
PROF: WINKLER
RESPONSE: 9 OUT CF 10 (90%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.2	0	22	33	44	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.7	11	44	11	33	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	0	11	56	33	0	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	0	44	56	0	0
E) RECOMMENC	2.8	11	22	44	22	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.1	11	67	22	0	0	11
EXP. GRADE	3.7						

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

COURSE: ECON123
PROF: WARLICK
RESPONSE: 173 OUT OF 520 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	1	4	10	53	32	1
B) CLEAR	3.2	5	28	19	38	8	1
C) CONCERN	3.4	3	13	35	36	12	1
D) FAIR	3.6	2	4	32	46	14	1
E) RECOMMENC	3.0	9	23	32	24	10	1
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	9	8	50	34	0	1
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: ECON225
PROF: RUCCIO
RESPONSE: 22 CUT OF 50 (44%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	5	0	18	68	9	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	5	5	27	41	18	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	5	5	36	27	27	0
D) FAIR	3.1	10	0	23	36	27	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	18	0	23	23	18	18
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	23	23	36	18	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						

COURSE: ECON301
PROF: KRYNSKI
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 32 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	10	20	10	60	10	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	10	20	20	50	0	0
C) CONCERN	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	10	20	10	40	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	10	20	50	30	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

COURSE: EASC342
PROF: EWART
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 22 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.5	20	40	10	30	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.5	10	40	20	30	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	0	0	60	40	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	0	10	50	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.1	20	50	20	10	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	70	30	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

COURSE: ECON115
PROF: YOUNG
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 47 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	16	21	47	16	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	5	42	16	26	11	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	5	21	21	37	16	0
D) FAIR	3.6	5	11	16	58	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	21	21	21	32	5	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	11	63	21	5	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

COURSE: ECON225
PROF: HARRINGTON
RESPONSE: 13 OUT OF 49 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	8	92	0
B) CLEAR	4.8	0	0	0	8	92	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	15	85	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	8	92	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.9	0	0	0	8	92	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	8	0	0	0	92	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

COURSE: ECON301
PROF: RETSON
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 26 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	13	0	0	50	38	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	0	25	13	50	13	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	13	0	50	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	13	13	0	25	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	13	63	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

EASC 342

ECON 302

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

ECON
353

EE
372

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: ECON353
PROF: GHILARDUCCI
RESPONSE: 21 OUT OF 46 (46%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.1	0	38	10	52	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.7	19	24	24	33	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	5	14	43	38	0
D) FAIR	3.7	5	10	24	33	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.9	19	19	29	24	10	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	48	38	14	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						10

COURSE: ECON421
PROF: BONELLO
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 42 (19%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	25	13	63	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	13	13	38	38	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	13	25	63	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	13	25	63	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	13	75	13	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: ECON443
PROF: COE
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 28 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	0	25	38	13	25	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	25	13	38	13	13	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	13	13	50	13	13	0
D) FAIR	3.3	0	25	38	25	13	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	25	25	13	25	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	25	75	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: ECON455
PROF: LEAHY
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 43 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	8	8	33	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	0	58	42	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	8	8	83	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	8	8	83	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	17	8	50	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						8

COURSE: EE 222
PROF: YURKOVICH
RESPONSE: 27 OUT OF 90 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	4	33	63	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	7	37	56	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	33	41	26	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	15	44	41	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	7	15	30	48	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	19	67	15	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						4

COURSE: EE 241
PROF: HERRO
RESPONSE: 71 OUT OF 175 (41%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	1	55	39	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	8	7	65	20	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	3	7	25	45	20	0
D) FAIR	3.7	3	7	21	55	13	1
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	3	8	10	48	31	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.1	0	0	21	49	30	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: EE 242
PROF: GABRIEL
RESPONSE: 48 OUT OF 137 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	1.9	56	19	8	15	2	0
B) CLEAR	1.6	63	23	10	4	0	0
C) CONCERN	1.7	60	15	19	6	0	0
D) FAIR	2.2	33	27	29	8	2	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.4	71	21	8	0	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	13	63	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: EE 354
PROF: LIU
RESPONSE: 34 OUT OF 110 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.9	9	24	35	24	6	3
B) CLEAR	2.3	32	29	15	15	6	3
C) CONCERN	2.7	21	24	29	15	9	3
D) FAIR	3.2	3	15	53	18	9	3
E) RECOMMEND	2.0	44	29	12	6	6	3
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	15	32	47	3	3
EXP. GRADE	2.8						3

COURSE: EE 357
PROF: GAJDA
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 89 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	11	89	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	32	68	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	21	79	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	26	68	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	5	11	84	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	68	21	11	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: EE 361
PROF: UHRAN
RESPONSE: 50 OUT OF 71 (70%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	2	10	16	54	18	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	6	6	30	46	12	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	10	16	44	30	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	4	28	40	28	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	4	14	12	52	18	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	2	4	34	46	14	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						2

COURSE: EE 371
PROF: COHN
RESPONSE: 24 OUT OF 62 (39%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	8	8	17	50	17	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	4	17	21	42	13	4
C) CONCERN	3.9	4	4	8	63	21	0
D) FAIR	4.0	8	0	8	46	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	4	17	29	17	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	8	29	29	33	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: EE 372
PROF: QUIGLEY
RESPONSE: 26 OUT OF 72 (36%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.4	19	31	38	12	0	0
B) CLEAR	1.4	62	35	4	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	12	15	35	38	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	4	27	58	12	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.7	50	31	15	4	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	42	42	15	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						4

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

COURSE: EE 496
PROF: KWCR
RESPONSE: 13 OUT CF 40 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	15	15	38	31	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	0	23	8	54	15	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	0	8	23	31	23	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	8	15	38	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	8	31	15	23	23	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	54	23	23	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						8

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: BENCER
RESPONSE: 6 CUT CF 20 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	0	33	67	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	17	33	50	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	17	33	50	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	17	17	67	0	0
E) RECOMMENC	3.0	17	17	33	33	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	50	33	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: EE 471
PROF: MELSA
RESPONSE: 8 CUT CF 48 (17%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	13	13	63	13	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	0	38	50	13	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.1	13	13	25	50	0	0
D) FAIR	3.6	13	0	25	50	13	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	13	0	13	63	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	13	13	50	25	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: BAKER
RESPONSE: 7 CUT CF 20 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	14	86	0
B) CLEAR	4.9	0	0	0	14	86	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	29	71	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	43	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	14	0	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	0	0	71	25	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: CCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: ENGLICS
PROF: CARRIGAN
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 22 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	0	67	33	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	17	83	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	17	33	50	0
E) RECOMMENC	3.7	0	17	17	50	17	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	17	17	67	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						17

COURSE: ENGLICS
PROF: BRZENK
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 21 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	0	25	13	50	13	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	0	38	0	50	13	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	13	13	63	13	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	13	25	38	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	0	13	0	75	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	13	0	75	13	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: COUGHERTY
RESPONSE: 4 OUT OF 20 (20%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	25	25	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	0	50	25	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	0	25	25	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	25	0	75	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: EE 451
PROF: HENRY
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 40 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	18	0	9	36	36	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	18	0	9	45	27	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	18	0	9	64	9	0
D) FAIR	3.2	18	0	18	45	9	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	27	0	9	36	27	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.4	0	9	0	36	55	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						9

COURSE: EG 120
PROF: MILLER-LUCEY
RESPONSE: 81 OUT OF 239 (34%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.8	16	28	25	26	5	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	28	37	21	12	1	0
C) CONCERN	2.6	22	21	33	20	4	0
D) FAIR	3.0	11	20	31	33	5	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.2	42	17	23	15	2	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.2	4	0	12	42	42	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: ECE COMMITTEE

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: BLAESER
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 20 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	1.4	56	44	0	0	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.0	44	0	22	22	11	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	0	22	33	33	11	0
D) FAIR	3.2	0	33	22	22	22	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.8	56	22	0	22	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	22	56	0	22	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: CHESLEY
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 18 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	0	17	17	67	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	17	0	17	50	17	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	17	0	17	33	33	0
D) FAIR	3.3	33	0	17	50	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.3	33	33	0	0	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	17	50	17	17	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						0

EE 451

ENGL 109

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

ENGL 109

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: EDMAN
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 22 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	14	0	57	29	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	14	0	0	43	43	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	14	14	43	29	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	29	43	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	0	29	0	57	14	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	29	71	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: FREDMAN
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 18 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	0	33	33	33	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	0	33	50	17	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	0	33	67	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.7	0	33	67	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: HOFFMAN
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 20 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	0	40	60	0	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	0	80	20	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	40	20	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	20	20	40	20	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	0	100	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: HUK
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 21 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	14	29	57	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	14	43	43	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	29	71	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	29	71	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	0	43	57	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	14	71	14	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: LAPIERRE
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 18 (39%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.3	0	14	43	14	14	14
B) CLEAR	2.7	14	43	0	14	14	14
C) CONCERN	2.8	0	29	43	14	0	14
D) FAIR	2.3	0	57	29	0	0	14
E) RECOMMEND	1.7	43	29	14	0	0	14
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	14	57	14	14
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: LARKIN
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 16 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	20	20	0	20	40	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	40	0	0	40	20	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	20	0	0	40	40	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	20	20	20	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	40	0	0	60	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	40	60	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.4						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: MALLON
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 17 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	20	0	80	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	20	20	60	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	0	20	40	40	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	40	60	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: MARVEL
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 21 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	17	33	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: MORAN
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 19 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	0	71	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	14	14	57	14	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	57	43	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	43	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	0	14	14	57	14	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	71	29	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: OGRADY
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 20 (55%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	9	36	9	36	9	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	0	27	55	18	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	9	18	0	55	18	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	9	18	55	9	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.2	36	36	9	9	9	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	9	18	45	27	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: PRICE
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 21 (48%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	10	50	40	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	10	40	50	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	30	20	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	0	100	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						0

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: QUIGLEY
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 19 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	0	22	33	22	22	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	0	44	11	22	22	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	11	11	33	33	11	0
D) FAIR	3.1	11	11	44	22	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.3	22	44	22	0	11	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	22	67	11	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1982

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: SCHNEWISE
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 19 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	C	C	C	71	29	C
B) CLEAR	3.1	14	14	29	29	14	C
C) CONCERN	3.3	C	C	71	29	C	C
D) FAIR	3.4	C	14	29	57	C	C
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	14	14	71	C	C	C
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	C	C	43	57	C	C
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: WEGEMER
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 20 (50%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	C	C	10	50	40	C
B) CLEAR	4.0	C	C	10	40	50	C
C) CONCERN	4.4	C	C	10	40	50	C
D) FAIR	4.6	C	C	C	40	60	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	C	C	20	40	40	C
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	C	C	80	20	C	C
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: YALE
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 19 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	C	14	C	43	43	C
B) CLEAR	3.9	14	C	C	57	29	C
C) CONCERN	4.4	C	C	29	C	71	C
D) FAIR	4.0	14	C	C	14	57	C
E) RECOMMENC	3.4	14	14	14	29	29	C
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	C	C	14	43	43	C
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: ENGL215
PROF: SOENS
RESPONSE: 13 OUT OF 29 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.2	23	8	8	46	15	C
B) CLEAR	3.0	23	15	15	31	15	C
C) CONCERN	3.8	C	8	31	31	31	C
D) FAIR	4.3	C	C	8	54	38	C
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	15	23	8	23	31	C
F) WORKLOAD	1.8	46	31	15	8	C	C
EXP. GRADE	3.7						

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: ROTSAERT
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 20 (65%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	C	C	C	54	46	C
B) CLEAR	4.6	C	C	15	8	77	C
C) CONCERN	4.4	C	C	C	46	46	C
D) FAIR	4.4	C	C	15	31	54	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	C	C	C	46	46	C
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	C	C	62	31	8	C
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: TOOMAS
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 19 (42%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	C	C	13	C	75	13
B) CLEAR	3.8	C	C	13	13	63	13
C) CONCERN	3.4	C	C	25	25	38	13
D) FAIR	3.0	C	13	25	25	25	13
E) RECOMMEND	2.5	38	13	25	13	13	13
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	C	C	25	63	13	C
EXP. GRADE	2.7						

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: YACKSHAW
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 21 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	C	C	17	50	33	C
B) CLEAR	3.0	C	C	17	50	33	C
C) CONCERN	3.2	C	C	33	33	33	C
D) FAIR	3.2	17	C	C	50	33	C
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	17	50	C	17	17	C
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	C	C	83	17	C	C
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

COURSE: ENGL309
PROF: BRZENK
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 16 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.8	17	33	17	17	17	C
B) CLEAR	3.0	17	50	17	17	17	C
C) CONCERN	3.7	C	C	17	50	17	17
D) FAIR	4.2	C	C	C	83	17	C
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	C	33	17	50	17	C
F) WORKLOAD	2.7	17	17	50	17	C	C
EXP. GRADE	3.9						

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: RILEY
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 21 (48%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	C	10	C	50	40	C
B) CLEAR	3.3	C	30	20	30	20	C
C) CONCERN	3.0	C	C	30	40	30	C
D) FAIR	3.5	C	C	10	40	50	C
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	C	60	10	20	10	C
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	C	C	90	C	10	C
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: SMITH,P.
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 16 (50%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	C	C	13	C	75	13
B) CLEAR	4.1	C	C	13	13	63	13
C) CONCERN	4.0	C	C	13	25	38	13
D) FAIR	3.6	C	C	13	25	25	13
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	C	C	13	25	13	13
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	C	C	13	38	13	13
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: ENGL109
PROF: WESLEY
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 18 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	C	C	C	71	29	C
B) CLEAR	3.3	C	14	C	57	29	C
C) CONCERN	4.7	C	C	C	C	100	C
D) FAIR	4.4	C	C	C	14	86	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	C	C	14	14	71	C
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	C	0	14	57	29	C
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

COURSE: ENGL201
PROF: CROURKE
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 23 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	C	C	C	57	43	C
B) CLEAR	3.9	C	C	29	57	14	C
C) CONCERN	3.9	C	C	43	29	29	C
D) FAIR	4.1	C	C	C	57	43	C
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	C	C	29	29	43	C
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	C	C	14	57	29	C
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

ENGL 109

ENGL 319

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

ENGL 323

ENGL 383

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: ENGL323
PROF: KRIER
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 47 (15%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	0	43	57	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	14	29	57	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	14	43	14	29	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	29	43	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	14	14	71	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	43	43	14	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						14

COURSE: ENGL324
PROF: LORDI
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 25 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	29	71	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	14	43	43	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	0	14	29	29	29	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	57	43	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	14	14	0	71	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	14	71	14	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: ENGL327
PROF: MATTHIAS
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 31 (23%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	14	14	0	14	57	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	0	29	29	43	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	14	0	29	29	29	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	29	0	71	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	14	14	29	14	29	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.9	0	0	0	14	86	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: ENGL329
PROF: FREESE
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 32 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	0	8	67	25	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	8	50	42	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	8	8	42	42	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	8	0	17	17	58	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	75	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: ENGL330
PROF: KUCICH
RESPONSE: 24 OUT OF 63 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	4	13	83	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	4	29	67	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	4	38	58	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	42	58	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	8	46	46	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	13	75	13	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: ENGL333
PROF: MARTIN
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 28 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	29	71	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	14	14	14	57	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	0	43	29	14	14	0
D) FAIR	3.4	0	14	43	29	14	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	14	14	0	29	43	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.1	0	0	14	57	29	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						29

COURSE: ENGL340
PROF: RATHBURN
RESPONSE: 25 OUT OF 44 (57%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	8	8	28	56	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	8	16	20	56	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	4	40	56	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	4	0	48	48	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	16	16	68	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.7	0	36	60	4	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						8

COURSE: ENGL362
PROF: BRZENK
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 27 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	0	25	13	63	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	0	25	38	38	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	0	13	38	38	13	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	13	63	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	0	0	63	25	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	50	13	38	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: ENGL363
PROF: BRZENK
RESPONSE: 4 OUT OF 5 (80%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.2	0	25	25	50	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	0	0	50	50	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	0	25	25	50	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: ENGL372
PROF: SCHIRMER
RESPONSE: 25 OUT OF 78 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	28	72	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	4	24	72	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	4	4	28	32	32	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	16	40	44	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	0	24	76	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	20	76	4	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: ENGL373
PROF: WALTON
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 54 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	6	0	71	24	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	6	24	65	6	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	0	6	82	12	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	6	65	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	0	18	47	35	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	18	65	18	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: ENGL383
PROF: KRIER
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 70 (23%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	31	69	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	6	0	50	44	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	6	25	69	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	13	0	88	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	6	0	6	88	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	6	56	38	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

COURSE: ENGL393
PROF: SLABEY
RESPONSE: 18 OUT OF 100 (18%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	11	11	44	33	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	11	33	33	22	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	0	16	50	33	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	17	56	28	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	17	22	28	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.5	11	11	56	17	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						

COURSE: ENGL427
PROF: CUFFY
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 23 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	17	0	17	33	17	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	17	33	17	17	17	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	17	0	17	17	67	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	17	0	83	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	17	0	0	17	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	67	17	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: ENGL492
PROF: DOUGHERTY
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 25 (48%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	0	42	58	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	17	33	50	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	8	92	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	8	42	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	8	67	25	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

COURSE: FIN 221
PROF: ARNOLD, A.
RESPONSE: 25 OUT OF 39 (64%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	4	16	16	60	4	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	8	8	28	48	8	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	8	12	32	32	16	0
D) FAIR	3.6	4	12	24	44	16	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	12	4	36	36	12	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.7	8	16	76	0	0	4
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

COURSE: ENGL391
PROF: BENDER
RESPONSE: 20 OUT OF 34 (59%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	5	10	30	40	15	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	5	30	20	30	15	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	10	55	45	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	55	45	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	5	10	10	60	15	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	20	55	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

COURSE: ENGL355
PROF: DOUGHERTY
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 36 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	27	73	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	9	0	27	55	9
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	18	36	45	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	18	64	18
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	9	18	64	9
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	9	82	9	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

COURSE: ENGL475
PROF: BUTTIGIEG
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 29 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	14	86	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	14	29	57	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	43	57	0
D) FAIR	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	0	43	57	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	43	57	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

COURSE: ENGL496
PROF: WERGE
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 33 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
B) CLEAR	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	82	18	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

COURSE: ENGL396
PROF: FREEMAN
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 36 (42%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	7	27	67	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	7	40	53	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	7	40	53	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	7	40	53	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	7	40	53	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	7	53	40	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: EPF COMMITTEE

COURSE: ENGL394
PROF: MALLON
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 51 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	11	32	58	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	5	16	42	37	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	5	21	21	26	26	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	16	11	42	32	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	0	16	32	21	32	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	11	32	47	11	0
EXP. GRADE							

COURSE: ENGL470
PROF: SCHIRMER
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 36 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	11	11	89	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	0	11	78	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	11	0	67	22	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	11	11	44	44	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	11	0	22	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	11	22	78	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						

COURSE: ENGL494
PROF: BRENNAN
RESPONSE: 4 OUT OF 19 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	0	0	25	75	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	0	50	25	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	25	25	25	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	25	50	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE							

ENGL 386

FIN 231

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

FIN 231

FIN 402

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: FIN 231
PROF: BROSKE
RESPONSE: 38 OUT OF 114 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	11	18	8	42	21	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	11	21	21	32	16	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	3	3	13	42	39	0
D) FAIR	4.2	3	5	11	37	45	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	11	13	32	26	18	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	3	66	29	3	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						3

COURSE: FIN 231
PROF: CONWAY
RESPONSE: 20 OUT OF 75 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	10	10	5	60	15	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	10	25	30	35	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.1	20	10	25	30	15	0
D) FAIR	3.5	5	15	20	45	15	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	15	25	25	20	15	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	5	50	40	5	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: FIN 231
PROF: HALLORAN
RESPONSE: 43 OUT OF 86 (50%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	2	2	7	40	49	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	2	9	7	51	30	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	12	9	63	16	0
D) FAIR	4.0	5	2	9	56	28	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	5	5	9	35	47	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	30	65	5	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: FIN 231
PROF: MCDONALD,B.
RESPONSE: 28 OUT OF 79 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	4	36	61	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	25	54	21	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	4	4	43	32	18	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	4	14	46	36	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	14	21	39	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	4	50	36	11	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						4

COURSE: FIN 231
PROF: ROBINSON,M.
RESPONSE: 27 OUT OF 74 (36%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	4	0	0	11	85	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	4	0	4	37	56	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	4	4	4	37	52	0
D) FAIR	4.3	4	0	7	41	48	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	4	0	7	11	78	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	33	59	7	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: FIN 360
PROF: CHC
RESPONSE: 35 OUT OF 127 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	9	9	23	37	23	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	11	31	17	31	9	0
C) CONCERN	2.7	26	20	17	31	6	0
D) FAIR	2.8	20	31	11	26	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.7	26	17	26	20	11	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	6	43	37	14	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						3

COURSE: FIN 360
PROF: LANNING
RESPONSE: 4 OUT OF 22 (18%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.5	50	0	0	50	0	0
B) CLEAR	1.3	75	25	0	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	2.8	50	0	0	25	25	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.3	75	25	0	0	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	25	75	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						0

COURSE: FIN 361
PROF: CRISWELL
RESPONSE: 3 OUT OF 47 (6%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.7	0	67	0	33	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.0	33	33	33	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	0	33	33	33	0	0
D) FAIR	3.0	0	33	33	33	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.0	33	33	33	0	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	33	0	67	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: FIN 361
PROF: GARNER
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 53 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	0	64	36	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	7	64	29	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	0	7	21	50	21	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	0	71	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	14	43	43	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.4	7	43	50	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: FIN 361
PROF: KEATING
RESPONSE: 36 OUT OF 63 (57%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.9	22	19	19	22	17	0
B) CLEAR	2.5	33	22	11	25	8	0
C) CONCERN	2.9	14	25	19	33	6	3
D) FAIR	3.2	11	25	11	42	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.5	33	17	25	17	8	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	17	61	17	6	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: FIN 361
PROF: TRUBAC
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 45 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	30	70	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	10	30	60	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	10	0	50	40	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	10	30	60	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	10	0	0	20	70	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	20	70	10	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: FIN 402
PROF: GOULET
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 27 (63%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	0	18	29	35	18	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	6	41	24	18	12	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	6	53	12	29	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	41	24	35	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	12	6	18	29	35	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	12	6	59	18	6	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						12

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

FIN 462

GOVT 410

COURSE: FIN 462
PROF: SIM
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 41 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.4	18	55	9	9	9	0
B) CLEAR	2.4	27	27	36	0	9	0
C) CONCERN	2.4	9	45	45	0	0	0
D) FAIR	2.4	18	36	36	9	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.5	55	45	0	0	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	9	18	45	27	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: FIN 478
PROF: KHOURY
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 40 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	6	6	6	35	47	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	6	18	18	29	29	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	6	0	6	47	41	0
D) FAIR	4.2	6	0	12	35	47	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	6	6	6	35	47	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.4	0	0	6	53	41	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: FIN 480
PROF: CRISWELL
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 37 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.9	21	29	0	43	7	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	36	21	7	21	14	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	7	14	29	36	14	0
D) FAIR	3.5	7	7	36	29	21	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.7	29	21	14	21	14	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	7	7	71	7	7	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						14

COURSE: GOVT141
PROF: FRANCIS
RESPONSE: 60 OUT OF 139 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	2	37	62	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	2	12	43	43	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	2	5	15	53	25	0
D) FAIR	4.2	2	2	12	45	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	2	5	23	70	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	0	15	45	40	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						2

COURSE: GOVT340
PROF: DOWNS
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 58 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	5	5	63	26	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	11	16	21	32	21	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	5	21	58	16	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	21	16	42	21	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	5	11	21	32	32	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	11	42	42	5	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						5

COURSE: GOVT341
PROF: KOONTZ
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 42 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	0	67	33	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	8	17	17	25	33	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	8	8	50	25	8	0
D) FAIR	3.1	8	0	67	25	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.3	17	50	25	8	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	8	0	42	50	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCF COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: GOVT341
PROF: LOESCHER
RESPONSE: 39 OUT OF 72 (54%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	8	8	56	28	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	0	18	18	51	13	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	5	23	49	23	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	5	18	49	28	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	3	10	28	46	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	5	41	41	13	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						5

COURSE: GOVT342
PROF: PAIGE
RESPONSE: 32 OUT OF 93 (34%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	28	72	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	6	44	50	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	3	0	31	41	25	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	3	22	50	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	3	6	3	47	38	3
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	3	66	31	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: GOVT343
PROF: BEITZINGER
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 35 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	0	20	20	47	13	0
B) CLEAR	2.3	27	40	13	20	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.1	7	20	40	20	13	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	0	60	33	7	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.1	47	20	20	0	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	60	40	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						7

COURSE: GOVT404
PROF: ARNOLD,P.
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 31 (74%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	13	4	9	43	30	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	9	17	22	48	4	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	4	17	61	17	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	4	13	61	22	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.1	9	17	35	30	9	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	22	57	22	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: GOVT405
PROF: ROOS
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 17 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	13	38	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	13	13	25	50	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	13	25	38	25	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	13	63	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.4	0	0	0	63	38	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: GOVT410
PROF: HUCKFELDT
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 33 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	13	88	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	13	13	75	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	63	38	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						13

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

GOVT
413

HIST
347

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: ECE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALLATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: GOVT413
PROF: KOMMERS
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 55 (15%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	38	25	38	0
C) CONCERN	2.9	25	13	25	25	13	0
D) FAIR	2.9	13	13	50	25	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	25	13	25	13	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.4	0	0	0	63	38	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: GOVT421
PROF: WRIGHT
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 38 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.3	35	24	18	24	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	12	12	24	53	0	0
C) CONCERN	2.7	24	18	29	24	6	0
D) FAIR	2.9	24	12	24	35	6	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	35	18	12	18	18	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	6	6	47	35	6	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						29

COURSE: GOVT425
PROF: PFEIFFER
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 40 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	25	6	31	38	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	19	19	19	38	6	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	0	25	38	38	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	6	31	38	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	25	25	13	25	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.2	0	6	6	50	38	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: GOVT436
PROF: BRINKLEY
RESPONSE: 28 OUT OF 109 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	4	0	4	25	64	4
B) CLEAR	4.3	4	4	4	39	46	4
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	25	29	43	4
D) FAIR	4.3	0	4	11	39	43	4
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	4	0	0	29	64	4
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	4	39	43	11	0	4
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: GOVT458
PROF: IVANUS
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 22 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	14	0	14	43	29	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	29	14	14	29	14	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	14	0	29	57	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	14	0	57	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	14	14	14	29	29	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	0	14	43	43	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: HIST111
PROF: BIDDICK
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 21 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	20	40	40	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	0	80	20	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	20	0	20	60	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	40	60	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						20

COURSE: HIST112
PROF: KSELMAN
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 48 (15%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	0	57	43	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	0	29	14	29	29	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	14	29	43	14	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	14	43	43	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	14	0	29	14	43	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	71	29	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: HIST116
PROF: CRITCHLOW
RESPONSE: 30 OUT OF 107 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	3	3	30	63	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	3	10	47	40	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	20	30	50	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	3	13	20	63	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	13	23	63	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	3	7	77	10	3	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: HIST195
PROF: OCONNELL
RESPONSE: 21 OUT OF 38 (55%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	29	67	5
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	5	5	29	57	5
C) CONCERN	3.7	0	10	29	38	19	5
D) FAIR	3.7	0	10	33	33	19	5
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	5	10	0	43	38	5
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	14	19	43	19	5
EXP. GRADE	3.5						5

COURSE: HIST216
PROF: SHAPIRO
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 94 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	4	17	17	48	13	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	9	26	13	48	4	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	4	30	13	35	17	0
D) FAIR	3.0	26	4	26	26	17	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.4	39	17	17	17	9	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	9	4	52	26	9	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: HIST304
PROF: ROCHBERG-HALTON
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 30 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	10	20	30	40	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.2	20	50	20	10	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	10	10	30	40	10	0
D) FAIR	3.5	10	0	30	50	10	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.5	30	10	40	20	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	10	60	20	10	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						10

COURSE: HIST347
PROF: HAMBURG
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 40 (48%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	5	0	5	5	84	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	5	5	5	26	58	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	5	5	16	37	37	0
D) FAIR	3.9	5	0	37	16	42	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	5	5	11	26	53	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	21	26	26	26	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						5

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

HIST 355

MARK 231

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 198

COURSE: HIST355
PROF: BURNS
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 42 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	8	8	0	50	33	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	17	25	17	33	8	0
C) CONCERN	2.7	17	25	33	25	0	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	8	42	25	17	8
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	17	42	17	17	8	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	33	50	17	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						8

COURSE: HIST369
PROF: CRITCHLOW
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 22 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	29	14	29	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	43	0	43	14	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	43	57	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	14	29	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	14	14	0	71	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	43	57	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: HIST426
PROF: BERNARD
RESPONSE: 18 OUT OF 48 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	11	6	50	33	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	11	11	0	50	28	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	11	11	17	50	11	0
D) FAIR	3.6	11	6	17	44	22	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	22	0	22	39	17	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	6	22	56	11	6	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: HIST431
PROF: NORLING
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 22 (50%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	0	45	55	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	18	9	55	18	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	9	9	9	45	27	0
D) FAIR	4.0	9	0	9	45	36	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	18	9	0	45	27	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	27	55	18	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: HIST437
PROF: MURRAY
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 16 (44%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	14	0	0	29	57	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	14	0	14	29	43	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	14	0	0	14	71	0
D) FAIR	4.1	14	0	0	29	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	14	0	0	29	57	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	43	57	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: HIST454
PROF: KERBY
RESPONSE: 34 OUT OF 63 (54%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	6	94	0
B) CLEAR	4.9	0	0	0	9	91	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	9	47	44	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	6	41	53	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.9	0	0	3	9	88	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	3	12	56	29	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						6

COURSE: HIST460
PROF: BLANTZ
RESPONSE: 87 OUT OF 315 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	1	0	0	13	86	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	1	0	0	23	76	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	1	0	13	29	57	0
D) FAIR	4.5	1	1	9	24	64	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	2	0	2	15	80	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	2	20	60	15	2	1
EXP. GRADE	3.4						3

COURSE: HUM 186
PROF: BRENNAN
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 15 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	0	17	50	33	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	17	33	33	17
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	17	0	67	17	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	0	0	83	17	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: HUM 186
PROF: MORAN
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 17 (59%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	10	10	30	50	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	0	20	40	20	20	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	10	40	50	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	10	50	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	10	50	40	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	10	10	60	20	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: HUM 186
PROF: ROGERS
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 17 (59%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
B) CLEAR	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
C) CONCERN	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	30	70	0
E) RECOMMEND	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	30	40	30	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: HUM 186
PROF: VASTA
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 16 (94%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	7	7	40	47	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	13	13	40	33	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	7	7	27	13	47	0
D) FAIR	3.8	7	13	13	27	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	7	13	13	20	47	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	20	73	7	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MARK231
PROF: DUNN
RESPONSE: 45 OUT OF 149 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	7	11	53	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	9	18	51	22	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	7	7	38	49	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	7	16	31	47	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	7	13	31	49	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	4	16	67	13	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

MARK 231

MATH 109

COURSE: MARK231
PROF: WAHLERS
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 50 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.7	7	33	47	13	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.7	7	40	33	13	7	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	13	20	33	33	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	7	20	20	53	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	7	7	27	47	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.0	27	47	27	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						7

COURSE: MARK374
PROF: SINGH
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 72 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	9	9	48	35	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	26	26	39	9	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	26	52	22	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	13	61	26	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	0	17	13	52	17	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	30	61	9	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: MARK492
PROF: THURIN
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 27 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	13	50	38	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	0	25	0	50	25	0
C) CONCERN	3.1	13	13	25	50	0	0
D) FAIR	2.5	25	25	25	25	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.1	38	13	50	0	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: MARK493
PROF: ETZEL
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 40 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	10	30	30	30	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	20	10	50	20	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	10	0	20	40	30	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	10	10	40	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	10	10	10	40	30	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	40	30	30	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: MATH104
PROF: BESE
RESPONSE: 28 OUT OF 89 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	4	50	46	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	0	4	21	64	11	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	4	25	54	18	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	14	68	18	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	4	18	50	29	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	7	57	36	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						4

COURSE: MATH104
PROF: KREUZMAN
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 51 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	11	89	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	32	68	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	21	79	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	21	79	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	5	11	84	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	5	0	79	16	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						5

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: ECE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: MATH104
PROF: OTTER
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 45 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	6	12	12	65	6	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	12	41	29	12	6	0
C) CONCERN	2.6	18	24	41	18	0	0
D) FAIR	3.0	6	18	47	29	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.7	18	35	12	29	6	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	6	0	65	29	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						0

COURSE: MATH104
PROF: SAHA
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 39 (41%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.7	13	38	25	19	6	0
B) CLEAR	1.6	50	44	6	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	0	38	25	38	0	0
D) FAIR	2.4	0	6	44	50	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.4	69	25	0	6	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	0	88	13	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.3						0

COURSE: MATH104
PROF: SOMMESE
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 48 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	0	33	8	50	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	8	8	42	33	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	33	33	33	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	0	33	0	25	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	8	42	50	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.6						0

COURSE: MATH104
PROF: WONG
RESPONSE: 21 OUT OF 49 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	5	14	33	48	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	5	14	0	57	24	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	5	5	24	38	29	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	10	19	48	24	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	10	5	14	24	48	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	5	48	38	10	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						5

COURSE: MATH105
PROF: BOYCE
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 43 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	6	6	12	47	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	12	18	18	35	18	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	12	6	29	41	12	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	12	41	35	12	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	24	12	6	41	18	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	12	59	29	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						0

COURSE: MATH105
PROF: FANIA
RESPONSE: 18 OUT OF 49 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.9	6	22	44	28	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.1	33	44	6	11	6	0
C) CONCERN	2.7	17	33	28	11	11	0
D) FAIR	3.2	11	17	28	28	17	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.2	44	17	17	17	6	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	6	72	22	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

MATH 105

COURSE: MATH105
PROF: HOWARD
RESPONSE: 13 OUT OF 45 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	15	77	7	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	8	54	38	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	15	54	31	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	8	62	31	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	8	39	54	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	0	77	23	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: EDUCATIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

COURSE: MATH106
PROF: RESE
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 54 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	16	56	39	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	0	28	17	50	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	28	56	17	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	22	56	22	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	11	6	11	44	28	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	50	44	6	0
EXP. GRADE	2.6						

COURSE: MATH106
PROF: LIN
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 45 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	8	50	42	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	0	17	33	50	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	25	42	33	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	8	42	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	8	0	17	33	42	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	58	42	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.6						

COURSE: MATH116
PROF: SNOW
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 27 (52%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	0	14	36	36	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	0	0	7	43	29	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	0	0	14	21	29	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	7	21	36	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	0	0	14	14	36	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	7	14	21	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9	0	0	64	14	21	0

MATH 126

COURSE: MATH105
PROF: KHOR
RESPONSE: 28 OUT OF 90 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	21	79	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	0	7	43	36	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	7	29	36	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	7	18	43	29
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	0	4	14	29	43
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	0	68	29	4
EXP. GRADE	2.9						

COURSE: MATH105
PROF: KOLETTIS
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 45 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	0	18	55	27	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	9	36	55	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	9	36	55	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	0	18	55	27	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	0	18	36	36	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	82	9	9	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

DATE: OCT 5, 1984
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: MATH106
PROF: DAVIS
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 48 (13%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	17	17	83	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	17	17	33	33	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	0	17	33	50	0	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	17	17	50	17	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.5	17	50	0	33	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	67	33	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.6						

COURSE: MATH106
PROF: OTTER
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 48 (23%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.3	9	18	9	64	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.5	18	36	27	18	0	0
C) CONCERN	2.4	18	27	55	0	0	0
D) FAIR	3.1	0	18	55	27	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.0	45	27	9	18	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	9	55	18	18	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

COURSE: MATH125
PROF: BURKE
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 30 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	13	13	63	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	13	38	50	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	38	38	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	13	13	63	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	63	38	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.6						

COURSE: MATH116
PROF: CONNOLLY
RESPONSE: 20 OUT OF 36 (93%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	17	17	40	23	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	17	17	27	17	23	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	23	23	27	27	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	0	33	33	33	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.1	17	17	17	27	23	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	20	0	60	20	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						

COURSE: MATH116
PROF: ALVIS
RESPONSE: 22 OUT OF 43 (51%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	14	86	0	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	36	55	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	14	50	36	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	14	5	55	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	0	36	64	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	45	45	5	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 196
SEMESTER: SPRING 1964

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: DWYER
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 55 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	6	71	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	0	0	12	71	12
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	0	18	71	12
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	0	18	71	12
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	0	0	6	18	41	35
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	6	47	41	12
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: KNIGHT
RESPONSE: 22 OUT OF 57 (39%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	18	9	36	36	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	0	27	18	32	14	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	0	14	27	27	23	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	5	50	23	23	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	0	18	18	45	9	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	50	36	14	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: VELLA
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 37 (51%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	11	16	47	21	5
B) CLEAR	3.3	5	26	11	37	16	5
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	11	26	37	26	0
D) FAIR	3.8	5	0	21	21	21	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	11	16	32	21	21	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	11	16	37	26	11	5
EXP. GRADE	2.8						

COURSE: MATH164
PROF: HAASER
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 19 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	11	0	67	22	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	11	11	56	22	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	11	0	33	56	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	11	33	56	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.1	11	22	33	11	22	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.2	0	0	22	33	44	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: DAVIS
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 35 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	0	0	14	50	36
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	0	36	29	36
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	0	36	36	29
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	0	21	43	36
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	0	14	7	43	36
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	0	50	43	7
EXP. GRADE	2.9						

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: HUMPHREYS
RESPONSE: 21 OUT OF 57 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	5	0	10	52	33
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	5	0	15	42	33
C) CONCERN	3.8	5	5	10	25	15	38
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	0	14	52	33
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	0	0	0	25	38	25
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	0	38	38	24
EXP. GRADE	2.6						

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: TAI
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 43 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	21	14	7	57	0	0
B) CLEAR	1.9	57	14	14	14	0	0
C) CONCERN	2.6	21	21	29	29	0	0
D) FAIR	3.1	14	7	36	43	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.9	57	14	14	14	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	7	0	36	57	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						

COURSE: MATH136
PROF: WONG
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 19 (53%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	10	10	40	40	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	10	10	50	30	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	0	30	70	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	0	0	70	30	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCF COMMITTEE

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: BOYCE
RESPONSE: 22 OUT OF 49 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	18	9	50	18	5
B) CLEAR	3.4	0	18	18	41	14	5
C) CONCERN	3.3	0	14	27	45	5	5
D) FAIR	3.3	0	18	36	32	9	5
E) RECOMMEND	2.7	0	9	23	23	9	5
F) WORKLOAD	4.1	0	0	23	41	32	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: CRETZ
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 50 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	6	13	69	6	0
B) CLEAR	2.7	0	19	13	38	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.1	0	13	19	50	0	0
D) FAIR	3.4	0	6	31	50	6	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	0	25	13	38	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.1	0	0	25	44	31	0
EXP. GRADE	2.5						

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: LOCKHART
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 56 (41%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	4	13	61	22	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	4	17	22	35	22	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	4	17	35	35	4	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	17	17	48	17	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	4	17	17	30	30	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	4	4	26	48	17	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						

COURSE: MATH126
PROF: VURKOVIC
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 47 (34%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	6	44	38	12	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	6	69	0	13	13	0
C) CONCERN	2.9	0	44	25	19	6	6
D) FAIR	3.4	0	13	38	25	19	6	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	0	38	6	19	19	6	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	56	38	6	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						

MATH 126

MATH 164

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

MATH 166

MATH 226

COURSE: MATH166
PROF: DERWENT
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 17 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
B) CLEAR	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
C) CONCERN	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
D) FAIR	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
E) RECOMMEND	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.2	0	0	20	40	40	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						0

COURSE: MATH196
PROF: POLLAK
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 33 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	7	0	27	67	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	13	13	7	33	33	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	13	27	60	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	13	33	53	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	7	20	7	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	7	60	33	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MATH21C
PROF: PILLAY
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 25 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	0	13	75	13	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	0	25	0	63	13	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	13	13	50	25	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	13	25	63	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	0	25	25	25	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.1	25	38	38	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.7						0

COURSE: MATH211
PROF: BORELLI
RESPONSE: 21 OUT OF 65 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	14	19	43	24	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	0	24	19	43	14	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	10	24	48	19	0
D) FAIR	3.7	5	10	19	48	19	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	0	14	14	43	29	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	38	43	19	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: MATH211
PROF: MAST
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 61 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.9	13	26	26	35	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	22	13	17	43	4	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	0	13	17	52	17	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	9	13	57	22	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	26	13	26	22	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	4	13	35	43	4	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						4

COURSE: MATH216
PROF: ROBERTS
RESPONSE: 13 OUT OF 34 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	8	8	0	8	77	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	8	0	15	0	77	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	8	8	8	54	23	0
D) FAIR	3.8	15	0	0	54	31	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	8	0	0	8	77	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.2	0	0	8	69	23	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						0

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: ECE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: MATH222
PROF: HUMPHREYS
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 45 (51%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	4	43	52	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	9	4	52	35	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	4	39	57	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	35	65	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	4	0	9	35	52	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	22	65	13	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: MATH225
PROF: VUCKOVIC
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 35 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	0	22	11	67	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	11	33	11	44	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	0	11	33	56	0	0
D) FAIR	3.4	0	0	56	44	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.7	33	11	11	44	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	11	67	22	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: MATH226
PROF: KHOR
RESPONSE: 21 OUT OF 45 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	5	14	24	57	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	10	10	14	43	24	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	10	24	43	24	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	10	24	38	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	10	14	10	24	43	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	52	38	10	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						0

COURSE: MATH226
PROF: NAGAND
RESPONSE: 21 OUT OF 47 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	5	10	57	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	29	14	52	5	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	5	10	48	24	14	0
D) FAIR	3.4	0	14	33	48	5	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	5	19	29	43	5	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	5	52	38	5	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: MATH226
PROF: SEKANE
RESPONSE: 13 OUT OF 40 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	8	15	0	77	0	0
B) CLEAR	1.8	54	23	15	8	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	0	8	54	38	0	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	0	54	46	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.2	38	23	15	23	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	62	31	8	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						8

COURSE: MATH226
PROF: SMYTH
RESPONSE: 26 OUT OF 35 (74%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	4	0	58	38	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	8	15	46	31	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	12	19	50	19	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	4	15	54	23	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	4	0	8	46	42	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	50	50	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						4

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

MATH 226

COURSE: MATH226
PROF: TAI
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 45 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.8	14	21	36	29	0	0
B) CLEAR	1.9	50	21	14	14	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	14	7	21	50	7	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	7	21	64	7	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.7	64	14	7	14	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	7	50	43	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: MATH226
PROF: WILLIAMS,F.
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 26 (62%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	13	6	6	50	25	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	19	6	13	44	19	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	13	6	19	44	19	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	13	19	38	31	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	25	0	6	25	44	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	56	38	6	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MATH262
PROF: POLLAK
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 10 (60%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	33	17	33	17	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	17	17	0	50	17	0
D) FAIR	3.5	17	0	17	50	17	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	17	0	50	17	17	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	33	33	17	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

ME 240

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: MATH316
PROF: GRANT
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 48 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.5	12	41	35	6	6	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	6	12	29	47	6	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	6	6	29	35	24	0
D) FAIR	4.0	6	0	12	53	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	6	12	29	41	12	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	6	0	29	53	12	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						6

COURSE: MATH323
PROF: DERWENT
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 59 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	16	84	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	5	37	58	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	26	74	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	0	26	74	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	5	16	79	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	26	53	21	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						0

COURSE: MATH323
PROF: KNIGHT
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 38 (39%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	13	47	40	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	7	20	47	27	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	20	20	60	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	20	27	53	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	7	27	27	40	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.2	0	0	13	53	33	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MATH323
PROF: SOMMESE
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 24 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.7	14	29	29	29	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.1	29	29	43	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	0	14	14	57	14	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	14	0	71	14	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	29	29	0	43	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	71	14	14	0
EXP. GRADE	2.6						0

COURSE: MATH324
PROF: BORELLI
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 30 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	14	0	57	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	0	29	71	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	57	43	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	21	50	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	0	7	64	29	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	64	21	14	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: MATH336
PROF: NAGANO
RESPONSE: 24 OUT OF 44 (55%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.0	33	50	8	4	4	0
B) CLEAR	1.3	79	13	8	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	1.9	38	42	17	4	0	0
D) FAIR	2.5	17	29	38	17	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	1.3	83	8	4	4	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	13	50	25	13	0
EXP. GRADE	2.6						4

COURSE: MATH411
PROF: GRANT
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 64 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.4	21	36	29	14	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	14	43	14	21	7	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	7	7	50	29	7	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	14	14	43	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.1	14	14	29	29	14	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	7	29	57	7	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						7

COURSE: ME 226
PROF: HOWLAND
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 29 (41%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	8	0	25	50	17	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	8	17	33	42	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	0	33	50	17	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	0	17	8	42	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	0	17	33	50	0
EXP. GRADE	2.1						8

COURSE: ME 240
PROF: HOUGHTON
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 26 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.9	22	22	11	33	11	0
B) CLEAR	2.4	44	11	11	22	11	0
C) CONCERN	2.8	22	33	0	33	11	0
D) FAIR	2.9	22	22	11	33	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	44	0	0	44	11	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.2	22	44	22	11	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

COURSE: ME 321
PROF: RAVEN
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 22 (36%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	0	13	38	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	13	38	38	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	13	63	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	13	75	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	13	25	63	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	13	0	75	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						

COURSE: ME 337
PROF: RAVEN
RESPONSE: 26 OUT OF 132 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	8	19	50	22	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	8	22	28	31	11	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	6	8	50	36	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	6	8	47	39	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	3	11	11	44	31	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	39	50	8	3	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

COURSE: ME 341
PROF: KAMMAN
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 70 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	0	11	43	47	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	16	26	47	11	0
C) CONCERN	1.9	47	32	11	5	5	0
D) FAIR	2.3	58	35	5	0	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.0	58	35	5	0	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	11	57	29	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: ME 430
PROF: MCCLAIN
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 12 (58%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.1	0	14	14	43	14	0
B) CLEAR	2.3	14	57	14	14	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	0	14	57	29	0
D) FAIR	2.9	14	29	0	29	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	0	14	86	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	0	29	71	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: ME 426
PROF: KAMMAN
RESPONSE: 27 OUT OF 83 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	4	7	52	37	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	7	19	22	26	26	0
C) CONCERN	2.6	22	26	22	30	0	0
D) FAIR	3.0	15	26	22	37	4	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	30	15	7	33	7	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	7	63	22	7	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

COURSE: ME 461
PROF: KANURY
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 17 (59%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	10	40	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	30	70	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
D) FAIR	4.9	0	0	0	10	90	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	0	10	60	30	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1						10
EXP. GRADE							

COURSE: ME 328
PROF: STRIEGER
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 51 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	7	7	71	14	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	36	7	50	7	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	0	7	29	71	0	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	0	21	29	14	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	7	21	29	43	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	21	50	29	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

COURSE: ME 340
PROF: HOUGHTON
RESPONSE: 26 OUT OF 96 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.2	8	27	15	35	15	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	12	35	23	27	4	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	23	12	31	35	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	19	12	42	27	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.9	19	19	27	23	12	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.4	8	46	42	4	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

COURSE: ME 342
PROF: MATTA
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 35 (34%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	8	0	8	75	8	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	17	0	17	58	8	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	17	8	8	42	25	0
D) FAIR	3.5	17	17	8	25	33	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	17	8	0	42	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	25	33	17	25	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

COURSE: ME 439
PROF: NICOLETTE
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 35 (54%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS					
		SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	5	0	0	37	58	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	11	0	42	47	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	11	37	53	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	16	32	53	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	11	21	68	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	32	53	16	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

ME 321

ME 426

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

MET 225

MGT 363

COURSE: MET 225
PROF: KOSEL
RESPONSE: 85 OUT OF 242 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	1	14	11	60	14	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	6	22	18	46	8	0
C) CONCERN	3.1	8	20	36	28	7	0
D) FAIR	3.3	6	13	33	41	7	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.5	25	29	20	21	5	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.3	7	59	28	6	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						1

COURSE: MGT 230
PROF: CHO
RESPONSE: 22 OUT OF 78 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	14	14	45	27	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	9	36	14	32	9	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	9	23	14	41	14	0
D) FAIR	3.2	5	14	50	18	14	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	23	27	23	23	5	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	5	18	64	9	5	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: MGT 230
PROF: RADZICKI
RESPONSE: 54 OUT OF 172 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	2	4	6	41	48	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	6	17	24	35	15	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	6	9	33	37	15	0
D) FAIR	3.5	2	17	26	39	17	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	7	19	22	31	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	9	54	28	9	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						2

COURSE: MGT 231
PROF: COWAN
RESPONSE: 47 OUT OF 134 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	9	9	26	49	9	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	6	26	30	34	4	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	6	17	28	45	4	0
D) FAIR	3.5	2	9	32	53	4	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	17	28	38	13	4	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	4	6	57	30	2	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: MGT 231
PROF: SEXTON
RESPONSE: 36 OUT OF 91 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	3	11	39	47	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	3	8	31	58	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	3	19	78	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	6	19	75	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	3	11	86	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	3	25	50	19	3	0
EXP. GRADE	3.9						0

COURSE: MGT 231
PROF: THOMPSON
RESPONSE: 39 OUT OF 83 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.2	36	36	5	18	5	0
B) CLEAR	2.1	28	49	10	8	5	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	10	5	13	38	31	3
D) FAIR	3.5	13	8	21	33	26	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.5	31	26	13	23	8	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	13	41	28	18	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: ECE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: MGT 240
PROF: CHO
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 23 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.3	0	33	0	67	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.3	17	33	50	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	17	17	33	33	0
D) FAIR	3.3	0	33	0	67	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	17	17	33	33	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	67	17	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						0

COURSE: MGT 240
PROF: DUNIKOSKI
RESPONSE: 66 OUT OF 161 (41%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	2	9	11	50	27	2
B) CLEAR	3.4	5	14	33	29	18	2
C) CONCERN	2.9	14	23	32	20	11	2
D) FAIR	3.2	6	17	35	32	5	2
E) RECOMMEND	2.9	18	18	30	24	9	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	2	2	39	50	8	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						3

COURSE: MGT 240
PROF: HEMMETER
RESPONSE: 28 OUT OF 94 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	11	7	21	46	14	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	4	11	21	46	18	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	11	14	36	21	18	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	0	39	39	21	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	11	11	18	36	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	4	29	54	11	4	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: MGT 352
PROF: WASIKOWSKI
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 38 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	0	25	38	38	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	63	38	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	13	63	25	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	0	0	38	38	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	13	50	38	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: MGT 363
PROF: BEAUCHAMP
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 68 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	6	6	59	29	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	6	6	71	18	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	6	0	29	47	18	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	6	29	59	6	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	6	12	59	24	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	6	29	65	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: MGT 363
PROF: EAGAN
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 6 (83%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	20	20	20	20	20	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	20	20	20	20	20	0
C) CONCERN	2.8	40	0	20	20	20	0
D) FAIR	3.2	20	20	20	0	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.0	40	40	0	20	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						20

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

MGT 371

MLFR 242

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: MGT 371
PROF: TYSON
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 36 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	17	42	42	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	25	25	50	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	0	17	83	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	0	83	17	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: MGT 453
PROF: SPENCER
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 28 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	13	88	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.9	0	0	0	13	88	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	50	50	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: MGT 465
PROF: HUNTER
RESPONSE: 70 OUT OF 140 (50%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	23	77	0
B) CLEAR	4.8	0	0	0	19	81	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	3	1	23	73	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	3	16	24	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	1	0	11	87	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	1	20	56	21	1	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: MGT 472
PROF: WILLIAMS,J.
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 22 (50%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	9	36	9	36	9	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	27	27	0	18	27	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	9	0	18	27	45	0
D) FAIR	3.5	9	9	27	27	27	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	27	18	18	18	18	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	0	18	36	45	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						0

COURSE: MLFR102
PROF: HAIDER
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 24 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	0	40	40	20	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	0	20	0	80	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	0	0	60	40	0	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	0	100	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	0	20	80	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	40	40	20	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: MLFR116
PROF: ASFAHANI
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 37 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	9	9	55	27	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	9	36	27	27	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	36	36	27	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	9	27	55	9	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	9	18	18	27	27	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	9	27	55	9	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: MLFR122
PROF: DALY
RESPONSE: 35 OUT OF 56 (63%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	3	6	0	40	51	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	3	3	17	46	31	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	6	11	37	46	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	6	17	29	49	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	6	6	29	60	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	9	54	31	6	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						6

COURSE: MLFR122
PROF: NENY
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 13 (54%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	14	14	71	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	0	29	14	43	14	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	29	57	14	0
D) FAIR	3.3	0	29	29	29	14	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.9	14	29	29	14	14	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	43	57	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MLFR122
PROF: PARNELL
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 22 (41%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	11	33	11	33	11	0
B) CLEAR	2.2	44	11	22	22	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	11	11	22	33	22	0
D) FAIR	3.0	22	11	22	33	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.9	22	11	22	44	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	22	22	56	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MLFR122
PROF: POCOCK
RESPONSE: 13 OUT OF 34 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	8	54	38	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	8	8	46	38	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	8	15	46	31	0	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	8	31	54	8	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.9	8	23	46	15	8	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	69	15	15	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						8

COURSE: MLFR122
PROF: VROMAN
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 18 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	0	40	40	20	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	0	40	40	20	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	20	40	40	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	20	20	20	20	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	0	60	20	20	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: MLFR242
PROF: PARNELL
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 17 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	0	20	20	60	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	20	20	20	40	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	0	20	40	20	20	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	20	0	80	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	20	20	0	40	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	20	0	80	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

MLFR
310

MLRU
325

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: MLFR310
PROF: PARNELL
RESPONSE: 4 OUT OF 10 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.3	0	25	25	50	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	25	25	50	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	0	75	25	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	50	0	0	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	25	0	50	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	25	25	25	25	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						25

COURSE: MLGR102
PROF: HESS
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 21 (52%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	0	45	9	45	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	9	36	9	45	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	9	27	36	27	0
D) FAIR	3.5	0	18	27	36	18	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.7	27	27	9	18	18	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.7	0	36	55	9	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						0

COURSE: MLGR112
PROF: WIMMER
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 15 (53%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	13	88	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	0	13	88	0
C) CONCERN	4.9	0	0	0	13	88	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
E) RECOMMEND	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	13	13	75	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: MLGR116
PROF: MAYR
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 36 (42%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	0	40	53	7
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	13	40	40	7
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	7	33	53	7
D) FAIR	4.2	7	0	7	33	47	7
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	7	7	0	20	60	7
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	7	13	60	7	7	7
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: MLGR122
PROF: KLAWITER
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 40 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	12	35	53	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	12	12	41	35	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	6	0	0	18	76	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	47	53	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	6	6	24	65	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	0	41	59	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MLGR122
PROF: WIMMER
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 14 (64%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	11	89	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	11	22	67	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	11	44	44	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	44	11	44	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: MLGR313
PROF: WIMMER
RESPONSE: 4 OUT OF 10 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	5.0	0	0	0	0	75	25
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	25	50	25
C) CONCERN	3.7	0	25	0	25	25	25
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	25	0	50	25
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	0	25	50	25
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	50	25	0	25
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: MLGR490
PROF: HELLER
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 14 (36%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
D) FAIR	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
E) RECOMMEND	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.2	0	0	20	40	40	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MLIT102
PROF: WELLE
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 14 (64%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	0	44	56	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	11	33	56	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	0	22	78	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	44	44	11	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: MLRU102
PROF: MARULLO
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 23 (52%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	8	25	67	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	8	8	33	50	0
C) CONCERN	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	17	8	75	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	8	0	0	92	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.8	0	0	0	17	83	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: MLRU102
PROF: RUBULIS
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 20 (55%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	9	0	0	64	27	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	9	64	27	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	27	73	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	9	9	82	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	18	27	55	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	9	18	36	27	9	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: MLRU325
PROF: GATTO
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 30 (53%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	6	0	19	38	38	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	6	0	0	56	38	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	6	0	0	44	50	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	6	38	50	6
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	6	0	0	25	69	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	13	38	31	0	19	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

MLSP
102

PHIL
101

COURSE: MLSP102
PROF: BAUMAN
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 52 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	0	0	91	9	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	0	82	18	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	36	64	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	0	27	73	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	18	55	27	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: MLSP102
PROF: IACONA
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 25 (44%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	9	18	45	27	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	9	18	45	27	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	9	0	36	18	36	0
D) FAIR	3.9	9	0	9	55	27	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	9	18	27	18	27	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	9	45	36	9	0
EXP. GRADE	2.6						0

COURSE: MLSP116
PROF: COATS
RESPONSE: 30 OUT OF 62 (48%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	3	17	60	20	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	10	13	53	23	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	3	7	43	47	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	3	13	57	27	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	0	10	10	40	40	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	20	63	13	3	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						7

COURSE: MLSP116
PROF: RICHARDSON
RESPONSE: 18 OUT OF 30 (60%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.1	39	33	6	11	6	6
B) CLEAR	3.3	17	6	28	22	22	6
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	11	28	22	39	0
D) FAIR	3.7	11	11	11	28	39	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	17	22	0	28	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.3	28	22	44	6	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						6

COURSE: MLSP122
PROF: AMERIKS,G.
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 15 (67%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	20	30	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	10	40	50	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	20	30	50	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	10	50	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	20	30	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	10	30	50	10	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: MLSP122
PROF: DELGADO
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 53 (36%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	5	21	47	26	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	5	68	26	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	11	11	16	63	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	11	32	58	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	5	5	0	89	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	5	11	63	21	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MLSP122
PROF: DOYLE
RESPONSE: 20 OUT OF 46 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	15	85	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	5	35	60	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	10	0	15	30	45	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	10	5	35	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	5	5	5	15	70	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	5	50	40	5	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: MLSP122
PROF: FRANCO
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 25 (20%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	20	0	20	60	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	20	40	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	0	40	0	60	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	0	40	60	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.9						0

COURSE: MLSP122
PROF: WILLIAMS
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 11 (73%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	13	25	63	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	13	25	63	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	13	88	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: MLSP242
PROF: ANADON
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 23 (39%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	0	56	44	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	11	44	44	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	11	22	22	44	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	22	0	78	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	11	11	78	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	44	33	22	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: MLSP242
PROF: FELDMAN
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 27 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	13	0	13	63	13	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	13	25	13	38	13	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	38	63	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	25	0	25	0	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	25	13	50	13	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: PHIL101
PROF: FOLEY
RESPONSE: 90 OUT OF 229 (39%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	17	83	0
B) CLEAR	4.8	0	0	2	17	81	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	1	22	46	30	1
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	22	41	36	1
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	2	26	72	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	16	74	10	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:
1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:
1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

COURSE: PHIL101
PROF: SOLOMON
RESPONSE: 67 OUT CF 188 (36%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	3	12	40	45	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	1	13	19	46	30	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	1	4	22	46	25	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	1	10	54	34	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	1	6	19	39	34	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	10	61	27	1	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

COURSE: PHIL101
PROF: MCDONALD
RESPONSE: 26 OUT OF 31 (84%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	4	42	54	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	12	46	42	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	8	54	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	4	15	31	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	31	46	23	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						4

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

COURSE: PHIL101
PROF: STERN
RESPONSE: 16 OUT OF 30 (53%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	0	25	25	38	13	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	6	19	56	13	6	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	6	75	19	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	19	19	38	19	6	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	25	69	6	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

COURSE: PHIL201
PROF: MORRIS
RESPONSE: 40 OUT OF 94 (43%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	3	10	85	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	23	75	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	30	63	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	13	28	60	0
E) RECOMMEND	5.0	0	0	0	3	98	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.4	10	43	45	3	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						3

COURSE: PHIL203
PROF: FLINT
RESPONSE: 18 OUT OF 64 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	0	6	50	33	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	0	0	17	61	17	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	0	0	11	39	0	0
D) FAIR	3.2	0	0	17	44	39	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	17	28	39	17	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	22	17	50	11	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

COURSE: PHIL101
PROF: MELLON
RESPONSE: 18 OUT OF 32 (56%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	17	11	50	22	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	17	22	17	39	5	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	6	11	50	33	0
D) FAIR	4.1	6	6	11	33	44	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	17	11	11	17	44	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	6	22	44	28	C	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: PHIL201
PROF: BOBICK
RESPONSE: 19 OUT CF 52 (37%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	32	68	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	0	5	68	16	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	16	37	47	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	5	47	47	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	11	11	74	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.3	37	16	32	16	C	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

COURSE: PHIL201
PROF: STERN
RESPONSE: 7 OUT CF 22 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.9	14	43	0	29	14	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	29	29	14	14	14	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	29	0	57	14	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	14	14	57	14	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	43	0	29	14	14	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	0	57	29	14	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: PHIL203
PROF: WETTSTEIN
RESPONSE: 25 OUT CF 112 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	8	20	36	36	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	4	16	4	52	24	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	8	52	40	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	8	48	44	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	4	4	8	40	44	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	12	28	52	8	C	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						

COURSE: PHIL195
PROF: GUTTING
RESPONSE: 12 CUT CF 38 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SC (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	0	42	58	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	17	33	50	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	8	25	42	25
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	0	33	42	25
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	0	8	50	42
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	0	67	33	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						

COURSE: PHIL201
PROF: SAYRE
RESPONSE: 21 OUT OF 65 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	5	24	71	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	10	38	48	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	5	52	38	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	5	57	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	5	14	24	57	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	0	81	19	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

COURSE: PHIL203
PROF: KIRKHAM
RESPONSE: 17 CUT OF 55 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	0	0	65	29	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	0	12	24	59	0	0
C) CONCERN	2.6	18	29	24	29	0	0
D) FAIR	2.8	18	24	18	41	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.4	18	41	24	18	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	6	12	82	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

PHIL 101

PHIL 203

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

DATE: OCT 5, 1984
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

PHIL 221

COURSE: PHIL222
PROF: WATSON
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 33 (58%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	11	11	58	21	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	5	21	32	32	11	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	0	0	21	68	11	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	16	74	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	5	0	26	42	26	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	26	68	5	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						5

COURSE: PHIL241
PROF: DEPAUL
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 34 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	9	18	9	55	9	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	9	45	27	9	9	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	0	9	64	18	18	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	9	45	18	27	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.7	27	9	36	18	9	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	18	36	45	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						8

COURSE: PHIL244
PROF: ROBINSON, J.
RESPONSE: 32 OUT OF 112 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	3	0	3	25	69	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	3	0	6	31	56	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	3	0	6	38	53	0
D) FAIR	4.4	3	0	6	38	53	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	3	0	0	28	69	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	13	63	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: PHIL251
PROF: SMALLRY
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 30 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.6	20	30	20	30	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	10	20	50	20	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	0	60	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	10	0	20	70	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	10	10	70	20	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						5

COURSE: PHIL222
PROF: CROSSON
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 35 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	10	0	10	30	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	10	40	50	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	10	40	50	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	10	40	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	10	0	30	60	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	10	90	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: PHIL232
PROF: KOURANY
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 29 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	0	36	64	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	9	55	36	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	36	64	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	36	64	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	9	27	64	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	9	73	18	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: PHIL243
PROF: WACHSBERG
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 30 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	8	8	50	33	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	8	17	0	50	25	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	8	17	17	42	17	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	8	8	42	42	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	25	0	0	50	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	8	17	58	8	8	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: PHIL246
PROF: KOLB
RESPONSE: 20 OUT OF 81 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	5	0	0	50	45	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	5	0	10	70	15	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	5	10	50	35	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	5	0	40	55	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	5	0	5	45	45	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.7	5	25	65	5	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						5

PHIL 251

COURSE: PHIL221
PROF: WEIHER
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 48 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	14	29	36	21	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	7	36	50	7	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	7	29	21	43	0	0
D) FAIR	3.0	7	21	36	36	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	36	7	29	7	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.4	14	50	29	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						14

COURSE: PHIL223
PROF: REITH
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 54 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	8	17	50	25	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	17	25	33	25	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	0	33	17	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.2	33	17	50	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						8

COURSE: PHIL243
PROF: SOLOMON
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 51 (27%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	0	0	14	57	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	0	7	21	57	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	7	14	64	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	0	7	21	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	0	0	7	14	64	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	7	57	14	21	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						7

COURSE: PHIL246
PROF: KESSLER
RESPONSE: 27 OUT OF 77 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	11	41	48	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	11	44	44	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	4	48	48	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	4	41	56	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	4	22	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.2	19	48	30	4	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						5

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

COURSE: PHIL302
PROF: DELANEY
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 71 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	7	13	80	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	7	7	20	67	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	20	60	20	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	13	47	40	0
E) RECOMMENC	4.3	0	13	13	33	53	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.3	0	13	13	47	40	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

COURSE: PHYS112
PROF: DARDIN
RESPONSE: 22 OUT OF CF 75 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	9	36	55	0
B) CLEAR	3.5	0	23	18	32	27	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	5	36	45	27	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	18	32	36	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.6	0	14	27	23	32	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	23	55	23	0	0
EXP. GRADE	2.8						

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: PHYS128
PROF: RUCHTI
RESPONSE: 64 OUT CF 163 (39%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	3	36	64	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	0	33	63	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	2	33	58	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	9	33	61	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	6	17	81	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	3E	5C	13	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

COURSE: PHYS202
PROF: POLRIER
RESPONSE: 45 OUT CF 138 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	2	11	38	45	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	4	11	40	44	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	2	18	47	29	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	4	29	44	24	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	0	9	11	36	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	4	64	31	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

COURSE: PHIL265
PROF: MELLON
RESPONSE: 10 OUT CF 42 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	10	20	20	0	50	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	10	20	0	0	50	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	10	0	0	30	50	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	20	70	10	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

COURSE: PHIL439
PROF: MCMULLIN
RESPONSE: 7 OUT CF 22 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	14	14	0	14	57	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	14	0	29	29	29	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	0	14	57	29	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	14	0	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	0	57	43	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	29	43	0	14
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

COURSE: PHYS128
PROF: LUNDEEN
RESPONSE: 45 OUT CF 133 (34%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.2	11	16	22	44	4	2
B) CLEAR	2.4	18	40	24	13	2	2
C) CONCERN	2.3	27	31	24	16	0	2
D) FAIR	2.8	20	16	31	11	0	2
E) RECOMMENC	1.9	49	22	16	11	0	2
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	49	40	9	2
EXP. GRADE	2.8						

COURSE: PHYS202
PROF: MARSHALEK
RESPONSE: 18 OUT OF 55 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	17	33	28	22	0
B) CLEAR	2.9	11	22	33	28	6	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	0	17	56	22	6	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	0	56	22	22	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	6	39	28	28	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	6	2E	44	22	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						

COURSE: PHIL261
PROF: BOBICK
RESPONSE: 41 OUT OF 168 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	2	7	32	59	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	10	17	36	32	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	5	22	34	37	2
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	5	29	49	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	2	15	22	56	0
F) WORKLOAD	1.8	49	29	15	7	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

COURSE: PHIL303
PROF: AMERICKS
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 15 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	0	40	60	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	0	0	60	40	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	40	60	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	0	0	20	60	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	0	60	20	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

COURSE: PHYS128
PROF: BROWNE
RESPONSE: 52 OUT OF 168 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.3	6	21	21	40	12	0
B) CLEAR	2.7	13	35	29	12	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	10	13	27	40	10	0
D) FAIR	3.7	14	10	27	21	6	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	19	27	21	12	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	2	4	44	40	8	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

COURSE: PHYS202
PROF: FUNK
RESPONSE: 52 OUT OF 129 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	2	23	21	42	12	0
B) CLEAR	2.8	12	35	27	19	6	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	2	17	4C	33	6	0
D) FAIR	3.4	0	6	56	23	10	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.4	29	29	17	23	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	C	10	65	23	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

PHIL 261

PHYS 202

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

COURSE: PHYS222
PROF: ANTHONY
RESPONSE: 12 OUT CF 46 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	8	8	75	8	0
B) CLEAR	3.0	17	8	33	42	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	42	58	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	8	33	58	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	8	0	8	42	42	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	17	25	58	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

DATE: OCT 5, 19
SEMESTER: SPRING 198

COURSE: PHYS401
PROF: RETTIG
RESPONSE: 2 OUT CF 9 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	0	0	100	0	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.5	0	0	50	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	0	0	50	0	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	0	100	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	4.0						50

COURSE: PLS 244
PROF: SLCAN
RESPONSE: 18 OUT CF 21 (86%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	28	72	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	6	44	44	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	6	39	50	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	6	33	61	0
E) RECOMMENC	4.2	6	6	11	22	56	0
F) WORKLCAD	3.9	0	6	33	28	33	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

COURSE: PLS 282
PROF: GARRICK
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 16 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	0	20	60	20	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	20	80	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	0	80	20	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	0	40	40	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.2	0	0	0	80	20	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

COURSE: PHYS210
PROF: RETTIG
RESPONSE: 17 OUT CF 69 (25%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	12	6	41	41	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	18	0	35	47	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	12	12	35	41	0
D) FAIR	4.0	6	6	12	35	41	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	12	6	6	24	53	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	6	18	53	12	12	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

COURSE: PHYS234
PROF: BLACKSTEAD
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 14 (36%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.2	0	0	80	20	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	0	40	60	0	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	0	0	60	40	20	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	0	40	40	20	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	0	20	40	40	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.2	0	20	80	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						20

COURSE: PLS 242
PROF: SMITH
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 39 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	33	67	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	8	92	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	8	92	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.9	0	0	0	8	92	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.9	0	0	25	58	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: PLS 282
PROF: CROSSON
RESPONSE: 6 OUT CF 12 (50%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	0	67	33	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	17	67	17	0
C) CONCERN	3.5	0	17	33	33	17	0
D) FAIR	4.0	0	0	33	33	33	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	0	33	33	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	33	50	17	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						17

COURSE: PHYS204
PROF: MIHELICH
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 27 (19%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	20	20	80	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	0	0	20	80	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	60	40	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.4	0	20	0	60	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.4	20	20	20	40	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

COURSE: PHYS222
PROF: CHAGNON
RESPONSE: 51 OUT OF 200 (26%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	12	35	65	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	2	6	14	49	29	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	6	10	18	35	31	0
D) FAIR	3.7	4	6	24	41	29	4
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	14	20	31	27	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	0	24	49	27	0
EXP. GRADE							

COURSE: PLS 242
PROF: POWER
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 24 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	0	0	88	13	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	0	13	63	25	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	0	75	25	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	0	63	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.0	0	0	13	75	13	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	0	100	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						13

COURSE: PLS 248
PROF: FERGUSON
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 43 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	17	8	75	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	8	33	58	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	8	17	75	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	8	17	83	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	8	33	58	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	0	25	67	8	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						8

PHYS 204

PLS 282

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

DATE: OCT 5, 19
SEMESTER: SPRING 198

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

PLS 282

COURSE: PLS 346
PROF: CRONIN
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 39 (13%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
C) CONCERN	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
D) FAIR	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
E) RECOMMENC	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	20	60	20	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: PLS 482
PROF: POWER
RESPONSE: 5 OUT OF 17 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	3.4	0	0	80	20	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	0	0	80	20	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	20	80	0
E) RECOMMENC	3.6	0	20	20	40	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.4	0	0	20	60	40	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						

COURSE: PSY 341
PROF: MAXWELL
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 32 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	33	67	0	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	0	0	33	11	56	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	44	56	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	44	56	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	0	0	33	22	44	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	11	78	11	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						

COURSE: PSY 353
PROF: MERLUZZI
RESPONSE: 33 OUT OF 87 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	3	12	46	39	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	3	18	55	24	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	3	0	48	48	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	3	0	55	42	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.1	0	9	6	52	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	3	27	55	9	6	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						6

COURSE: PLS 342
PROF: DEPASCUALE
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 36 (19%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	3.6	0	29	0	57	14	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	14	14	57	14	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	0	14	43	0	43	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	14	43	14	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.1	0	29	43	14	14	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	14	43	43	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						

COURSE: PLS 442
PROF: SCHINDLER
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 24 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	0	25	63	13	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	25	50	25	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	50	50	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
E) RECOMMENC	4.4	0	0	25	13	63	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	38	38	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						

COURSE: PSY 211
PROF: CROWELL
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 116 (7%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	3.9	0	0	50	13	38	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	50	0	50	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	0	50	25	25	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	25	75	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	25	0	75	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	25	50	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						

COURSE: PSY 352
PROF: PRYOR
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 128 (18%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	4	9	57	30	0
B) CLEAR	3.4	0	13	30	43	13	0
C) CONCERN	2.6	0	52	17	17	4	0
D) FAIR	3.0	0	30	43	22	4	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.3	0	48	30	17	4	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	0	30	57	4	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						17

PSY 353

COURSE: PLS 282
PROF: GODDU-CROWE
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 26 (42%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	0	36	64	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	0	18	9	55	18
C) CONCERN	3.7	0	0	18	9	55	18
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	9	18	45	27
E) RECOMMENC	3.1	18	18	9	9	45	9
F) WORKLOAD	4.0	0	0	0	27	45	27
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: PLS 382
PROF: TILLMAN
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 34 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	9	9	91	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	9	18	73	0
C) CONCERN	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
D) FAIR	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						9

COURSE: PSY 111
PROF: KLINE, LAPS, BAR.
RESPONSE: 86 OUT OF 274 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	1	6	15	52	25	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	16	33	37	13	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	1	8	34	45	8	0
D) FAIR	3.8	5	8	15	45	27	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	1	14	65	19	1	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3						3
EXP. GRADE							

COURSE: PSY 351
PROF: DAY
RESPONSE: 102 OUT OF 451 (23%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS (1) SD	(2) D	(3) U	(4) A	(5) SA	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	1	1	6	32	59	1
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	1	10	30	59	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	1	5	22	41	30	1
D) FAIR	4.1	1	5	18	35	40	1
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	5	12	24	58	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.5	7	40	49	4	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						3

PLS 282

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:	Statement F:
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Disagree	2 = Lighter than average
3 = Undecided	3 = Average
4 = Agree	4 = Heavier than average
5 = Strongly agree	5 = Much heavier than average

PSY 354

SOC 342

COURSE: PSY 354
PROF: JOHNSTON
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 66 (17%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	18	82	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	9	45	45	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	18	36	45	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	55	45	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	9	0	0	27	64	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	0	64	18	18	0
EXP. GRADE	4.0						0

COURSE: PSY 361
PROF: ANDERSON
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 47 (40%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.5	0	0	11	32	58	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	16	16	47	21	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	5	11	21	26	37	0
D) FAIR	3.8	5	16	11	32	37	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	21	16	21	42	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	5	5	47	16	26	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						11

COURSE: PSY 374
PROF: LAPSLEY
RESPONSE: 27 OUT OF 79 (34%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	4	48	48	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	4	63	33	0
C) CONCERN	4.4	0	0	11	37	52	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	4	37	59	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	7	30	63	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	11	59	22	7	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						4

COURSE: SOC 102
PROF: CHRISTIANO
RESPONSE: 19 OUT OF 41 (46%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	5	16	42	37	0
B) CLEAR	3.2	11	26	5	53	5	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	0	53	32	16	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	5	32	53	11	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.2	21	16	5	42	16	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.5	0	5	53	26	16	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						5

COURSE: SOC 102
PROF: DODGE
RESPONSE: 35 OUT OF 74 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	11	6	46	37	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	17	20	14	29	20	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	11	43	46	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	6	37	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	9	6	14	37	34	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	17	57	20	6	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: SOC 122
PROF: JOHNSON,C.
RESPONSE: 40 OUT OF 127 (31%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	5	8	53	35	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	3	8	15	48	28	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	10	18	53	20	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	3	28	50	20	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	5	13	20	38	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	10	20	58	10	3	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						3

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 198

COURSE: SOC 232
PROF: LOMIRE
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 60 (12%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.3	29	29	29	14	0	0
B) CLEAR	2.6	0	57	29	14	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	14	43	14	29	0
D) FAIR	3.6	0	14	43	14	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.6	29	29	0	43	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.0	43	14	43	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						14

COURSE: SOC 300
PROF: ROCHBERG-HALTON
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 25 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	0	33	33	33	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	17	33	17	33	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	17	0	17	33	33	0
D) FAIR	3.8	0	17	17	33	33	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	17	0	33	17	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	33	50	17	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.0						33

COURSE: SOC 332
PROF: VASOLI
RESPONSE: 37 OUT OF 89 (42%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	3	3	8	46	30	11
B) CLEAR	3.7	3	8	14	57	8	11
C) CONCERN	3.0	3	30	35	32	0	0
D) FAIR	3.1	11	19	30	35	5	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	5	11	27	46	11	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	24	49	24	3	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						5

COURSE: SOC 339
PROF: VASOLI
RESPONSE: 6 OUT OF 32 (19%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	0	17	83	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	0	33	67	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	0	33	33	33	0	0
D) FAIR	2.8	0	33	50	17	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.5	0	17	17	67	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	0	100	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						0

COURSE: SOC 342
PROF: BARRET
RESPONSE: 29 OUT OF 71 (41%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	2.8	10	41	14	28	7	0
B) CLEAR	2.4	24	34	17	21	3	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	3	21	31	24	21	0
D) FAIR	3.2	10	10	38	34	7	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.3	38	17	31	3	10	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.5	10	41	38	10	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						7

COURSE: SOC 342
PROF: KLEIN
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 73 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	13	7	47	33	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	7	7	67	20	0
C) CONCERN	3.6	0	7	40	40	13	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	13	67	20	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	13	7	33	27	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	13	60	27	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						7

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

SOC 342

THEO 200

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING'1984

COURSE: SOC 342
PROF: SMITH
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 39 (28%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	18	0	45	36	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	9	18	36	36	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	27	73	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	36	64	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	9	9	82	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.3	0	0	73	27	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: SOC 354
PROF: HERRMANN
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 27 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	11	22	56	11	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	11	33	33	22	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	0	67	33	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	44	56	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.2	0	0	22	33	44	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.2	0	78	22	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						0

COURSE: SOC 446
PROF: KLEIN
RESPONSE: 3 OUT OF 26 (12%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	0	33	67	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	0	67	33	0	0
C) CONCERN	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
D) FAIR	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	0	33	0	33	33	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.7	0	0	67	0	33	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						0

COURSE: SOC 499
PROF: LAMANNA
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 26 (46%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.0	0	25	25	25	0	25
B) CLEAR	3.0	8	8	33	25	0	25
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	8	8	50	25	8
D) FAIR	4.0	0	17	8	25	42	8
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	0	17	17	33	25	8
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	25	33	25	0	17
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: THEO100
PROF: BURTCHAELL
RESPONSE: 29 OUT OF 59 (49%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	3	0	0	21	76	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	3	0	3	41	52	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	10	21	21	28	21	0
D) FAIR	3.3	10	21	21	28	21	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	10	7	17	14	52	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.5	3	0	3	31	62	0
EXP. GRADE	2.9						0

COURSE: THEO100
PROF: KEARNEY
RESPONSE: 12 OUT OF 36 (33%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	8	58	33	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	8	8	50	33	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	8	17	50	25	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	0	17	50	33	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	0	0	17	42	42	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	17	67	17	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: THEO100
PROF: LACUGNA
RESPONSE: 15 OUT OF 33 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	0	7	67	27	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	7	13	13	33	33	0
C) CONCERN	3.3	7	13	40	27	13	0
D) FAIR	3.6	7	13	7	60	13	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.3	7	13	33	40	7	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	7	20	67	0	7	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

COURSE: THEO100
PROF: MALLOY
RESPONSE: 17 OUT OF 50 (34%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.9	0	0	0	6	94	0
B) CLEAR	4.7	0	0	0	29	71	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	0	47	53	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	47	53	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	6	24	71	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	41	41	18	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: THEO200
PROF: CARROLL
RESPONSE: 44 OUT OF 83 (53%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	2	18	50	30	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	2	7	23	52	16	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	7	14	50	30	0
D) FAIR	4.2	0	5	9	52	34	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	2	2	20	50	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.7	5	25	64	7	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: THEO200
PROF: LAARMAN
RESPONSE: 23 OUT OF 76 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	4	9	17	57	13	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	4	26	26	39	4	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	4	4	9	52	30	0
D) FAIR	4.0	4	4	9	52	30	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.1	9	17	30	43	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	4	22	61	13	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: THEO200
PROF: LARDNER
RESPONSE: 18 OUT OF 32 (56%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	17	83	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	6	11	22	61	0
C) CONCERN	4.7	0	0	0	28	72	0
D) FAIR	4.7	0	0	6	22	72	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	11	0	0	22	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.1	6	0	11	44	39	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: THEO200
PROF: OMEARA
RESPONSE: 25 OUT OF 84 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.6	0	0	0	40	60	0
B) CLEAR	4.2	4	0	12	44	40	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	4	40	56	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	12	36	52	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	8	12	80	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.0	12	72	16	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

THEO 200

THEO 245

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

DATE: OCT 5, 198
SEMESTER: SPRING 1984

COURSE: THEO200
PROF: TREMBATH
RESPONSE: 22 OUT OF 35 (63%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.7	0	0	0	27	73	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	5	0	45	50	0
C) CONCERN	4.5	0	0	5	41	55	0
D) FAIR	4.5	0	0	0	55	45	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.7	0	0	5	23	73	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	5	27	55	14	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: THEO203
PROF: BLEINKENSOPP
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 37 (22%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	0	13	63	25	0
B) CLEAR	4.1	0	0	13	63	25	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	25	63	13	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	13	0	75	13	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	0	50	25	25	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	38	38	25	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

COURSE: THEO211
PROF: TABOR
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 47 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	0	10	10	30	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.5	0	0	10	30	60	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	0	0	30	20	50	0
D) FAIR	4.4	0	0	10	40	50	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	10	30	60	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	40	30	30	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: THEO213
PROF: MICELLI
RESPONSE: 24 OUT OF 103 (23%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.5	4	21	13	50	13	0
B) CLEAR	3.1	8	17	33	38	4	0
C) CONCERN	3.1	4	25	33	33	4	0
D) FAIR	2.8	17	25	21	33	4	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.8	25	17	13	42	4	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.6	4	42	42	13	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						0

COURSE: THEO214
PROF: TABOR
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 42 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.8	0	10	20	50	20	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	10	50	40	0
C) CONCERN	3.7	0	0	40	50	10	0
D) FAIR	4.0	10	0	0	60	30	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.4	0	0	30	0	70	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.2	10	60	30	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.2						0

COURSE: THEO218
PROF: FORD
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 40 (23%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.3	0	33	22	22	22	0
B) CLEAR	3.3	0	22	22	56	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.9	0	0	44	22	33	0
D) FAIR	3.7	0	22	11	44	22	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.9	22	11	33	22	11	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.8	0	33	56	11	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						11

COURSE: THEO222
PROF: LAPORTE
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 37 (24%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.2	11	11	33	33	11	0
B) CLEAR	2.0	44	22	22	11	0	0
C) CONCERN	3.2	0	22	33	44	0	0
D) FAIR	3.4	0	0	56	44	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	2.1	33	33	22	11	0	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.2	11	56	33	0	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: THEO225
PROF: LAPORTE
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 34 (21%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.1	29	14	0	29	29	0
B) CLEAR	2.1	43	29	14	0	14	0
C) CONCERN	3.4	14	14	14	29	29	0
D) FAIR	4.1	14	0	0	29	57	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	43	0	14	0	43	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.9	0	29	57	14	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						14

COURSE: THEO239
PROF: OCONNOR
RESPONSE: 11 OUT OF 31 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.3	0	0	9	55	36	0
B) CLEAR	3.7	0	18	9	55	18	0
C) CONCERN	3.0	0	36	27	36	0	0
D) FAIR	3.3	0	18	36	45	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.0	18	9	36	27	9	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	9	73	18	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						0

COURSE: THEO240
PROF: GORSKI
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 49 (29%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	7	0	0	29	64	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	7	7	14	57	14	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	7	0	7	57	29	0
D) FAIR	3.9	7	7	7	50	29	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	7	7	14	29	43	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	7	43	36	14	0
EXP. GRADE	3.4						0

COURSE: THEO245
PROF: KEARNEY
RESPONSE: 10 OUT OF 24 (42%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.1	0	10	0	60	30	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	10	0	10	60	20	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	0	0	20	80	0	0
D) FAIR	3.9	0	0	10	90	0	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.9	10	0	0	70	20	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.0	0	20	60	20	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.7						0

COURSE: THEO245
PROF: WILKIN
RESPONSE: 7 OUT OF 16 (44%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	3.7	0	14	0	86	0	0
B) CLEAR	3.6	0	14	14	71	0	0
C) CONCERN	4.3	0	0	14	43	43	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	0	86	14	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.7	0	0	43	43	14	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.4	0	0	57	43	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.1						0

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

COURSE: THEC373
PROF: MCNEIL
RESPONSE: 6 OUT CF 20 (30%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.2	17	0	0	17	67	0
B) CLEAR	3.8	17	0	0	50	33	0
C) CONCERN	4.2	17	0	0	17	67	0
D) FAIR	4.0	17	0	0	33	50	0
E) RECOMMENC	4.0	17	0	0	33	50	0
F) WORKLCAD	3.8	0	17	17	33	33	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						

COURSE: THEC411
PROF: WEISS
RESPONSE: 10 CUT CF 26 (38%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	10	40	50	0
B) CLEAR	4.3	0	0	0	10	90	0
C) CONCERN	4.1	0	0	0	20	80	0
D) FAIR	4.3	0	0	10	30	60	0
E) RECOMMENC	4.7	0	0	0	10	90	0
F) WORKLCAD	3.6	0	0	50	40	10	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

DATE: OCT 5, 19
SEMESTER: SPRING '198

COURSE: THEC442
PROF: HAUERWAS
RESPONSE: 12 OUT CF 24 (50%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	17	83	0
B) CLEAR	4.4	0	0	0	42	58	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	8	83	0
D) FAIR	4.6	0	0	0	25	67	0
E) RECOMMENC	4.8	0	0	0	25	75	0
F) WORKLCAD	4.5	0	0	0	33	58	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

COURSE: THEC344
PROF: MERTENSOTTO
RESPONSE: 24 OUT OF 70 (34%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.0	0	4	21	42	33	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	4	0	29	33	33	0
C) CONCERN	3.8	4	0	38	25	33	0
D) FAIR	3.8	4	4	33	21	38	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.3	4	8	4	25	54	0
F) WORKLOAD	1.8	46	42	8	0	4	0
EXP. GRADE	3.8						

COURSE: THEC387
PROF: GORSKI
RESPONSE: 9 OUT OF 45 (18%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	11	33	56	0
B) CLEAR	4.0	0	0	22	56	22	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	11	67	22	0
D) FAIR	4.1	0	0	11	44	44	0
E) RECOMMEND	3.8	0	11	22	22	22	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.1	0	33	33	22	11	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

COURSE: THEC434
PROF: BURTCHAELL
RESPONSE: 6 OUT CF 17 (35%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
B) CLEAR	5.0	0	0	0	0	100	0
C) CONCERN	4.0	0	0	0	33	67	0
D) FAIR	3.7	17	0	17	17	33	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	17	17	67	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.8	0	0	17	83	0	0
EXP. GRADE	3.3						

COURSE: THEC260
PROF: MAGUIRE
RESPONSE: 37 OUT OF 117 (32%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	19	81	0
B) CLEAR	4.8	0	0	0	19	81	0
C) CONCERN	4.8	0	0	0	11	86	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	5	86	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.5	0	0	0	8	92	0
F) WORKLOAD	2.5	8	43	43	3	3	0
EXP. GRADE	3.6						

COURSE: THEC386
PROF: COX
RESPONSE: 14 OUT OF 31 (45%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.4	0	0	7	50	43	0
B) CLEAR	3.9	0	14	14	36	36	0
C) CONCERN	4.9	0	0	0	14	86	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	21	79	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	7	21	71	0
F) WORKLOAD	3.6	0	0	57	29	14	0
EXP. GRADE	3.5						

FOR: STUDENT GOVERNMENT
BY: FCE COMMITTEE

COURSE: THEC422
PROF: STOREY
RESPONSE: 8 OUT OF 17 (47%)

QUESTIONS:	AVG	REPORTED IN PERCENTS SD (1)	D (2)	U (3)	A (4)	SA (5)	NA
A) PREPARED	4.8	0	0	0	13	88	0
B) CLEAR	4.6	0	0	0	13	75	0
C) CONCERN	4.6	0	0	0	0	100	0
D) FAIR	4.8	0	0	0	13	88	0
E) RECOMMEND	4.6	0	0	0	25	75	0
F) WORKLOAD	4.5	0	0	0	13	88	0
EXP. GRADE	3.9						

THEO 260

THEO 442

Numerical Equivalents

Statements A-E:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Undecided
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly agree

Statement F:

1 = Much lighter than average
2 = Lighter than average
3 = Average
4 = Heavier than average
5 = Much heavier than average

INDEX

PROF:	COURSE:	PG:
ABRAHAM	ACCT261	3
AKAI	AERO466	4
ALLEN,B.	AMST320	6
ALVIS	MATH126	23
AMERICKS	PHIL303	34
AMERIKS,G.	MLSP122	31
ANADON	MLSP242	31
ANDERSON	PSY 361	37
ANTHONY	PHYS222	35
ARNOLD,A.	FIN 231	12
ARNOLD,P.	GOVT404	19
ASFAHANI	MLFR116	29
BAKER	ENGL109	13
BARRET	SOC 342	37
BATILL	AE 336	4
BAUMAN	MLSP102	31
BEAUCHAMP	MGT 363	28
BECKMAN	ART 316	7
BEITZINGER	GOVT343	19
BELLALTA	ARCH541	7
BENDER	ENGL109	13
BENDER	ENGL391	17
BENELLI	COTH140	10
BERNARD	HIST426	21
BESE	MATH104	22
BESE	MATH106	23
BETSON	ECON301	11
BEVERLEY	BA 490	7
BIDDICK	HIST111	20
BIRLEY	BA 490	8
BLACKSTEAD	PHYS234	35
BLAESER	ENGL109	13
BLANTZ	HIST460	21
BLEINKENSOPP	THEO203	39
BOBICK	PHIL201	32
BOBICK	PHIL261	34
BONELLO	AL 212	4
BONELLO	ECON421	12
BORELLI	MATH211	25
BORELLI	MATH324	26
BORTHWICK	ART 210	7
BOTTEI	CHEM102	9
BOYCE	MATH105	22
BOYCE	MATH126	24
BRACH	ME 341	27
BRENNAN	ENGL494	17
BRENNAN	HUM 186	21
BRINKLEY	GOVT436	20
BROSKE	FIN 231	18
BROWNE	PHYS128	34
BRZENK	ENGL109	13
BRZENK	ENGL309	15
BRZENK	ENGL362	16
BRZENK	ENGL363	16
BURKE	MATH125	23
BURNS	HIST355	21
BURRELL	AL 212	4
BURTCHAELL	THEO100	38
BURTCHAELL	THEO434	40
BUTTIGIEG	ENGL475	17
CAIN	AE 438	4
CARRIGAN	ENGL109	13
CARROLL	THEO200	38
CHAGNON	PHYS222	35
CHESLEY	ENGL109	13
CHO	FIN 360	18
CHO	MGT 230	28
CHO	MGT 240	28
CHRISTIANO	SOC 102	37
COATS	MLSP116	31
COE	ECON443	12
COHN	EE 371	12
CONNOLLY	MATH116	23
CONWAY	FIN 231	18
COSTIGAN	ACCT332	3
COWAN	MGT 231	28
COX	THEO386	40
CRISWELL	FIN 361	18
CRISWELL	FIN 480	19
CRITCHLOW	HIST116	20
CRITCHLOW	HIST369	21
CRONIN	PLS 346	36
CROSSON	PHIL222	33
CROSSON	PLS 282	35
CROWELL	PSY 211	36
CRUMLISH	ARCH446	6
CULLATHER	ACCT371	3
DALY	MLFR122	29
DARDIN	PHYS112	34
DAVIS	MATH106	23
DAVIS	MATH126	24
DAVISSON,D.	CAPP243	8
DAY	PSY 351	36
DELANEY	PHIL302	34
DELGADO	MLSP122	31
DEPASCUALE	PLS 342	36
DEPAUL	PHIL241	33
DERWENT	MATH166	25
DERWENT	MATH323	26
DESPRES	ANTH109	6
DODGE	SOC 102	37
DOUGHERTY	ENGL109	13
DOUGHERTY	ENGL395	17
DOUGHERTY	ENGL492	17
DOWNS	GOVT340	19
DOYLE	MLSP122	31
DUFFY	ENGL427	17
DUNIKOSKI	MGT 240	28
DUNN	MARK231	21
DWYER	MATH126	24
EAGAN	MGT 363	28
EBEY	ACCT479	4
EBY	ENGL109	13
EDMAN	ENGL109	14
ETZEL	MARK493	22
EWART	EASC342	11
FAIRLEY	EASC240	10
FANIA	MATH105	22
FEATHERSTONE	ARCH444	7
FELDMAN	MLSP242	31
FERGUSON	PLS 248	35
FESSEDEN	CHEM312	10
FISHER	AS 312	7
FITZGERALD	AL 212	5
FLINT	PHIL203	32
FOLEY	PHIL101	31
FORD	THEO218	39
FRANCIS	GOVT141	19
FRANCO	MLSP122	31
FREDDOSO	AL 212	5
FREDMAN	ENGL109	14
FREDMAN	ENGL386	17
FREEMAN	CHEM224	9
FREESE	ENGL329	16
FUNK	PHYS202	34
GABRIEL	EE 242	12
GAFFNEY	ANTH109	6
GAJDA	EE 357	12
GARNER	FIN 361	18
GARRICK	PLS 282	35
GATTO	MLRU325	30
GHILARDUCCI	ECON353	12
GLEASON	AL 212	5
GODDU-CROWE	PLS 282	36
GOETZ	MATH126	24
GORSKI	THEO240	39
GORSKI	THEO387	40
GOULET	FIN 402	18
GRANT	CAPP351	8
GRANT	MATH316	26
GRANT	MATH411	26
GRAY	ART 286	7
GUTTING	AL 212	5
GUTTING	PHIL195	32
HAASER	MATH164	24
HAIDER	MLFR102	29
HALLORAN	FIN 231	18
HAMBURG	HIST347	20
HARRINGTON	AL 300	6
HARRINGTON	ECON225	11
HAUERWAS	AL 212	5
HAUERWAS	THEO442	40
HELLER	MLGR490	30
HEMMETER	MGT 240	28
HENRY	EE 451	13
HERRMANN	SOC 354	38
HERRO	EE 241	12
HESS	MLGR102	30
HOFFMAN	ENGL109	14
HOFMAN	CHEM115	9
HOUGHTON	ME 240	26
HOUGHTON	ME 340	27
HOWARD	MATH105	23
HOWLAND	ME 226	26
HUCKFELDT	GOVT410	19
HUDGINS	ECON115	11
HUK	ENGL109	14
HUMPHREYS	MATH126	24
HUMPHREYS	MATH222	25
HUNT,L.	BIOL202	8
HUNTER	MGT 465	29
HURTT	ARCH251	6
IACONA	MLSP102	31
IVANUS	GOVT458	20
JENNINGS	CE 344	8
JOHNSON,C.	SOC 122	37
JOHNSTON	PSY 354	37
KAMMAN	ME 341	27
KAMMAN	ME 426	27
KANTOR	CHEG437	9
KANURY	ME 461	27
KASMIETH	ANTH346	6

PROF:	COURSE:	PG:
KEARNEY	THEO100	38
KEARNEY	THEO245	39
KEATING	FIN 361	18
KENNEDY	AL 212	5
KENNEDY	BA 490	8
KERBY	HIST454	21
KESSLER	PHIL246	33
KHOR	MATH105	23
KHOR	MATH226	25
KHOURY	FIN 478	19
KIRKHAM	PHIL203	32
KLAWITER	MLGR122	30
KLEIN	SOC 342	37
KLEIN	SOC 446	38
KLINE,LAPS.,BAR.	PSY 111	36
KNIGHT	MATH126	24
KNIGHT	MATH323	26
KOHN	CHEG343	9
KOLB	PHIL246	33
KOLETTIS	MATH105	23
KOMMERS	GOVT413	20
KOONTZ	GOVT341	19
KOSEL	MET 225	28
KOURANY	PHIL232	33
KOZAK	CMSC102	10
KREUZMAN	MATH104	22
KRIER	ENGL323	16
KRIER	ENGL383	16
KRYNSKI	ECON301	11
KSELMAN	HIST112	20
KUCICH	ENGL330	16
KURTZKE	MATH106	23
KWOR	EE 496	13
LAARMAN	THEO200	38
LACUGNA	THEO100	38
LAMANNA	SOC 499	38
LANNING	FIN 360	18
LAPIERRE	ENGL109	14
LAPORTE	THEO222	39
LAPORTE	THEO225	39
LAPSLEY	PSY 374	37
LARDNER	THEO200	38
LARKIN	ENGL109	14
LAUER	ARCH553	7
LAUER	CE 266	8
LEAHY	ECON455	12
LIN	MATH106	23
LIU	EE 354	12

PROF:	COURSE:	PG:
LOCKHART	MATH126	24
LOESCHER	GOVT341	19
LOMIRE	SOC 232	37
LORDI	ENGL324	16
LOVATA	ACCT334	3
LUNDEEN	PHYS128	34
MAGUIRE	THEO260	40
MALLON	ENGL109	14
MALLON	ENGL394	17
MALLOY	THEO100	38
MARLEY	CE 470	9
MARSHALEK	PHYS202	34
MARTIN	ENGL333	16
MARTINEZ	CHEG241	9
MARULLO	MLRU102	30
MARVEL	ENGL109	14
MAST	MATH211	25
MATTA	ME 342	27
MATTHIAS	ENGL327	16
MAXWELL	PSY 341	36
MAYR	MLGR116	30
MCCLAIN	ME 430	27
MCCLELLAND	AL 212	5
MCDONALD	PHIL101	32
MCDONALD,B.	FIN 231	18
MCINTOSH	BIOL412	8
MCMULLIN	PHIL439	34
MCNEIL	THEO373	40
MELLON	PHIL101	32
MELLON	PHIL265	34
MELSA	EE 471	13
MERLUZZI	PSY 353	36
MERTENSOTTO	THEO344	40
MICELLI	THEO213	39
MIHELICH	PHYS204	35
MILLER	CHEM236	9
MILLER-LUCEY	EG 120	13
MISIEWICZ	ACCT476	4
MOORE	ANTH228	6
MORAN	ENGL109	14
MORAN	HUM 186	21
MORRIS	ACCT380	3
MORRIS	PHIL201	32
MUELLER	AE 438	4
MURPHY	EASC112	10
MURRAY	HIST437	21
NAGANO	MATH226	25
NAGANO	MATH336	26

PROF:	COURSE:	PG:
NEIMAN	AL 212	5
NELSON	AERO441	4
NENY	MLFR122	29
NG	ME 334	27
NICHOLS	ACCT482	4
NICOLETTE	ME 439	27
NORLING	HIST431	21
OCONNELL	HIST195	20
OCONNOR	THEO239	39
OGRADY	ENGL109	14
OLSON	BIOL344	8
OMEARA	THEO200	38
ONELL	AL 212	5
ONELL	ANTH369	6
OROURKE	ENGL301	15
OTTER	MATH104	22
OTTER	MATH106	23
PAIGE	GOVT342	19
PARNELL	MLFR122	29
PARNELL	MLFR242	29
PARNELL	MLFR310	30
PASTO	CHEM335	10
PERAINO	ACCT231	3
PFEIFFER	GOVT425	20
PHILIPICH	ACCT473	3
PILLAY	MATH210	25
POCOCK	MLFR122	29
POLLAK	MATH196	25
POLLAK	MATH262	26
POMERLEAU	AL 212	5
POURIER	PHYS202	34
POWELL	ACCT476	4
POWER	PLS 242	35
POWER	PLS 482	36
POWERS	CAPP331	8
PRESS	ANTH110	6
PRICE	ENGL109	14
PRYOR	PSY 352	36
QUIGLEY	EE 372	12
QUIGLEY	ENGL109	14
RADZICKI	MGT 230	28
RATHBURN	ENGL340	16
RAVEN	ME 321	27
RAVEN	ME 337	27
REITH	PHIL223	33
RETTIG	PHYS210	34
RETTIG	PHYS401	35
RICHARDSON	MLSP116	31

PROF:	COURSE:	PG:
RIGBY	EASC102	10
RILEY	ENGL109	15
RIVERA	ACCT332	3
ROBERTS	MATH216	25
ROBINSON,J.	PHIL244	33
ROBINSON,M.	FIN 231	18
ROCHBERG-HALTON	HIST304	20
ROCHBERG-HALTON	SOC 300	37
ROGERS	HUM 186	21
ROOS	GOVT405	19
ROSENBERG	ART 252	7
ROTSAERT	ENGL109	15
RUBULIS	MLRU102	30
RUCCIO	ECON225	11
RUCHTI	PHYS128	34
SAHA	MATH104	22
SAYRE	PHIL201	32
SCHARF	CE 486	9
SCHINDLER	PLS 442	36
SCHIRMER	ENGL372	16
SCHIRMER	ENGL470	17
SCHONEWISE	ENGL109	15
SCHWARTZ	CHEM196	9
SCHWARTZ	CHEM322	10
SEKANE	MATH226	25
SEQUIN	BA 490	8
SERRIANI	CHEM420	10
SEXTON	MGT 231	28
SHAPIRO	HIST216	20
SIM	FIN 462	19
SINGH	MARK374	22
SLABEY	ENGL393	17
SLOAN	PLS 244	35
SLOWEY	ACCT473	3
SMALLRY	PHIL251	33
SMITH	PLS 242	35
SMITH	SOC 342	38
SMITH,P.	ENGL109	15
SMYTH	MATH226	25
SNIEGOWSKI	AL 212	5
SNOW	MATH116	23
SOENS	ENGL319	15
SOLOMON	PHIL101	32
SOLOMON	PHIL243	33
SOMMESE	MATH104	22
SOMMESE	MATH323	26
SPENCER	MGT 453	29
SPORLEDER	ARCH545	7

PROF:	COURSE:	PG:
STERN	PHIL101	32
STERN	PHIL201	32
STEVENS	ART 286	7
STOREY	THEO422	40
STRIEDER	ME 328	27
STRUPECK	ACCT372	3
SWARTZ	ECON225	11
TABOR	THEO211	39
TABOR	THEO214	39
TAGESON	AL 212	5
TAI	MATH126	24
TAI	MATH226	26
TAYLOR	CE 450	8
THOMPSON	MGT 231	28
THOMPSON,K.	CE 458	9
THURIN	MARK492	22
TILLMAN	PLS 382	36
TOOMBS	ENGL109	15
TREMBATH	THEO200	39
TRUBAC	FIN 361	18
TURNER	COTH110	10
TURPIN	AL 212	6
TYSON	MGT 371	29
UHRAN	EE 361	12
URDANK	AL 212	6
VASOLI	SOC 332	37
VASOLI	SOC 339	37
VASTA	HUM 186	21
VELLA	MATH126	24
VIGER	ACCT332	3
VROMAN	MLFR122	29
VUCKOVIC	MATH126	24
VUCKOVIC	MATH225	25
WACHSBERG	PHIL243	33
WAHLERS	MARK231	22
WALTER	CHEM329	10
WALTON	ENGL373	16
WAMBEKE	ECON302	11
WARD	ACCT261	3
WARLICK	ECON123	11
WASIKOWSKI	MGT 352	28
WASOWSKI	EASC208	10
WATSON	PHIL222	33
WEGEMER	ENGL109	15
WEIGERT	AL 212	6
WEIHER	PHIL221	33
WEISS	THEO411	40
WELLE	MLIT102	30
WERGE	ENGL496	17
WESLEY	ENGL109	15
WETTSTEIN	PHIL203	32
WILKIN	THEO245	39
WILLIAMS	MLSP122	32
WILLIAMS,F.	MATH226	26
WILLIAMS,J.	MGT 472	29
WIMMER	MLGR112	30
WIMMER	MLGR122	30
WIMMER	MLGR313	30
WINKLER	EASC454	11
WITTENBACH	ACCT480	4
WOLF	CHEG356	9
WONG	MATH104	22
WONG	MATH136	24
WORLAND	ECON224	11
WRIGHT	GOVT421	20
YACKSHAW	ENGL109	15
YALE	ENGL109	15
YOUNG	ECONI15	11
YURKOVICH	EE 222	12

Who has the capacity to govern our nation?

Max Lerner

The Lerner column

There is usually a mean and nasty phase in every presidential campaign, and the ending of this one is no exception. The shadow of the debates will hang over it to the last desperate minute.

What must strike any serous student of campaign history is the extreme tyranny of the media image, focusing on the debates. But what should also strike us, as a corollary, is the extreme personalizing of the campaign. It is a harbinger, I fear, of what lies ahead in 1988 and 1992.

For better or worse, the Mondale camp pounced on the "senility factor" after the first debate. But the second debate thereby turned into a test of Reagan's mental competence and, on that strange level, Reagan won it with wit and resilience. Mondale's camp complains about the widespread conclusion that the campaign is over, but that follows on the heels of their own test.

Note that Mondale, in the second debate, took a high risk in turning every issue into a discussion of Reagan's competence to govern. Did he know enough? Mondale asked. Did he have the "smarts?" Was he "in charge?"

Perhaps Mondale had no choice. The economic issue had vanished with the recovery. The church-state issue didn't take, nor did even the deficit issue. The people favored a strong defense. Andrei Gromyko had come to Washington, Jose Duarte had met with the rebel leaders in El Salvador. A man bent on beating Reagan had to turn Reagan himself - his age, his mental state - into the issue. It was a rough strategy, but the stakes are high and politics is rough.

It didn't work. Most of the media commentators are dismayed that it didn't, and baffled too. They are baffled because they failed to take the long view and can't understand that the people largely have taken it.

The long view is the capacity for governance. This cluster of economics, technology, politics, weaponry, diplomacy, alliances, religions, ethnicities, age groups, regions, interest groups - is it capable of being kept orderly enough to function well? In short, is America governable?

Ever since the Kennedy era assassinations,the Vietnam war and Watergate, the answer has been largely negative. With Carter and the failure of the hostage rescue operation in the desert, the negative seemed built into cement.

Reagan's achievement is to have changed all that. James David Barber, a prime American presidency watcher and not a friend of Reagan, has put it with scholarly detachment: "Reagan has ruined the argument that there's something endemic in our system that prevents innovative leadership." This doesn't mean agreeing with Reagan's changes. It only recognizes that he has made them.

Thus Mondale's stress on the issue of capacity to govern was a self-limiting and self-destructive one. It raised in the voters' minds the question of when Mondale ever has demonstrated that capacity himself. Not as senator, when he legislated but didn't govern. Not as vice president, when he assisted Carter but had no accountability on his own.

Above all, it reminded the voters that - with all his wrong positions and all his lapses on detail - Reagan has restored America's sense of being governable.

(c) 1984, LOS ANGELES TIMES SYNDICATE

Looking past today's political image makers

Mark Boennighausen

Gravity is a myth

Unfortunately it seems that building the correct image is the key to success in American politics these days. Ronald Reagan has spent most of the current presidential campaign trying to dispel the image that he is not in control of his administration and that he is "trigger happy." Walter Mondale, on the other hand, through his commercials and campaign speeches, has worked hard to portray himself as a strong leader who knows the facts.

Both candidates have used scare tactics to influence the electorate about the other candidate's image. Mondale has implied repeatedly that Reagan doesn't care about the poor and that he will cut social security. Reagan, in his campaign, has stressed often that Mondale is weak on defense and thus may sell out the nation at the negotiating table. For the most part, the candidates have not discussed specifics.

Mondale did propose a fairly detailed tax plan but, as soon as the public seemed to ignore it, he quickly faced the reality of contemporary American politics. Specifically, discussion of substantive issues in an election will leave the American public bored and thus connect the offending politician to a boring personality.

An answer to why this is the case can be traced to the long campaign season. It can be strongly argued that the 1984 election began as soon as Reagan was elected in 1980. After the 1980 election, plans were quickly made in the Republican camp for the 1984 election while the same thing happened in the Democratic camp. The keys to success in that election were analyzed and new strategies based on these keys were mapped out.

The Presidential campaign begins in earnest with the primaries but when the primary season is finally over, the American public has already been injected with an incredible dose of politics. In the primaries the "facts" are tossed around by a myriad host of candidates and by the time the general election materializes the average American voter is more than a bit confused.

As a remedy, the voter will grab for fairly constant images to help decide how to vote. These images are kindly provided by the campaign staffs of candidates and have been developed so thoroughly that they can be properly thought of as a new art form. The number of times we see Reagan, in his commercials or making a speech, with an American flag in the background is reaching the absurd. Mondale's commercials always have a foreboding voice narrating and they continually project a future peril if Reagan is re-elected. Whether attacking the opponent or reinforcing a positive view of a candidate, these commercials never discuss specific issues.

Though some campaign commercials are fascinating, it seemns that much of the American population is bored with the American political process. As evidence, although Reagan won with a "landslide" victory in 1980, in reality only 28 percent of the eligible voters cast ballots for him. And in Congressional mid-term elections the turnout is even worse. When compared to the British process, where campaigning is limited to three weeks and participation by the British voters is remarkedly higher than in the United States, the American record is dismal.

One problem in the United States may be that it has too much democracy. Although Americans only vote for President every four years, they vote for the entire House of Representatives every two years. Furthermore, state, county and local elections also must be added to the picture. All of this voting leaves little time for the electorate to find out anything about the candidates or the issues. The busy voter ends up relying on slick televison ads and snippets of information provided on network and local television news broadcasts.

There may be hope, however, in this dreary picture. Recent registration drives have brought new voters into the political process, and the stark contrast between the two Presidential candidates may induce more people to vote and, better yet, drive people to become informed voters. A little time and effort in looking past the images when voting creates a "better vote" and in the long run a stronger democracy.

Mark Boennighausen is a senior Government major and is a regular contributor to the Viewpoint page.



Viewpoint Policy

Viewpoint wants to hear from you. If you have an opinion, brilliant insight or humorous comment concerning anything appearing in The Observer *just send us a letter to P.O.Box Q.*

The Observer

P.O. Box Q, Notre Dame, IN 46556 *(219) 239-5303*

The Observer is the independent newspaper published by the students of the University of Notre Dame du Lac and Saint Mary's College. It does not necessarily reflect the policies of the administration of either institution. The news is reported as accurately and as objectively as possible. Unsigned editorials represent the opinion of a majority of the Editorial Board. Commentaries, letters and the Inside Column present the views of their authors. Column space is available to all members of the community, and the free expression of varying opinions on campus, through letters, is encouraged.

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief . . . . . Bob Vonderheide
Managing Editor . . . . . Mark Worscheh
News Editor . . . . . Sarah Hamilton
News Editor . . . . . Dan McCullough
Saint Mary's Editor . . . . . Anne Monastyrski
Sports Editor . . . . . Michael Sullivan
Viewpoint Editor . . . . . Dave Grote
Features Editor . . . . . Mary Healy
Photo Editor . . . . . Pete Laches

Department Managers

Business Manager . . . . . Dave Taiclet
Controller . . . . . Maripat Horne
Advertising Manager . . . . . Anne Culligan
Circulation Manager . . . . . Jeff O'Neill
Systems Manager . . . . . Kevin Williams
Production Manager . . . . . Chris Bowler
Project Manager . . . . . Amy Stephan

Founded November 3, 1966

Sports Briefs

Student basketball ticket applications should arrive in the mail this week. If you have not received yours by Thursday, contact the ticket office at the ACC. Applications and payment will be collected next week according to the following schedule: seniors, Monday; juniors and students in their ninth semester or higher, Tuesday; sophomores, grad and law students, Wednesday; freshmen, Thursday. Distribution will be from 3 p.m. to 8 p.m. each day. - *The Observer*

The Notre Dame field hockey team was 6-0 in games during break. The Irish outshot Western Michigan, 32-1, and won, 3-0, before going on to defeat Hope College, 3-0, with a 22-9 margin in shots on goal. With 30 seconds remaining in the second overtime period, Molly McCabe scored to lift Notre Dame over Valparaiso, 2-1. The Irish then won the Western Illinois Tournament with a 1-0 overtime victory against WI, a 1-0 win over Southern Illinois and a 3-0 triumph over North Dakota. Melissa Sommer scored six goals during the week, Corinne DiGiacomo had three and McCabe accounted for two goals. Patti Gallagher protected the Notre Dame goal in all six games and recorded the five shutouts. - *The Observer*

The ND women's cross-country team competed in the North Star Conference Meet last Saturday in Indianapolis. Since the team is only a club, the Irish could not record any points in the meet, but Julia Merkel took seventh place in the 3.1-mile race in 19:20. Other top finishers for Notre Dame were Maureen Huffer, Patty Santos, Susan Wanchow and Ann Gibson. On Oct. 19, The Irish finished fifth in the collegiate division of the Indiana State Championship Meet on the Burke Memorial Golf Course. Mary Robertson was first in that meet in 19:06, Merkel was ninth in 20:20 and Allison Cameron was 26th in 21:53. - *The Observer*

The ND Women's Soccer Club will be meeting **today** at 4:30 p.m. on the Stepan fields. Any member who cannot attend should call Karen at 283-1293. - *The Observer*

The ND Water Polo Club will be meeting **tonight** at 9 p.m. in the LaFortune Little Theatre. - *The Observer*

The ND/SMC Ski Club will be collecting a $100 deposit for its Christmas Colorado trip **tonight** in LaFortune from 7 to 9 p.m. The trip is limited to 50 people. For more information, call Julie at 283-4354. - *The Observer*

The ND/SMC Sailing Club will be meeting **tonight** at 6:30 p.m. at the boathouse. - *The Observer*

The men's novice crew team will be meeting **tonight** at 8 p.m. and again Thursday night at 7:30 p.m. in the LaFortune Little Theatre. - *The Observer*

The ND Weightlifting Club will sponsor a nutritional program seminar this Sunday, Nov. 4, at 2 p.m. in the LaFortune Little Theatre. All are welcome to attend the seminar, which will be conducted by the Shakley Corporation of Illinois. - *The Observer*

Stepan Center court time may be reserved by any interested club or organization. Representatives should request times in the Student Activities Office on the first floor of LaFortune by this Friday, Nov. 2. - *The Observer*

"Speaking of Sports" will have an open phone line for listeners to air their opinions **tonight** at 10 p.m. on WVFI AM-64. Chuck Freeby will take the calls at 239-6400. - *The Observer*

A horseback-riding trip to Happy Trails Riding Stables is being sponsored by NVA this Sunday, Nov. 4. Trips will leave at 10 a.m., 11:30 a.m., noon and 1:30 p.m. If you have put your name on the waiting list or are interested in signing up, bring $5 to the NVA office to cover transportation and rides. - *The Observer*

The Saint Mary's volleyball team finished its 1984 season last night with a loss to Marion College, 15-3, 10-15, 15-1, 14-16, 18-16. The team ended the year with a 16-6 record, the best in its short history. The Belles travel to Franklin College this weekend to compete in the NAIA District Tournament. - *The Observer.*

The Saint Mary's swimming team has started practicing. All swimmers should meet at Regina Pool at 4 p.m. **today**. If anyone has questions, call 283-3852. - *The Observer.*

Observer Sports Briefs are accepted Sunday through Thursday until 4 p.m. at *The Observer* office on the third floor of LaFortune. Briefs must be written clearly. - *The Observer*

NFL Standings

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE
NATIONAL CONFERENCE

	W	L	T	Pct.	PF	PA
East						
Dallas	6	3	0	.667	179	173
St. Louis	6	3	0	.667	279	213
N.Y. Giants	5	4	0	.556	175	186
Washington	5	4	0	.556	230	180
Philadelphia	4	5	0	.444	153	177
Central						
Chicago	6	3	0	.667	194	143
Detroit	3	6	0	.333	168	221
Tampa Bay	3	6	0	.333	163	224
Green Bay	2	7	0	.222	178	200
Minnesota	2	7	0	.222	170	219
West						
San Francisco	8	1	0	.889	247	143
L.A. Rams	5	4	0	.556	184	170
New Orleans	4	5	0	.444	186	205
Atlanta	3	6	0	.333	184	212

AMERICAN CONFERENCE

	W	L	T	Pct.	PF	PA
East						
Miami	9	0	0	1.000	305	124
New England	6	3	0	.667	221	187
N.Y. Jets	6	3	0	.667	221	187
Indianapolis	3	6	0	.333	154	228
Buffalo	0	9	0	.000	143	271
Central						
Pittsburgh	5	4	0	.556	200	180
Cincinnati	3	6	0	.333	163	197
Cleveland	1	8	0	.111	116	166
Houston	0	9	0	.000	116	265
West						
Denver	8	1	0	.889	185	118
L.A. Raiders	7	2	0	.778	234	180
Seattle	7	2	0	.778	244	156
Kansas City	5	4	0	.556	172	176
San Diego	4	5	0	.444	221	240

Sunday's Results

Cincinnati 31, Houston 13
Dallas 22, Indianapolis 3
Chicago 16, Minnesota 7
New Orleans 16, Cleveland 14
Pittsburgh 35, Atlanta 10
New England 30, N.Y. Jets 20
St. Louis 34, Philadelphia 14
Green Bay 41, Detroit 9
Kansas City 24, Tampa Bay 20
San Francisco 33, L.A. Rams 0
Miami 38, Buffalo 7
N.Y. Giants 37, Washington 13
Denver 22, L.A. Raiders 19 OT

Monday's Result

Seattle 24, San Diego 0

Classifieds

The Observer Notre Dame office, located on the third floor of LaFortune Student Center, accepts classified advertising from 9 a.m. until 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. *The Observer* Saint Mary's office, located on the third floor of Haggar College Center, accepts classifieds from 12:30 p.m. until 3 p.m., Monday though Friday. Deadline for next-day classifieds is 3 p.m. All classifieds must be prepaid, either in person or by mail. Charge is 10 cents per five characters per day.

NOTICES

EXPERT TYPING 277-8534 after 5:30

TYPING AVAILABLE. 287-4082.

TYPING
Jackie Boggs
684-8793

EXPERT TYPING 277-8534 after 5:30

TYPING AVAILABLE. 287-4082.

TYPING SERVICE
277-6045
PICKUP AND DELIVERY

Is it true you can buy jeeps for $44 through the U.S. government? Get the facts today! Call 1-312-742-1142 ext. 7316.

TYPING CALL CHRIS 234-8997

$$ CASH FOR TEXTBOOKS $$ PANDORA'S BOOKS 937 SOUTH BEND AVE. 233-2342

TYPING SERVICE at reasonable rates. Very professionally done on the latest IBM and XEROX word processing equipment. Please call 287-5157. Will deliver and pick up. Copying service also available.

LOST/FOUND

LOST: WHITE MEMBERS ONLY JACKET. IF FOUND CALL STEVE 3527. LOST FRIDAY, OCT. 12, ROOM 101 HURLEY.

LOST! LOST! LOST!
16" GOLD HERRING-BONE NECKLACE
EXTREME SENTIMENTAL VALUE!
REWARD IF FOUND
CALL 1647
PLEASE!

Still Lost--A black jacket with "South Side Italien" written on back and "Carrie" written on front.Please return--I'm cold!!Call Carrie 284-5172

WANTED

NEEDED!!! Part-time retail sales personnel for CORNERSTONE. Seeking warm, gregarious individuals who enjoy selling family active-wear. Hourly wage $4.00. Apply in person at Buyer's Marketplace, 5901 North Grape Rd.

NEEDED IMMEDIATELY - ENERGETIC PERSON TO SUPERVISE fl COORDINATE GAMES FOR CHILDREN. PLEASE CALL HOLIDAY INN, 272-6600, EXT.256 OR 453. AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER M/F/H

WANTED IMMEDIATELY: A crazy, fun-loving Guitar Player for Youth Camping Club! A volunteer willing to play guitar and lead camp songs for a church sponsored group of campers 9-15 yrs. old. Trips are approximately one weekend/month to nearby camps. Travel expenses paid. Contact: Bill Staunton
Crusoe Adventure Camps
2344-1992 (evenings)
Sponsored by Little Flower Church
(1/2 mile East of Notre Dame)

SKI ASPEN FREE! ND coordinator needed for student trip to Aspen. Free trip fl substantial commissions. Phone collect today 215-387-4955 evenings.

NEED RIDE TO MIAMI U this weekend maybe rentacar call Bob 277-0911

TICKETS

DESPERATELY need 2 Penn State tix!!! Will pay$$$$ call Beth 239-6328

PENN ST GAs FOR SALE.272-6306

HEY!! You don't wanna see those guys play Penn State! I don't either- but my friend from PSU wants to gloat... So sell me your STUDENT TICKET to PSU now. You'll feel better for it. Call Keith 3345.

Boy do I need Penn Stare GA's!! Yesiree, 4 of 'em. If you can make my day call Stan at 2452. Make this Pennsylvania boy happy.

DEAR DOMERS:
I need 4 PENN STATE GA'S. CALL JOE 1933. **PLEAAASE!!**

HELP! I NEED 4 PENN GAs...CALL SUE 3843 2

PERSONALS

HEY SMC SOPHS GHOSTBUSTERS costume S.Y.R. is this SAT. Nov. 3 Get psyched and buy your tickets through your hall reps this week.

OAR HOUSE: COLD BEER & LIQUOR, CARRY OUT TIL 3 A.M., US 31 N., ONE BLOCK SOUTH OF HOLIDAY INN.

SAFE TO THRASH!!!

UNDERWEAR REJECTORS UNITE

NOTRE DAME HOCKEY!
NOTRE DAME HOCKEY!
NOTRE DAME HOCKEY!

HOW DO YOU LURE A WOLFMAN?

Show it a helpless female at a computer terminal.

NEED TICKETS to Penn State. Parents want to see first ND football game. Hey, they're my parents, what can I say. Please sell me 2 GAs, or I am in the dog's house. Call Maureen at 1333.

RUN WITH A FAST CROWD!
ND women's track is now getting underway. Stay tuned for more details and results. Hey, lets get psyched for the **CAN-AM GAMES** in Ontario, January '85!!!

Penn State
Penn State
PENN STATE!
All I ever wanted were 3 G.A. tickets to **Penn State**.
If you have them, call Mary at 1333.

Join the Anne F. Club. Go to an expensive SYR, spend two hours in the bathroom, and then bag your date before midnight. Hey, Anne, how was that party at Stanford, anyway?

Hey SAMBUKA lovers, identify yourselves!
the painters of the golden dome helmets

What's a Pudaduh?

THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A MATURE RESPONSE

MERCY IS FOR THE WEAK

ROSEMARY ROSEMARY ROSEMARY ROSEMARY Does she know what Wed. was? I think she should. Aren't you nostalgic for freshman year? We could arrange it so you could live it over. "I just responded."

Paul, I hope you make it to the golf course, not just the putting green on your next try. I heard you handled your clubs well out there.

Welcome back SKID ROW lets pick it up where we left off!!

Theo Majors and other interested students: Don't miss the presentation by two members of the Theology Forum--Gary Kopycinski and Russ McDougall--tomorrow, Wed., Oct. 31 at 10 pm in 341 O'Shag.

MOM AND DAD,

THANKS!

ATTENTION JUNIORS HOLLOWEEN BARN BASH!! WED. OCT. 31 TICKETS $5 ON SALE IN THE DINING HALLS 4:30-6:30 TUES & WED. TICKET PRICE INCLUDES TRANSPORTATION BUSES LEAVE ND CIRCLE 8:00 PM

I know that this sounds strange,and I probably should have spoken up sooner, but I feel silly about the whole thing. Anyway, *what happened was* I went to Farley's SYR a couple of weeks ago, and somehow came back with two different sized shoes. So, if you were being wild in rm 233 and are now missing a size 10-C brown loafer, give me a call at 1504. Of course, no names will be revealed, we must retain our pride (even though we can't hang onto anything else.)

Angie, let's carve a pumpkin together sometime. Love Dave

Women's Track Team
Practice begins Wednesday, October 31, at 5 p.m. on the indoor track at the ACC. Meet outside the weight room. All interested are encouraged to attend. There will be a meeting and light workout.

ALL remaining money for Campus View sweatshirts is due Tues. nite so that sweatshirts may be picked up Weds. Please drop by Bldg. 54631, Apt. 207 anytime with balance.

Feeling like I ought to sleep.
This layout room is sinking deep.
Searching for something to say,
Waiting for the break of day.
Should I try to do some more ?
25 or 6 to 4
the production staff

JOHN ZIEBERT
ND INTRAMURALS TENNIS CHAMP
Way to go, ZIEBS! 2 for 2, and 1 more in '85. The septet's proud. P. S. Are you really a HARD habit to break?

DAVE SIMON IS A WANNABE!...ask any midwesterner

Kevin Luthringshausen... Have you ever dated any children past the teething stage?

DAVE SCHRAUTH...What's a ROB-I?

HE FOUND ME! --- Campus Crusade for Cthulhu...

Morrissey DT Delinquents--Glad to hear you didn't have to spend October break in the "Roseland Lock-up"--But don't laugh too hard We don't get mad,we get even!--Maureen & Heather

Half-beard: Thanks for the post card. Hope your midterm went well yesterday. KT

Well hello D.J.! Howzit? Hope you had a vacation that fulfilled all your wildest fantasies, (except our "lunch" date.) What happened?? I'm sooo hungry!!

ND football team - Great game last Saturday. Now sink those sailors. A loving fan.

Michigan Recap
the arrival
"It's raining, Cheryl must be driving"; backseat snoozing; Buffaloes!; no phone, no ABC & an outhouse!?; glistening sweat & the Electro-gard local; boating & 4-wheelin' in a Buick; What hill?; the river and Earl's directions; silverware in the road; Baaammbbi; discovering props; "Could I?" ;Ruuuddolph; crushing ice; a frigid squirrel; fearless explorers; contemplative tranquility; silence is deafening...

SCOTT I really am sorry. How about dinner on friday befor the Masquerade Ball? NANCY 0

MIAMI U. of OHIO: Anyone driving there this weekend? If so, we both need a ride. Will share usual. Call Cathy or Jennie at 4042.

Lowanda Give it up. It is over (not that it ever really got started). I have found someone else. I guess you were just *too much* for me.

Sorry,
Pup

P.S. I hear Denno is looking for a *special someone*.

PASTA'RIA WINDY CITY SPORTS EMPORIUM HALLOWEEN PARTY Come Join the Fun Oct.31st 9pm--DJ Dancing!Costume Contest!Yukon Jack Specials!Dart Tournament!Air Guitar Contest! ST.Rd 23-Just South of Ironwood.272-4935.

Saint Jude is great!--Susie

Morrissey DT Delinquents--Glad to hear you didn't have to spend October break in the "Roseland Lock-up"--But don't laugh too hard We don't get mad,we get even!--Maureen & Heather

Half-beard: Thanks for the post card. Hope your midterm went well yesterday. KT

ND football team - Great game last Saturday. Now sink those sailors. A loving fan.

Carolina

continued from page 12

closer to a score. A 12-yard run by Chris Smith also helped to set up Beuerlein's five-yard pass to Mark Bavaro for the touchdown.

With Notre Dame ahead 14-7, South Carolina drove 73 yards, with Kent Hagood bulling his way up the middle on fourth and one from the Notre Dame two-yard line to tie the score.

John Carney's 48-yard field goal, the longest of his career, gave Notre Dame a 17-14 lead at the half. Carney missed a 39-yard attempt in the first quarter to end his consecutive string of field goals at six.

Early in the third quarter, Notre Dame got another break as Mitchell fumbled the snap and Mike Golic recovered for the Irish at the South Carolina 47. A 16-yard run by fullback Chris Smith was the most Notre Dame could muster before settling for a 47-yard Carney field goal to go on top 20-14.

Shortly after the rains began to fall, Notre Dame's Mike Gann recovered a Quinton Lewis fumble at the Gamecock 14-yard line.

Three plays later, the Irish used a short version of the flea flicker successfully to score. Beuerlein pitched right to Pinkett, who then tossed the ball back to a wide-open Beuerlein on the six-yard scoring play.

Faust hoped to take a two-touchdown lead, but Beuerlein was sacked on the two-point conversion try.

With a seemingly comfortable 26-14 lead going into the fourth quarter, the mistakes began to cost the Irish.

Early in the fourth period, Hiawatha Francisco picked off a Hold pass in the endzone to stop a South Carolina drive. But a pass interference call against another defender gave the Gamecocks the second chance they needed. Hold snuck in from the one for the touchdown. Then Hagood's two-point conversion run pulled Carolina within four, 26-22.

The two teams then exchanged turnovers, but neither one made effective use of their good luck.

Another costly letdown by Notre Dame then enabled Hold to scramble 33 yards on third and long for the go-ahead touchdown. The Irish appeared to have Hold contained behind the line of scrimmage on the broken play, but he dipped under the rush and broke to the open field before the Notre Dame defensive backs could converge. Francisco slipped in pursuit and Eric Poole delivered a key block on Steve Lawrence at the 10-yard line to clear the last obstacle for the zig-zagging Hold.

"The coach told me that they were letting me have the delay," said Hold. "I just did what he told me and was able to follow the blocks into the endzone."

Two plays after the kickoff, the Irish created another disaster for themselves. Smith fumbled a pitch and the Gamecocks were 17 yards from adding insurance to their lead. Three plays later they did just that. Lewis' four-yard run around the left side put Carolina on top 36-26 with 7:47 left to play.

"I had the ball. It wasn't Steve's fault on the play," said Smith. "I thought we played a lot harder this week and deserved to win. We just made too many mistakes for that to happen."

Still trying to get back on track, Notre Dame marched 83 yards with Pinkett capping the drive with a one-yard plunge, which gave him his first 100-yard game since the Liberty Bowl. The touchdown pulled the Irish within four at 36-32.

But more misfortune was yet to come.

With just under two minutes remaining in the contest, the Irish defense tightened and forced the Gamecocks to punt from their own endzone.

When the snap went through the hands of punter Tom O'Connor and out of the endzone, it appeared that Notre Dame had a safety and would need just a field goal for a win. Unfortunately, Carolina was called for a dead ball foul, motion before the snap, so the down was replayed.

The punt gave the Irish good field position at the South Carolina 47. Beuerlein, who needed to move the Irish into the endzone, was forced to throw long with no time outs remaining.

On first down, a wide-open Bavaro saw a pass slip through his hands on the South Carolina 25-yard line. On second down Beuerlein went for everything. His long pass down the right side for a double-covered Howard was picked off by Carolina cornerback Earl Johnson and the Notre Dame comeback attempt was thwarted.

"We needed seven points," said Beuerlein. "I didn't have a lot of time on the play and I thought Joe was open. I just didn't get enough on the ball."

Despite the loss, Beuerlein's 15 of 29 for 260 yards was the best passing performance of his career.

South Carolina head coach Joe Morrison was elated at the game's outcome.

"Damn, it's exciting to take Georgia, Pitt and Notre Dame in one year," said Morrison. "I told the ball club that I've never been prouder of a team in my life. We were really down in the first half, but our guys got back up and we turned it around by the end of the ballgame."

South Carolina outscored Notre Dame 22-6 in the fourth quarter en route to its sixth win against no defeats and the school's best start in its history.

Saturday's Game

South Carolina	7	7	0	22	- 36
Notre Dame	0	17	9	6	- 32

Scoring

USC - Mitchell 1 run (Hagler kick)
ND - Pinkett 4 run (Carney kick)
ND - Bavaro 5 pass from Beuerlein (Carney kick)
USC - Hagood 2 run (Hagler kick)
ND - Carney 48 FG
ND - Carney 47 FG
ND - Beuerlein 6 pass from Pinkett (run failed)
USC - Hold 1 run (Hagood run)
USC - Hold 33 run (Hagler kick)
USC - Lewis 4 run (Hagler kick)
ND - Pinkett 1 run (pass failed)

	USC	ND
First downs	20	20
Rushing attempts	62	44
Net Yards Rushing	256	149
Net Yards Passing	81	266
Passes comp-attempted	5-15	16-30
Had intercepted	2	2
Total Net Yards	337	415
Fumbles-lost	4-3	4-2
Penalties-yards	7-30	7-50
Punts-average	5-40	4-44

Individual Leaders

RUSHING - South Carolina: Hagood 16-89; Hold 17-68; Dendy 8-26; Mitchell 10-26; Brown 7-25; Hillary 1-11; Wompel 1-7; Lewis 2-4; Notre Dame: Pinkett 25-100; Smith 11-52; Brooks 2-5; Jefferson 1-2; Beuerlein 5-(-10);

PASSING - South Carolina: Hold 4-9-1, 66; Mitchell 1-6-1, 15; Notre Dame: Beuerlein 15-29-2, 260; Pinkett 1-1-0, 6;

RECEIVING - South Carolina: Corley 1-31; Bradshaw 1-15; Lewis 1-15; Hagood 1-10; Smith 1-10; Notre Dame: Jackson 3-58; Gray 3-49; Ward 2-81; Pinkett 2-26; Bavaro 2-11; Howard 1-16; Brown 1-14; Beuerlein' 1-6; Smith 1-5;

Attendance - 59,075

Soccer team wins one, loses two over break

By PHIL WOLF
Assistant Sports Editor

The 1984 season has been a frustrating one for the Notre Dame soccer team, and the team's action during the past week added to the frustration.

During break, the Irish were scheduled to play four games, all of which they were favored to win. However, they ended the break having played only three games, losing two of the contests.

The first game was to be at Kentucky on Oct. 21, but Irish coach Dennis Grace decided to cancel the trip when the team bus broke down the night before the game. The trip was going to be expensive, the team would arrive in Kentucky very early in the morning, and the scheduled opponent is only a club team.

"We took a vote," Grace said, "and 20 of 22 players said they did not think we should go. It (Kentucky) was only a club team, and it wasn't worth the trip at that point."

In addition, Grace said he wanted to give his team a chance to prepare for its game at Wisconsin, which promised to be the most challenging match of the week.

In the opening minutes of the game on Oct. 24, that preparation seemed to have paid off. The Irish attacked the Badgers' goal aggressively in the first ten minutes of the game, and they created some excellent scoring opportunities, including a near-miss by Dave Miles.

"We had three shots in the first ten minutes," Grace said. "We could have been up 3-0.

The Irish did not score, though, and the Badgers scored on their first opportunity of the game. Oscar Pedrassa got the goal by knocking the ball out of goalkeeper Jim Flynn's hands with his head. Only 11:55 into the game, Wisconsin had a 1-0 lead that it would never relinquish.

Additional goals at 50:09 and 88:41 gave the Badgers the victory, 3-0. Notre Dame never was able to threaten the Badgers successfully after the first goal.

Two days later, the Irish travelled to Wisconsin-Platteville. In that game, on Oct. 26, Steve Lowney put the Irish on the scoreboard first at 15:11. Scoring first in games has been a trademark of Notre Dame for most of the season, but Platteville did not give up.

Goals at 20:40 and 31:40 gave Platteville the lead, which it held almost until halftime. However, Lowney scored his second goal of the day and of the season to tie the game again. Rich Herdegen assisted on Lowney's second strike.

"When we were down, 2-1, we showed a great deal of character," Grace said. "For the first time this year, we didn't roll over and play puppy. We decided to fight back."

Tom Daley gave the lead back to the Irish at 57:45 on a penalty kick, but again Notre Dame's opponent came back. At 67:57, the game was tied at three.

Then, with only 58 seconds remaining in the game, Herdegen, the Irish tri-captain who has scored three game-winning goals this season, gave Notre Dame the victory. Dave Miles set up the play with a corner kick, which Daley deflected before Herdegen headed it home.

Back at home on Oct. 28, the Irish found themselves behind again, this time to John Carroll, a team that the Irish had rescheduled from earlier in the month.

John Carroll jumped out to a 2-0 halftime advantage, but Grace said he was confident at the time that the Irish could come back.

"I reminded them of Platteville, that we can fight back and get back in the ballgame," Grace said. "In the second half we just destroyed them."

Goals by Herdegen and Chris Telk tied the game with 15:37 remaining, but it was John Carroll that pulled the game out at the end this time.

With only 1:08 showing on the game clock, John Carroll intercepted a throw-in from Joe Howe just outside the Notre Dame penalty area, and Flynn was beaten on the ensuing one-on-one to the goal.

The Irish outshot John Carroll, 10-3, in the second half, but the lone goal at 58:52 destroyed the Notre Dame comeback.

ND/SMC
Halloween Party !

Wednesday, October 31
9:30 pm. Senior Bar

4 for 1 beers!

* Movies !
* Palm Reader !
* Wear a costume !

NEW 5 SESSION PROGRAM

We don't know what to call this program. It doesn't have a name. But we will cover:

HOW TO DEAL WITH TENSION, PRESSURE, STRESS
LEARNING RELAXATION EXERCISES
HOW TO IMPROVE YOUR SELF–ESTEEM
HOW TO FEEL BETTER THROUGH CHANGES IN WHAT YOU THINK
HOW TO IMPROVE YOUR NUTRITION
HOW TO MAINTAIN AN IDEAL BODY WEIGHT
HOW TO MANAGE YOUR TIME

Can you come up with a good name? Are you interested in a program like this? Call 239-7336 or come by the 3rd floor of The Student Health Center to sign up. Limited enrollment.

Sponsored by:
COUNSELING & PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES CENTER

Beginning November 1st (Thursday) from 3-4:30

Chargers victim of shutout

Seattle romps over San Diego, 24-0

Associated Press

SAN DIEGO - Dave Krieg passed for 282 yards, Steve Largent caught three touchdown passes, Kenny Easley had three interceptions and the Seattle Seahawks pasted the San Diego Chargers 24-0 last night.

The Seahawks' swarming defense sacked Chargers quarterback Dan Fouts six times, forced three turnovers and handed San Diego its first shutout since a 7-0 loss to Denver in 1979. Seattle improved to 7-2, good for a second-place tie with the Los Angeles Raiders, one game behind the Broncos in the rugged AFC west.

The Chargers played without injured receivers Kellen Winslow and Wes Chandler and used number two tight end Pete Holohan sparingly. San Diego fell to 4-5, all the losses against AFC west opponents, and severely hurt its hopes to stay in the race for a playoff berth.

Krieg, who entered the game with a 53 percent pass completion rate, connected on 23 of 29 aerials against San Diego's inexperienced secondary. He directed touchdown drives of 96, 81 and 63 yards.

Largent, who now has caught passes in 100 consecutive games, hauled in scoring strikes of 11, 13 and 16 yards. His first TD catch was his first reception of the night, the fifth straight game he has done that.

Easley, a fourth-year pro from UCLA, squelched three San Diego drives into Seattle territory, picking off a pass at his own four-yard line in the first quarter, the 16 in the third and the 11 in the final period. His three interceptions set a team record.

The Knights of the Castle

Men's Hair Styling at its finest minutes from campus

272-0312
277-1691

$5.00 HAIRCUTS

$8.50
Haircut
shampoo
blowdry

54533 Terrace Lane
(St. Rd. 23)
Across from Martin's

Hair must be Shampooed day of cut.

We are only minutes from campus

AP Top Twenty

The Top Twenty college football teams in *The Associated Press* poll, with first-place votes in parentheses, this season's records and total points. Points based on 20-19-18-17 etc. Notre Dame opponents are *italicized*.

1.	Washington (50)	8-0-0	1,184
2.	Texas (3)	5-0-1	1,121
3.	Nebraska (3)	7-1-0	1,076
4.	Brigham Young(4)	8-0-0	970
5.	*South Carolina*	7-0-0	919
6.	*Miami, Fla.*	7-2-0	872
7.	Oklahoma St.	6-1-0	741
8.	Georgia	6-1-0	714
9.	Boston College	5-1-0	703
10.	Oklahoma	5-1-1	586
11.	Auburn	6-2-0	558
12.	West Virginia	7-1-0	540
13.	Florida	5-1-1	425
14.	Florida St.	5-1-1	406
15.	*Louisiana St.*	5-1-1	357
16.	Ohio State	6-2-0	341
17.	Iowa	6-2-0	272
18.	*Southern Cal*	6-1-0	233
19.	So. Methodist	4-2-0	166
20.	Texas Christian	6-1-0	113

LSU

continued from page 12

knew we had a great opportunity to win back some respectability, especially on national television."

Hilliard's run may have shifted the momentum in the Tigers' favor, but it did not last too long as the Irish offense picked up where it left off and drove the ball right down the throat of the tired LSU defense. Utilizing the passing of Steve Beuerlein (16-of-28, 168 yards, two interceptions) and thee running of Smith and Pinkett, the Irish moved 67 yards in 15 plays. Once again, the drive stalled, but, once again, Carney nailed a field goal to move the Irish ahead by more than a touchdown, 23-14.

The Tigers made one last serious challenge when they took advantage of a personal foul on Notre Dame to move the ball to the Irish 28, but Wickersham, under pressure from Gann, threw a pass that linebacker Mike Larkin stepped in front of and intercepted.

Needless to say, the victory will quell some of the criticism of Gerry Faust and his team, at least temporarily. Although the win only brought the team's record to .500, it should be a big confidence-booster.

The game also provided Notre Dame fans with a look at what they haven't seen for the first seven games this season - a consistent, solid performance over the entire four quarters. Whether things continue in such a way remains to be seen, but it is obvious that, even with all the injury problems, the Irish can be very impressive if they play well.

"Notre Dame is a good football team," said Arnsparger. "They've gone through a lot of adversity. Give them all the credit."

"Let me tell you something," said Faust, who was the subject of ABC's halftime show. "They'd have quit a long time before the first quarter with everything that's beeen said this year. They're just strong kids. And I'm a strong guy, too. I'll tell you that right now. And I'll last."

What was that about Faust resigning at the end of the year?

Saturday's Game

Notre Dame	7	10	3	10	-	30
Louisiana St.	7	0	7	8	-	22

Scoring

LSU - Hilliard 3 pass from Wickersham (Bentanzos kick)
ND - Pinkett 1 run (Carney kick)
ND - Carney 44 FG
ND - Carney 37 FG
LSU - Carney 34 FG
ND - Brooks 3 run (Carney kick)
LSU - Magee 50 pass Wickersham (Fontenot pass from Wickersham)

	ND	LSU
First downs	25	15
Rushing attempts	58	23
Net Yards Rushing	202	118
Net Yards Passing	168	213
Passes comp-attempted	16-23	19-27
Had intercepted	2	1
Total Net Yards	370	331
Fumbles-lost	4-1	1-0
Penalties-yards	7-62	5-35
Punts-average	2-42	4-46

Individual Leaders

RUSHING - Notre Dame: Pinkett 40-162; Smith 9-47; Beuerlein 6-(-17); Brooks 2-6; Flemons 1-4; Louisiana St.: Hilliard 13-118; James 5-15; Fontenot 1-8; Wickersham 4-(-23);

PASSING - Notre Dame: Beuerlein 16-23-2, 168; Louisiana St.: Wickersham 19-27-1, 213;

RECEIVING - Notre Dame: Gray 4-35; Bavaro 3-30; Jackson 2-28; Brown 2-24; Pinkett 2-13; Howard 1-13; Ward 1-13; Williams 1-12; Louisiana St.: Hilliard 6-45; Andrews 4-18 ; James 3-15; Martin 2-42; Magee 1-50; Fontenot 1-28; Rathjen 1-10; Jean Batiste 1-5;

Attendance - 78,033

The Observer/Pete Laches

Mike Golic (55) and the other members of the Notre Dame defense again did their jobs at stopping the progress of the Irish opponents in the last two games. In addition, the offense came to life resulting in fine performances for Notre Dame. See game stories on the back page.

Sting perform at ACC

The Chicago Sting professional soccer team played an exhibition indoor soccer game against the Minnesota Strikers last night in the north dome of the ACC.

The Sting won the match, which was held as a benefit for the Special Olympics, 5-4, on a goal with 11 seconds remaining.

The game-winner was scored by Pato Margetic, the long-haired player from Argentina, whom the the Sting's public address announcer billed, "one of the most exciting players in American soccer."

The winning goal broke a tie that had been on the scoreboard since the first half of the game. It seemed to many of the fans at the contest that the exhibition was set up to end just the way that it did: the "exciting" player scored with an assist from one of the crowd's favorites, West-German-born Karl-Heinz Granitza.

The Sting scored first in the game, but the Strikers came back to tie the score at one and again at two. Minnesota took the lead at 3-2 and 4-3, but both times the Chicago team came back to tie the game.

The Sting played only reserves for most of the second half, and neither team appeared to put forth much effort. The crowd, obviously disappointed, responded with silent semi-attentiveness.

With about five minutes remaining in the game, Chicago coach Willy Roy finally put his starting lineup back into the game. The public address announcer urged the crowd to cheer for the stars, but too many of the fans felt that they had been cheated out of half of the exhibition, so they remained relatively quiet.

Recruit

continued from page 12

(academic) tradition and great alumni, people who will always help you along."

Last year as a junior, Stevenson averaged 20.5 points at Roman Catholic in leading the Catholic South Conference in scoring. He really developed as a player as the year went on, and was named to the second team of an all-city squad selected by *The Philadelphia Daily News*.

After he announced his decision, Stevenson's parents - Jack, a former Harlem Globetrotter, and Sheilah Daniels - admitted that they both had wanted their son to choose Notre Dame, although they had not let him on to that fact.

Stevenson will sign the national-letter-of-intent on Nov. 14, the first day high schoolers are allowed to do so by the NCAA.

The Irish Gardens

your Screw your Roommate Headquarters



Hours:

12:30-5:30 p.m.

Dial 283-4242 to place an order

Order corsages and wrapped flowers in advance
Two days notice required for corsages



PIEDMONT'S 50% OFF COLLEGE FARE.

Face it, your learning years are not your prime earning years. But don't let that stop you from moving off campus.

Through February 28, 1985, you can fly anywhere Piedmont flies for half fare. To LA and New York. And to all kinds of hot spots and hometowns in between.

What's the catch? Well, you must be under 26 and have a valid student ID. Read the fine print below for restrictions.

Piedmont's 50% Off College Fare. More proof that our commitment to higher education is not just a lofty ideal.

PIEDMONT AIRLINES

Non-refundable, round-trip tickets must be purchased at least seven days before travel. Not available all day Friday or Sunday after 1:00 pm. Holiday travel restrictions apply. Call your travel agent or us at 1-800-251-5720.

Today

Doonesbury

Garry Trudeau

Tank McNamara

Jeff Millar & Bill Hinds



Bloom County

Berke Breathed

The Far Side

Gary Larson

"Gee ... look at all the little black dots."

Campus

•12 - 1 p.m. — **Lecture,** "The Role of the Professional Woman in the American Family," Eleanor Smeal, Past President of NOW, Law School Student Lounge.

•3:20 p.m. — **Mathematical Colloquium,** "Classification of the Curvature of Kahler Manifolds," Prof. Qi-Keng Lu , Academia Sinica, Peking, China, Room 226 CCMB.

•3:30 p.m. — **Lecture,** "Conversation on Israel," John Auerbach, Israeli Author, New Orleans Room, LaFortune, Sponsored by Mediterranean-Middle East Concentration, Free.

•3:30 p.m. — **Graduate Seminar,** "A Random Walk in Porous Media," Prof. J.L. Duda, Pennsylvania State University, Room 181 Fitzpatrick Hall.

•7 p.m. — **Ground Zero Meeting,** Center for Social Concerns, Sponsored by Ground Zero.

•7, 9:15 & 11:30 p.m. — **Film,** "Jaws," Engineering Auditorium, Sponsored by Student Activities Board, $1.

•7:30 p.m. — **Faculty Seminar Lecture Series,** "Classical America: Rush's Water Works," Garry Wills, Welsh Visiting Professor, Third Lecture of Series, CCE Auditorium.

•7:30 p.m. — **Lecture,** "Shared Reflections on a Feminist Spiritual Journey," Ms. Meinrad Craighead, Stapleton Lounge, LeMans Hall (SMC), Sponsored by SMC Campus Ministry, Free.

•7:30 p.m. — **Tuesday Night Film Series,** "War/Peace," Annenberg Auditorium.

•8 p.m. — **Concert,** Kenny Rogers & Crystal Gale, ACC, $16 & $13.50.

•8 p.m. — **Lecture,** "Kolakowski & the Warsaw School in the History of Ideas," Prof. Andrzej Walicki, Galvin Life Science Auditorium.

•9:15 p.m. — **Shakespeare Club Meeting,** Library Lounge, Sponsored by Shakespeare Club.

TV Tonight

6:00 p.m.	**16**	NewsCenter 16
	22	22 Eyewitness News
	28	Newswatch 28
6:30 p.m.	**16**	NBC Nightly News
	22	CBS Evening News
7:00 p.m.	**16**	M*A*S*H
	22	Dukes of Hazzard
7:30 p.m.	**16**	Barney Miller 2
8:00 p.m.	**16**	The A-Team
	22	Aftermash
	28	Foul-Ups, Bleeps & Blunders
	34	Nova
8:30 p.m.	**28**	Three's A Crowd

The Daily Crossword

ACROSS
1 Tableland
5 Pressure groups
9 Residence
14 Pearl Buck character
15 Biblical land
16 Having two feet
17 Act the caretaker
18 Gymnastic feats
20 Scalps
22 Dundee negative
23 Neck-and-neck
24 Go over the details
26 Neat —
29 Covered by water
31 Certain clouds
32 — were
33 Cork is there
37 Part of a historic signature
38 Attend to the turkey
39 "Arabian Nights" woodcutter
40 Balkan dweller
41 Bellicose god
42 Shankar's instrument
43 Land in water
45 Honeyed
46 Socialize
49 Mets' turf
50 Have being
51 Coverlet
57 Award-winning play
59 Trudge
60 Flambeau
61 Former "Tonight" host
62 "— smile be your..."
63 Race of Norse gods
64 Norms: abbr.
65 Test

DOWN
1 Marquand's detective
2 Alt.
3 All there
4 US composer
5 Fowl
6 Way to Fairbanks
7 Singer Vikki
8 Urban air at times
9 Casts down
10 Small part
11 Have thoughts on
12 Transfer item
13 A Ford
19 Chastise
21 107
25 Koch's city
26 Experts
27 Threshold
28 L x W
29 Item in the black
30 Intelligence
32 Bern's river
34 Pro —
35 Certain girder
36 Not one
38 Rocky
42 Plaintiff
44 Pele's game
45 Scissors
46 Israeli port
47 Papal vestment
48 Church sections
49 Violin for short
52 Refs' kin
53 Undiluted
54 Author Haley
55 "— creature was..."
56 Cheese
58 "Exodus" hero

B	A	I	T	■	C	H	I	E	F	■	M	A	T	H
O	R	N	E	■	L	A	D	L	E	■	I	M	R	E
R	I	G	A	M	A	R	O	L	E	■	A	P	I	A
E	D	O	■	O	P	A	L	■	B	I	S	H	O	P
R	E	T	O	R	T	■	S	A	L	A	M	I	■	■
■	■	■	M	E	R	V	■	R	E	M	A	G	E	N
T	A	P	E	■	A	O	N	E	■	■	■	O	L	E
E	L	O	N	■	P	R	O	O	F	■	D	R	E	W
N	A	P	■	■	■	P	A	L	L	■	E	Y	E	S
D	I	P	L	O	M	A	■	A	U	R	A	■	■	■
■	■	Y	O	U	A	L	L	■	M	E	L	L	O	W
R	E	C	O	R	D	■	I	A	M	B	■	O	S	E
A	T	O	N	■	A	P	P	L	E	S	A	U	C	E
V	O	C	E	■	M	O	P	E	R	■	S	P	A	D
I	N	K	Y	■	E	P	O	X	Y	■	E	E	R	Y



© 1984 Tribune Media Services, Inc.
All Rights Reserved

PLANTS..PLANTS..PLANTS..PLANTS..PLANTS..PLANTS

FOR YOUR PLANT NEEDS

IRISH GARDENS

DIAL 283-4242
Mon - Sat 12:30 - 5:30
Order corsages and wrapped flowers in advance

HORROR MOVIE WEEK BEGINS !

Tonight...

JAWS
PG ...MAY BE TOO INTENSE FOR YOUNGER CHILDREN

Wednesday - 'Psycho'
Thursday - 'Carrie'
Fri./Sat. - 'The Exorcist'

7:00 9:15 11:30 $1.00 Engineering Auditorium

Notre Dame stops losing string at three at LSU

Irish power to 30-22 win at Tiger Stadium, lessen criticism of team by winning in 'Death Valley'...

By MIKE SULLIVAN
Sports Editor

BATON ROUGE, La. - Louisiana State's home field, Tiger Stadium, can be a very tough place for a visiting team to win, especially when the Fighting Tigers are playing well and are highly-ranked. LSU fans like to call the place "Death Valley" and try their best to intimidate the visitor by screaming "Tiger Bait" in its direction.

You can imagine the noise last Saturday when a struggling Notre Dame team came out on to the field to take on the undefeated, sixth-ranked Tigers. LSU people expected not only a win over the 3-4 Irish, but a massacre.

Indeed, Notre Dame seemed like the perfect "Tiger Bait," but when the Tigers went in to make the kill, they found out that their bait had longer teeth than they did. As a result, the 78,033 people (LSU's ninth-largest crowd in history) who showed up to watch a Tiger feast instead saw the Irish surprise LSU, 30-22, in front of ABC's cameras.

"We had our backs against the wall," said tailback Allen Pinkett after the game. "We just decided to go ahead and play hard. We were real intense, but the crowd fired us up even more when it started talking about 'Tiger Bait.'"

Although very few people around the country expected the game to turn out like it did, it is hard to call the Irish victory a major upset. Notre Dame gave the Tigers a lesson in power football, something that it was expected to do to almost every opponent this year. For the first time this season, though, the Irish showed over an entire game how powerful they can be.

"One of the toughest jobs I had all week was to convince our football team we shouldn't listen to everything we heard about Notre Dame and believe everything we read," said LSU coach Bill Arnsparger who lost for the first time in his Tiger coaching career. "I didn't do a good job of getting that across to our football team.

"I thought we were dominated pretty good both offensively and defensively after the first quarter."

Although the Irish did not play poorly in that first quarter, two turnovers allowed the Tigers to take an early 7-0 lead and threaten to blow the game open. However, Notre Dame finally got some breaks, namely two missed Juan Betanzos field goal attempts, and scored a touchdown of its own to deadlock the score as the teams headed into the second quarter.

From the beginning of the second quarter, however, Notre Dame almost totally dominated the game. More than anything else, the inspired performance of the ND offensive line was responsible. With the front-line blowing a smaller LSU line off the ball, Pinkett, Chris Smith, and company racked up 202 yards on the ground, including 162 by Pinkett. Not only was Pinkett's total his best this season, but so was the team's.

"We didn't do anything fancy. We just took the ball right at them," said Pinkett, who tied Vagas Ferguson's career record with his 13th 100-yard game. "We knew they had a lot of quickness, so we felt we had to wear them down."

And wear LSU down is precisely what the Irish did. They controlled the ball for 35 minutes to LSU's 25, and ran 81 offensive plays to the Tigers' 50. The game was played on a warm, humid afternoon in Baton Rouge which drained the energy out of the LSU defense which was constantly on the field. Ironically, the heat was supposed to hurt Notre Dame more because it was not used to it.

The momentum turned in Notre Dame's favor in the opening minute of the second quarter. With the score 7-7, the Irish began a drive from their own 37. Twelve plays later, John Carney kicked a 44-yard field goal, his first of three for the game. The drive lasted for almost six minutes, so when the LSU defense returned to the field three minutes later, it was getting tired.

Notre Dame took advantage of LSU's weariness to pound the ball down the field again. Behind the running of Pinkett and Smith (nine carries for 47 yards for the game), the Irish ran five minutes off the clock. On the 11th play of the drive - all rushing plays - Pinkett leaped into the endzone from the two to increase the ND lead to 17-7.

Notre Dame continued its domination in the second half when it took the opening kickoff and drove 60 yards in 14 plays before Carney added his second field goal of the day - 37 yards - to bump the lead to 20-7.

The Irish defense was the main beneficiary of the offense's success as they were able to remain fresh for nearly the entire game. While the offense was moving the ball effectively, the defense was giving Tiger quarterback Jeff Wickersham and his teammates a hard time, holding them to 331 total yards (including a last-minute desperation heave for 50 yards), well below their average.

Until the game was out of reach, there was only one defensive breakdown the entire game and that came midway through the third quarter when LSU tailback Dalton Hilliard slipped a tackle, juked an ND cornerback, and sprinted 66 yards for a touchdown to bring the Tigers to within six points at 20-14.

The Tiger Stadium crowd sensed that the Irish were about to collapse like they had during the three previous games - all losses - but the Notre Dame players had no doubts that this game would be different.

"We refused to think like that," said Pinkett. "We're fighters and we

see LSU, page 10

The Observer/Pete Laches

Allen Pinkett got many chances to carry the ball in action against South Carolina and LSU. Pinkett, benefitting from blocking that had not been there in previous games, had 100 yards or more rushing in both games, but the Irish were able to only win one outing. Mike Sullivan details the game against LSU at left.

...after losing third-straight home game to undefeated Gamecocks by giving up 22 fourth-quarter points

By ED DOMANSKY
Sports Writer

OCTOBER 20 — The 1984 season had been a long, uphill battle for the Fighting Irish, but for a while on Saturday at Notre Dame Stadium it looked as if the Irish may have been reaching their peak.

But in the waning minutes, just as the goal seemed to be drawing nearer, an avalanche of mistakes which had been building throughout the game finally overtook the Irish, as they lost 36-32 to 11th-ranked South Carolina.

The loss marks the first time since 1956 that Notre Dame has lost three consecutive home games. The Irish record is now 3-4.

Compared to the team's lackluster performance one week earlier against Air Force, Notre Dame looked like an improvedd squad. Utilizing a new double tight-end alignment and a fired-up defense, the team's overall performance proved to be a pleasing sight to head coach Gerry Faust.

"Every loss is a tough loss, but I saw some good things out there," said Faust. "And if you could see anything good in a loss, I saw some today. The kids really played hard."

But despite the positive aspects, it was the negative ones which played the biggest role. The same mistakes which have plagued the Irish all season continued — and they started early.

On the Gamecocks' first possession, the Notre Dame defense allowed just five yards in three plays. Troy Wilson, however, fumbled the punt on the next play, and South Carolina got the ball back at the Notre Dame 31-yard line.

Five plays later, quarterback Allen Mitchell crossed the goal line on second and one, and the Gamecocks had an early 7-0 lead.

Allen Pinkett's four-yard run capped an 80-yard drive early in the second quarter to knot the score at 7-7.

But, near the midway point of the same quarter, misfortune struck the Irish again. Notre Dame had a chance to take a 14-7 lead, but quarterback Steve Beuerlein fumbled the snap on fourth and one from the Carolina two-yard line. Joe Howard's 24-yard punt return, the longest for Notre Dame this season, enabled the Irish to start the drive at their own 42.

Wilson redeemed himself after his earlier fumble on the next Gamecock possession. The sophomore cornerback helped set up the second Notre Dame touchdown when he picked off Mike Hold's long toss at the South Carolina 39 on a second-and-11 play from the Gamecock two-yard line.

A Steve Beuerlein pass to Milt Jackson moved the Irish 26 yards

see CAROLINA, page 9



The Observer/Mary Heal y

Steve Beuerlein (7) had good blocking from his offensive line, contributing to his fine passing performances in the two games over break. Beuerlein had the best passing game of his career against South Carolina. Ed Domansky has the story at right.

Stevenson commits to ND, overlooks DePaul

By JEFF BLUMB
Assistant Sports Editor

Score it Notre Dame 1, DePaul 0, in the basketball recruiting wars of 1984. On the night of October 20, 6-5 forward Mark Stevenson told Notre Dame assistant coach Pete Gillen that he had chosen the Irish over the Blue Demons and Villanova.

A senior at Roman Catholic High School in Philadelphia, Pa., Stevenson was on a recruiting visit to Notre Dame over the South Carolina football weekend. He was set to visit DePaul the following weekend. That visit, though, was quickly cancelled when Stevenson decided that he wanted to play basketball for the Irish.

"Things clicked from the moment I got there," said Stevenson during a press conference last Tuesday at Roman Catholic. "They just kept rolling along. There wasn't even a chance to stop and think about anything negative. Everything about it was great. I said, 'I can't pass up a situation like this.'

"I had a lot of fun on my visit. But, hey, that's what visits are for. I looked beyond the fun and I still decided Notre Dame was a very special place."

Interestingly enough, Stevenson comes from the same high school as DePaul's talented sophomore Dallas Comegys. But while admitting he had long been a DePaul fan, Stevenson made his decision with long range goals in mind.

"DePaul was always my dream school," said Stevenson, the All-Star Game MVP last summer at the heralded Five-Star Basketball Camp. "I've followed them since Terry Cummings first went there. I used to think I'd love to go to DePaul. But when they didn't seem to recruit me hard enough, I had to be open to other schools.

"(In deciding on Notre Dame) I was looking at the short-term basis and the long-term basis. The short-term was, how fast will I be able to adapt to the people and the environment? That was no problem because as soon as I got there, I was saying to myself, 'This is just like Roman.'

"The long term basis was," Stevenson continued, "how much is a degree from this school worth? Well, Notre Dame has a great

see RECRUIT, page 10